

# HYDERABAD (DECCAN)

UNDER

## SIR SALAR JUNG.

---

An Account of the Civil, Military, and Public Works Departments of His Highness the Nizam-ul-Mulk Asaf Jah Bahadur's Territories, under the Administration of His Excellency the Nawab Sir Salar Jung Bahadur, G. C. S. I., D. C. L., the Regent for, and Prime Minister to, His Highness.

For 1200 F.=1880-81 A.D.

TOGETHER WITH BRIEF HISTORICAL SKETCHES OF THE REFORMS INTRODUCED IN THE JUDICIAL AND POLICE, REVENUE AND FINANCE, MILITARY AND PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENTS, DURING THE FIRST 28 YEARS OF HIS EXCELLENCY'S ADMINISTRATION, FROM 1263 F.=1853 A.D. TO 1290 F.=1881 A.D., AND, AN ACCOUNT OF FURTHER REFORMS CONTEMPLATED IN 1201 F.=1881-82 A.D.

BY

MOULAVI CHERAGH AH,

REVENUE SECRETARY,

HIS HIGHNESS THE NIZAM-UL-MULK'S GOVERNMENT,

AUTHOR OF "REFORMS UNDER MOSLEM RULE," AND "A CRITICAL EXPOSITION OF THE POPULAR MIND."

---

VOLUME THIRD.

---

Bombay:

PRINTED AT THE

EDUCATION SOCIETY'S PRESS, BYCULLA.

1886



To

THE MEMORY OF HIM

WHO,

DURING AN EVENTFUL CAREER OF THIRTY YEARS,  
BY HIS DIPLOMATIC AND STATESMAN-LIKE SKILL,

BECAME

ONE OF THE FOREMOST ADMINISTRATORS IN INDIA,

AND

WHO, BY HIS MANLY AND NOBLE ENDEAVOURS,  
CONTRIBUTED TO THE PROSPERITY OF HYDERABAD;

THIS WORK,

WHICH WAS COMMENCED WITH HIS SANCTION

IN HIS LIFETIME,

IS MOST RESPECTFULLY DEDICATED

IN TOKEN OF REVERENCE AND ADMIRATION,

BY HIS FAITHFUL AND DEVOTED SERVANT,

C H E R A G H A L I.





## INTRODUCTION.

---

My object in preparing this work has been to give an account of the wise and beneficent administration of His Excellency the late Sir Salar Jung, Regent and Prime Minister of Hyderabad, as developed in the year 1290 Fasli = A.D. 1880, with occasional references to the progress of the work of administration under him in previous years. I have also compared the condition of the territory administered by him with that of the surrounding Provinces in several of the most important particulars. I have already explained in the Dedication that the work was taken in hand during His Excellency's lifetime, that is to say, in 1291 Fasli. Had he lived to see the reforms contemplated by him fully carried out, he would have occupied a still higher place in the roll of great Statesmen than that already assigned to him by the public judgment.

C. A.

HYDERABAD, DECCAN,  
1884.



# CONTENTS.

## CHAPTER VI.

### PAST HISTORY OF POLICE

#### *Section First.—Former System of Police Administration.*

	PAGE
Para. 1 Former system of Police administration in districts.	273
„ • 2 Police administration in the City .....	273
„ 3 Appointment of <i>Ziladars</i> .....	274

#### *Section Second.—Formation of a Regular Police Force.*

Para. 4 Reforms in 1275 Fasli .....	274
„ 5 Distribution of Police force .....	275
„ 6 Constituents of the new force .....	276
„ 7 Instructions issued by the Board of Revenue.....	276
„ 8 Police code compiled .....	282
„ 9 Non-cognizable offences. Cognizable offences .....	283
„ 10 <i>Naib-Muhtamims</i> appointed .....	284
„ 11 Separation of Police from Revenue. Appointment of a Police Secretary .....	284
„ 12 Powers of Police officers .....	285
„ 13 Appeals from the decisions of Police officers .....	285
„ 14 Police Secretariat amalgamated with Judicial. Pow- ers of Police officers .....	286
„ 15 The power vested in <i>Muhtamims</i> and <i>Amins</i> .....	287
„ 16 Government Gazette on criminal prosecution against Police .....	287
„ 17 Powers of awarding punishments transferred to Courts of Law.....	288
„ 18 Enrolment and uniforms .....	288
„ 19 Equipment .....	289
„ 20 Distribution of uniforms. Discipline .....	289
„ 21 Procedure .....	289
„ 22 Tours .....	290
„ 23 Escorts and guards .....	291

## CHAPTER VII.

## POLICE FOR 1290 FASLI.

	PAGE
Para. 1 Component parts of Police force .....	293
„ 2 District Police .....	293
„ 3 Various grades of Police .....	294
„ 4 Distribution of Police by area and population .....	295
„ 5 City Police .....	295
„ 6 City and District Police.....	296
„ 7 Distribution of Police .....	296
„ 8 Annual cost of Police .....	296
„ 9 Village Police and cost .....	297
„ 10 Police rewarded .....	297
„ 11 Police convicted.....	297
„ 12 Working of the City and Suburban Police .....	297
„ 13 Abstract of the above .....	298
„ 14 Decrease of crimes in the City and Suburbs .....	299
„ 15 District crimes and criminals .....	299
„ 16 Percentage of conviction in cases and persons .....	301
„ 17 Observation on the working of the District Police ..	302
„ 18 Decrease of crimes in the districts .....	302
„ 19 Cause of decrease. Decrease of crime in surround- ing provinces .....	302
„ 20 Review of the working of the City and District Police .....	303
„ 21 Comparison of five years .....	303
„ 22 Results of trials by Courts .....	304
„ 23 Percentage of persons pending trial.....	304
„ 24 Test of the Police efficiency .....	305
„ 25 Percentage of cases tracked or investigated to re- ported .....	305
„ 26 Percentages of cases tracked or investigated to reported, excluding nuisance cases .....	305
„ 27 Percentage of conviction to cases reported.....	306
„ 28 Percentage of cases convicted to cases tracked and convicted .....	306
„ 29 Percentage of persons convicted to arrested .....	307
„ 30 Percentage of persons convicted to brought to trial .	308
„ 31 Number of heinous offences .....	308

	PAGE
Para. 32 Murder—Decrease. Increase .....	309
„ 33 Dacoities—Decrease. Increase .....	309
„ 34 Robbery—Decrease. Increase .....	310
„ 35 Heinous crimes compared .....	311

## CHAPTER VIII.

### HISTORICAL SKETCH OF THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE.

#### *Section First.—Administration of Justice during Maharajah Chandu Lal's tenure of Office.*

Para. 1 <i>Dar-ul-Kaza</i> .....	313
„ 2 <i>Kotwali</i> .....	313
„ 3 <i>Sadarat</i> .....	313
„ 4 Procedure of Courts .....	313
„ 5 Inadequate protection afforded by the Courts of Justice .....	314
„ 6 Want of Courts of Justice in Districts .....	314
„ 7 Oppressions committed by the Afghans and Arabs...	315
„ 8 Irregularities in punishing criminals .....	315
„ 9 Dacoity and plunder rife in the country .....	316
„ 10 Non-existence of systematic Courts .....	316
„ 11 <i>Divani Buzurg</i> .....	317
„ 12 <i>Adalat-ul-Aliya</i> .....	318
„ 13 Proceedings of the Court .....	318

#### *Section Second.—Administration of Justice during Rajah Ram Bakhsh's tenure of Office.*

Para. 14 <i>Majlis Sultani</i> .....	319
„ 15 Extinction of the Court .....	319
„ 16 Another Court created .....	319

#### *Section Third.—Administration of Justice during the Nawwab Scraj-ul-Mulk's tenure of Office.*

Para. 17 Legal reforms .....	319
„ 18 Appointment of <i>Munsifs</i> and <i>Mir-Adls</i> in the districts .....	320

Para. 19 District Court Guide compiled .....	321
„ 20 <i>Divani-i-Khurd</i> or Court of Small Causes established .....	321
„ 21 <i>Sudar-Adalat</i> established .....	322
„ 22 Practice of <i>Sati</i> forbidden .....	322

*Section Fourth.—Administration of Justice during the early years of Sir Salar Jung's tenure of Office.*

Para. 23 Arabs and Rohillas .....	323
„ 24 <i>Adalat-i-Chini-Kana</i> .....	323
„ 25 Sikh's Court .....	324
„ 26 An instance related .....	324
„ 27 Measures to suppress dacoity and highway robbery. Appointment of <i>Ziladars</i> .....	325
„ 28 The Judicial Board .....	326
„ 29 Success of <i>Ziladars</i> in the suppression of dacoity, &c. ....	326
„ 30 Court for the trial of Thuggee and Dacoity cases. .	326
„ 31 Police prohibited to detain the accused without reference to Magistrate .....	327
„ 32 Court for the trial of the cases of Hindus .....	327
„ 33 Punctual disbursement of salaries to the Judicial Officials .....	328
„ 34 <i>Sadar-Adalat-i-Azla-i-Mustarida</i> .....	329
„ 35 Civil and criminal jurisdictions of Courts separated and powers defined.....	330
„ 36 <i>Mahkama-i-Ijra-wa-Amal</i> . Stamped paper introduced .....	330
„ 37 Court of district appeals .....	331
„ 38 Judicial Powers vested to the Superintendent of Railway .....	331
„ 39 Judicial powers exercised by the Resident ..	331
„ 40 Formation of districts .....	332
„ 41 Additional Talukas and further reforms .....	333
„ 42 Offices of <i>Munsifs</i> and <i>Mir-Adls</i> abolished .....	334
„ 43 Judicial powers of Talukdars, Tahsildars, and Patels .....	334
„ 44 Judicial powers of officers .....	334

## CHAPTER IX.

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE FOR 1290 FASLI.

	PAGE
Para. 1 The number of Courts .....	335
„ 2 The jurisdiction of each Court as to area and population .....	336
„ 3 Reported crimes.....	336
„ 4 The cause of decrease .....	336
„ 5 Disposal of cases brought to trial.....	337
„ 6 Work done by each Court.....	338
„ 7 Work done by Criminal Courts .....	339
„ 8 Statement of punishment .....	340
„ 9 Appeals and revisions .....	342
„ 10 Result of appeals and revisions.....	342
„ 11 Sessions Courts .....	343
„ 12 Comparative statement of crimes .....	344
„ 13 Crimes classified .....	345
„ 14 A comparative statement showing the number of criminals of the Fasli years 1287, 1288, 1289, and 1290.....	346

## CHAPTER X.

## PRISONS IN 1290 FASLI.

Para. 1 Number of jails .....	349
„ 2 Number of prisoners .....	349
„ 3 Admissions in 1289 and 1290 F. compared.....	350
„ 4 A comparison with surrounding Provinces .....	351
„ 5 Description of prisoners .....	351
„ 6 Distribution of prisoners .....	352
„ 7 Ratio of convicts to fresh admissions in surrounding Provinces .....	352
„ 8 Juvenile convicts .....	352
„ 9 Prisoners classified by religions .....	353
„ 10 The above statement reviewed .....	353
„ 11 Prisoners classified by trade .....	354

	PAGE
Para. 25 Suits pending .....	363
„ 26 Description of suits .....	364
„ 27 Value of suits .....	364
„ 28 Remarks suggested by the above .....	364
„ 29 Execution of decrees .....	365
„ 30 Pauper suits .....	365
„ 31 Cost of litigation .....	365
„ 32 High Court, Appellate Side. Appeals filed .....	365
„ 33 Statement of appeals for ten years .....	366
„ 34 Disposal of suits .....	366
„ 35 Disposal of appeals and their result .....	366
„ 36 Average duration.....	366
„ 37 Suits pending .....	367
„ 38 Costs of litigation .....	367
„ 39 City Civil Court. Institution of suits. Disposal of suits.....	367
„ 40 Statement of contested and uncontested cases .....	368
„ 41 Results .....	368
„ 42 Execution of decrees .....	368
„ 43 Percentage of execution of decrees to the total number of suits .....	369
„ 44 Coercive processes .....	369
„ 45 Description of suits.....	369
„ 46 Value of suits .....	369
„ 47 <i>Kazi's</i> Courts .....	370
„ 48 Description of suits.....	370
„ 49 Value of suits .....	370
„ 50 Execution of decrees .....	370
„ 51 Costs.....	371
„ 52 Arab's Court. Institution of suits .....	371
„ 53 Average duration.....	371
„ 54 Proportion of work performed by the Court.....	371
„ 55 Description .....	371
„ 56 Execution of decrees .....	371
„ 57 Costs.....	372
„ 58 Insolvency Courts. Suits instituted.....	372
„ 59 Disposal of Suits .....	372
„ 60 Value of suits .....	372



	PAGE
Para. 25 Suits pending .....	363
„ 26 Description of suits .....	364
„ 27 Value of suits .....	364
„ 28 Remarks suggested by the above .....	364
„ 29 Execution of decrees .....	365
„ 30 Pauper suits .....	365
„ 31 Cost of litigation .....	365
„ 32 High Court, Appellate Side. Appeals filed .....	365
„ 33 Statement of appeals for ten years .....	366
„ 34 Disposal of suits .....	366
„ 35 Disposal of appeals and their result .....	366
„ 36 Average duration.....	366
„ 37 Suits pending .....	367
„ 38 Costs of litigation .....	367
„ 39 City Civil Court. Institution of suits. Disposal of suits.....	367
„ 40 Statement of contested and uncontested cases .....	368
„ 41 Results .....	368
„ 42 Execution of decrees .....	368
„ 43 Percentage of execution of decrees to the total number of suits .....	369
„ 44 Coercive processes .....	369
„ 45 Description of suits.....	369
„ 46 Value of suits .....	369
„ 47 <i>Kaz's</i> Courts .....	370
„ 48 Description of suits .....	370
„ 49 Value of suits .....	370
„ 50 Execution of decrees .....	370
„ 51 Costs.....	371
„ 52 Arab's Court. Institution of suits .....	371
„ 53 Average duration.....	371
„ 54 Proportion of work performed by the Court.....	371
„ 55 Description .....	371
„ 56 Execution of decrees .....	371
„ 57 Costs.....	372
„ 58 Insolvency Courts. Suits instituted.....	372
„ 59 Disposal of Suits .....	372
„ 60 Value of suits .....	372

	<i>Courts in the Interior.</i>	PAGE
Para. 61	Institution of suits .....	372
„ 62	Disposal .....	374
„ 63	Percentage of suits disposed of .....	374
„ 64	Comparison with surrounding provinces .....	374
„ 65	Average duration.....	375
„ 66	Duration of suits in various Courts .....	375
„ 67	Suits' pendency compared with surrounding provinces .....	376
„ 68	Percentage of work done in the four Courts.....	376
„ 69	Description of suits .....	376
„ 70	Value of suits .....	376
„ 71	Different values of litigation in 1288, 1289, and 1290 Fasli .....	377
„ 72	Average value per suit in the four Courts.....	377
„ 73	The above reviewed.....	378
„ 74	Execution of decrees .....	378
„ 75	Percentage of cases disposed of .....	379
„ 76	Total number of decrees executed .....	379
„ 77	No. of cases which required coercive processes ...	379
„ 78	Total costs of litigation .....	380
„ 79	Appellate Courts .....	380
„ 80	Appeal pending in <i>Sadar</i> talukdar's and District Courts .....	380
„ 81	Number of appeals disposed of .....	380
„ 82	Average duration of appeals .....	381
„ 83	Average duration of appeals compared .....	381
•	<i>General Remarks.</i>	
Para. 84	Original jurisdiction. Institution of suits .....	381
„ 85	Number of suits .....	381
„ 86	Percentage of work done by the nine Courts .....	382
„ 87	Average duration .....	382
„ 88	Execution of decrees .....	382
„ 89	Decrease of coercive processes .....	382
„ 90	Appeals.....	383
„ 91	Average duration of appeals .....	383
„ 92	Description of suits .....	383
„ 93	Total value of suits .....	383

	PAGE
Para. 94 Number of claims and the cost of litigation .....	383
„ 95 Average cost per suit .....	383
„ 96 Suburban Court .....	383
„ 97 Ordinary jurisdiction. Number of suits .....	384
„ 98 Aggregate money value. Average money value ...	384
„ 99 Nationality of parties .....	384
„ 100 Classification of suits .....	384
„ 101 Appeals.....	385
„ 102 Execution. Returns of warrants .....	385
„ 103 Testamentary and intestate jurisdiction.....	386
„ 104 Miscellaneous petitions .....	386
„ 105 Income establishment .....	386

APPENDICES—(Police—Fasli years 1287, 1288, 1289, 1290.

List of Crimes for 1289 and 1290 Fasli.

Criminal Justice—Fasli years 1287, 1288,

1289, 1290. Civil Justice—Fasli years 1287,

1288, 1289, 1290)..... i—clxi



# **VOLUME THIRD.**

## **CHAPTER VI.**

**PAST HISTORY OF POLICE.**

---

## **CHAPTER VII.**

**POLICE FOR 1290 FASLI.**

---

## **CHAPTER VIII.**

**HISTORICAL SKETCH OF THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE.**

---

## **CHAPTER IX.**

**CRIMINAL JUSTICE FOR 1290 FASLI.**

---

## **CHAPTER X.**

**PRISONS IN 1290 FASLI.**

---

## **CHAPTER XI.**

**CIVIL JUSTICE FOR 1290 FASLI.**



# CHAPTER VI.

---

*Past History of Police.*





## CHAPTER VI.

### PAST HISTORY OF POLICE.\*

#### SECTION FIRST.

##### FORMER SYSTEM OF POLICE ADMINISTRATION.

1. Until within comparatively recent years a Police administration conducted on a sound basis was unknown in His Highness' Dominions. In the districts, Police work was performed by the Irregular Troops, the *Sibandi* Peons, the *Nizamats* and village servants. In the *Mahrattwari* districts, for instance, the detection and apprehension of offenders was a work assigned to the *Ramosis* and *Jaglias*; in the Kanara districts, to the *Setsindhis* and *Talaris*, and in Telingana to *Mannevars* and *Mazkuris*. When any serious crimes were committed, such as dacoity, &c., these men called in the assistance of the Troops which were stationed in a greater or less number in each taluka, on whom devolved the duty of pursuing and arresting offenders. When the offenders escaped undetected, the value of the stolen property was recovered not only from the village watchmen, but also from the *Zamindars* as well as from the inhabitants of the village by levy of a cess. In the trial of the accused and in bringing home the charges against them, all kinds of threats and torture were used. It frequently happened that innocent persons underwent this cruel treatment and obtained freedom only by paying a large sum of money. The *Parganah Naibs* were armed with complete authority to arrest, liberate, or punish these unhappy people. The village servants in return for the Police duties performed by them held lands free of assessment and received a share of the yield from the villagers. The *Sibandi* Peons were paid Rupees 3 a month from the land revenue of the village.

2. In the City of Hyderabad, however, a *Kotwal*, enjoying a high position and looked upon with very great respect, had been appointed from an early period, having under him a Police force composed of various

\* The materials for this Chapter were contributed some years ago by Mr. Hasan Abd-ul-Lah, Talukdar of the Medak district.

nationalities, including a number of detectives. He had full power to administer personal chastisement to criminals, and when the first beginning was made towards regulating the business of the different State departments by definite rules and laws, he was invested with judicial authority in addition to his executive functions. A Law Officer was consequently attached to the office of the *Kotwal*, who passed sentences on offenders in accordance with the decisions of the latter officer. In 1271 Fasli the total Police force under the control of the *Kotwal*, composed, as it was, of Arabs, Kandharis, Sikhs, *Barkundazes* and *Harkaras* amounted to 1,524 men on foot and 136 mounted, and cost Rupees 82,346 for its maintenance.

3. In consequence of the Rohellas perpetrating dacoities, robberies and riots throughout the districts, new officers, called *Ziladars*, were appointed for the suppression of these dangerous crimes, and for the apprehension of those concerned in them. The Irregular Troops comprised of Rohellas, Arabs, Sikhs, Sowars, Linemen, *Sibandi* Peons, *Nizamats*, &c., were placed under the orders of these *Ziladars*, who, as soon as they heard of any tumult or rising in any locality on the part of Afghans or other turbulent classes, would repair to the spot with the forces under their command and capture the offenders. This Military Police proved eminently useful for some time in affording peace and security to the country. The duties of *Ziladars* were either entrusted to the talukdars or special men were nominated for that purpose and a committee was appointed at head-quarters to supervise and direct their work.

---

## SECTION SECOND.

### FORMATION OF A REGULAR POLICE FORCE.

4. When, what are called the Restored Districts, that is to say, Raichur, Lingsugur, Naldrug and Shorapur, were made over to His Highness' Government in 1272 Fasli, the Police arrangements existing there, under the British regime, were continued till 1275 Fasli, when the Police administration of the entire Divani territory was totally recast. A separate Police force was appointed in the City

Reforms in 1275 Fasli.

and its suburbs, and in each of the districts, into which the country was divided. There were at first fourteen such districts, to which two new ones were afterwards added, and each was placed under the control of a *Muhtamim* or Superintendent, who was assisted by the undermentioned staff:—

One *Amin*, or Inspector of each Taluka.

One *Jamadar*, or Chief Constable for each *Thana* or station.

One *Dafadar*, or Head Constable for each *Chuuki* or post.

Eight men for each *Thana*.

Six men for each *Chauki*.

A *Jamadar*, or *Dafadar* and thirteen men, one of whom at least was able to read and write, constituted what was called a *Fauk* or guard. The *Muhtamims* were ranked under three classes and the *Amins* under five, their salaries being fixed as follows:—

*Muhtamim*, 1st Class, salary Rupees 200

„ 2nd „ „ „ 170

„ 3rd „ „ „ 140

Horse allowance to each *Muhtamim* 25

*Amins*, 1st Class, salary Rupees 100

„ 2nd „ „ „ 90

„ 3rd „ „ „ 80

„ 4th „ „ „ 70

„ 5th „ „ „ 60

Horse allowance to each *Amin* „ 20

5. All *Jamadars* were of the same rank and received a uni-

Distribution of Police force. form salary of Rupees 15 a month, and an additional sum of Rupees 7 as pony

allowance. The *Dafadars* similarly were each paid Rupees 10 without any distinction of grade. But the men were divided into two classes, those in the first class drawing Rupees 7 and those in the second class Rupees 6 each. Besides the Police, there were twenty-five Sowars for each district, consisting of one *Jamadar*, one *Dafadar* and twenty-three men. The strength of the Police force of each district was determined according to the cultivated area and the population of the district. The total

number for all the districts taken together was 3,165, besides 322 Sowars. These men were distributed as follows :—

District.	Superintendents.	Amins.					Jamadars.	Dafadars.	Men.
		1st Class.	2nd Class.	3rd Class.	4th Class.	5th Class.			
Aurangabad .....	1st Class...	1	1	1	3	1	19	19	247
Birh .....	2nd Class...	...	3	1	1	...	17	17	221
Parbhani .....	3rd Class...	...	1	3	1	...	17	17	221
Bidar .....	3rd Class...	...	1	1	3	...	17	17	221
Nander .....	3rd Class...	...	2	1	2	...	17	17	221
Naldurg .....	1st Class...	1	1	1	2	3	19	19	247
Shorapur .....	1st Class...	2	1	1	1	...	17	17	221
Raichur .....	1st Class...	1	1	2	...	...	16	16	208
Lingsugur .....	2nd Class...	1	3	...	...	...	16	16	208
Medak .....	2nd Class...	2	1	...	1	...	16	16	208
Indur .....	1st Class...	2	...	2	2	...	17	17	221
Elgandal .....	3rd Class...	...	...	2	3	...	17	17	221
Khammam .....	3rd Class...	...	...	4	2	...	18	18	234
Nalgunda .....	2nd Class...	...	...	4	2	...	18	18	234
Total .....	.....	10	15	23	23	4	241	241	3,133

6. This new Police force was formed in the Restored Constituents of the new Districts out of the force already in force. existence there, and in other districts out of the *Nizam* line, *Alighol* and other military establishments which used to be retained there, and on its creation, the Arabs, Rohellas, Linesmen, *Sindhis*, *Alighols*, *Rathors*, &c., who were stationed in the various different towns and villages, were withdrawn and all collected at the head-quarters of each district.

7. At the time of the introduction of this regular Police force in 1275 Fasli, and as a provisional measure before the promulgation of a Police Code, the Board of Revenue issued certain instructions to the talukdars of districts in regard to the manner in which the new force was to be disposed of, regulated and governed. These instructions, an abstract of which is given below, were embodied in Circular No. 28 of 1282 Fasli:—

“30. The new District Police has been organised upon a totally novel plan, and a set of rules and regulations will be hereafter drawn up for its guidance and control and shortly forwarded.

“31. Under the present organization, a *Muhtamim* or Superintendent has been appointed in Police charge of each district, and under him there is one *Amin* or Inspector for each taluka besides inferior officers, such as *Jamadars* and others.

“32. The *Muhtamims* will be nominated by the Government, and the *Amins* will be either selected from those who hold the rank of *Daroghas* in the Restored Districts, the choice being guided by the honesty, intelligence, aptitude and ability displayed by these last-named officers, or from other independent individuals who may possess these necessary qualifications.

“33. The entire Police force of a district shall be, as a matter of course, subject to the supreme authority of the talukdar of the district, but in all matters purely relating to the internal economy of the force, such as its disposition or investigation of complaints against any officer or constable, the *Muhtamim* shall be the only proper authority to move, or to apply to. The *Muhtamim*, *Amin*, or any other Police officer, however, shall not fail to execute any order that may be issued by the First, Second or Third talukdar, or by a tahsildar in his official capacity.

“34. The following is a brief synopsis of the duties which it is incumbent on the Police to perform, and till the promulgation of the Police Code alluded to above, the Police shall be guided by the instructions contained therein:—

- 1st. To keep watch and ward, protect men and property, and preserve peace.
- 2nd. To prevent the commission of offences and crimes.
- 3rd. To detect and apprehend offenders and criminals, not giving up any inquiry or pursuit till a satisfactory result has been attained.
- 4th. To find out stolen property.

- 5th. To collect evidence in criminal cases, and to prosecute the latter in Court.
- 6th. To apprehend habitual criminals and persons of suspicious character, and to watch their behaviour and movements.
- 7th. To go about the country and guard roads, highways and streets.
- 8th. To serve summonses,\* warrants, and other legal processes, issued by the First, Second or Third talukdars or by tahsildars in civil and criminal cases.
- 9th. To guard the District Jails.
- 10th. To guard the District Treasuries.
- 11th. To protect mails, private and public, belonging to Her Majesty or to His Highness, and in the event of any offences having been committed in their connection, to detect the offenders and bring them to justice with the least possible delay.
- 12th. To keep a constant and vigilant watch over every part of the country, and to give correct information every day of gross offences, the knowledge of which has been revealed and in which the public weal is concerned.

“35. The disposition of the Police force in each district shall be as follows :—The *Muhtamim* shall remain at the head-quarters of the district, from whence he shall make tours of inspection, from time to time, throughout his jurisdiction. One or two talukas shall be entrusted to the charge of each *Amin*.

“36. The force will be divided into a number of small parties or *Fauks*, each consisting of one *Famadardar*, one *Dafadardar* and thirteen constables, one of the constables at least being able to read and write the Persian or Urdu language.

“I. One of these *Fauks* shall be stationed at the head *Kachari* of the district, as a guard, as well as for the purpose of serving summonses, warrants, and other legal processes. It shall be incumbent on the members composing this *Fauk* that more than half their number, at least, shall be present on duty at all times.

---

\* Serving of summonses in civil suits was subsequently removed from the list of duties entrusted to the Police.

“II. One *Fauk* will be in attendance on the *Muhtamim*, one-half of it being employed in escorting accused persons under trial from place to place, and the other half, with the *Famadars*, being prepared to accompany the *Muhtamim* on his tours.

“III. Two *Fauks* shall be stationed in the District Treasuries, and since there will thus be two *Famadars*, the senior, in point of length of service, shall be the Chief Officer, and the other shall act under his orders. The Military Guard, if any, at these treasuries will be withdrawn.

“IV. Every jail will, similarly, have two *Fauks* which will be under the orders of the senior officer in the same way as that described in the preceding case. The Military Guard likewise will also be removed if there is any.

“V. There will be one *Fauk* in each tahsil *Kachari*, whose duties will be similar to those performed by the *Fauk* in the District *Kachari*.

“VI. The rest of the *Fauks* in full force, or split up into halves, will be located in such places as are believed to be infested with criminally-disposed people, and on such roads which form the highway for general traffic or along which Her Majesty's or His Highness' mails are carried, so that public peace and security may be preserved throughout the district. The talukdars are warned that they and their subordinates shall be held responsible for the safety and protection of the travellers and mails, belonging to the British and His Highness' Governments, whilst in transit through their jurisdiction. If the mails are plundered at any time, and no efficient measures are at once adopted to obtain a clue to, and apprehend, the robbers, the talukdars, in whose jurisdiction the outrage has been committed, shall be held responsible. Although Police *Chaukis* are located at present on the road over which mails are carried, owing to insufficient and lax supervision and control, mail robberies have, by no means, entirely ceased. There can, indeed, be no practical benefit from the mere establishment of a number of Police stations along the route, if the sites are not carefully selected, if proper vigilance is not exercised, and if the higher officers do not keep up a daily and systematic superintendence. More telling results would certainly follow, if suspicious persons

were carefully watched, their behaviour and actions minutely noted, and on the commission of an offence, the offenders quickly detected and brought to justice. With this view the Police *Chaukis* should be shifted so as to be useful in bringing about the end for which they are intended, and intimation of such change should be at once given. The prevailing process of escorting mails from *Chauki* to *Chauki* seems no longer called for and should be done away with. It is an arrangement which is not only unnecessary, but which is a stigma on the Government, inasmuch as it is only necessary when the latter of itself inspires no awe in the minds of ill-disposed persons. After the said *Chaukis* have been manned, the remainder of the *Fauks* shall be divided among the *Amins*.

“VII. Since the Government does not know how many *Chaukis* are required in a district, the Board has, at present, refrained from sending a definite list of appointments under the signature of the Minister, but permits, as per separate memorandum, the keeping of six *Fauks* in addition to those allowed for the head-quarters of each district and each tahsildar. If, however, after careful consideration, it is found that a less number is necessary, the full complement need not be employed and the Government should be informed of that circumstance. Should, on the other hand, a larger number be required, a detailed report should be submitted and the sanction of Government obtained. On the receipt of the report, a regular nomination list will be forwarded duly signed by the Minister. In case the force hereby authorized proves insufficient, it should be so carefully disposed as to render the public highways, over which mails may be carried, first of all secure, and if other stations are thereby weakened, the Irregular Troops may be called on to make up the deficiency till a reply has been received to the report. When this last measure has been adopted, so many less men from the Troops will, of course, be despatched to the head-quarters of the districts and the injunctions contained in para. 39 of this letter in that behalf will be so far modified.

“VIII. The duties expected from the *Fauks* at the disposal of *Amins* will be set forth in detail in the Police Code, but a brief abstract of them is appended.



“Since the prevention of crime is the primary object of the Police, the *Fauks* should be so distributed, their daily task so assigned, their beats so arranged, the intercommunication of news between them so regulated, that some one of them may visit at least once in twenty-four hours every place where there is a dwelling, every doubtful locality, whether in a populated or deserted quarter, every town and village, every stage-house or alighting place for travellers, and every highway and bye-way under their local jurisdiction. A daily report of work should be forwarded from every town and village to the District Superintendent. All suspicious and notorious characters should be constantly looked after. In short no nook or corner should escape the eye of the Police, and a daily journal of what they see and do should be furnished to the district departmental head. In the execution of the functions above described, the District Superintendent should be enjoined to strictly forbid his subordinates from interfering without reason with peaceful and respectful citizens and to make them perform their duties as far as possible in a courteous and temperate manner, and not too harshly and insolently, or disrespectfully.

“37. No Police officer or constable is at liberty to interfere in the private affairs or conversations of individuals, or hold out threats of any kind to them, unless they are believed to have committed an offence contrary to law or suspected of entertaining a design of doing so. For, unless under the latter circumstances, the duty of the Police consists in keeping watch over them. When a person, who is suspected of entertaining a design of committing an offence, knows that the eye of the Police is upon him, he will be naturally deterred from putting his object into execution, and thus there will be a diminution of crimes.

“38. One *Jamadar*, one *Dafadar*, and twenty-two mounted Policemen have been appointed for each district. Out of this number, four men will remain with the talukdar for speedy execution of his orders in time of need, two with the *Muhtamim* with the same object and also to give him information in regard to matters relating to Police supervision, and the rest, too, with the *Muhtamim* to be employed, when any of his orders are to be executed with despatch, or to be stationed in places where their presence is

necessary, or to keep watch and ward in localities which call for such precaution."

8. Besides the executive officers and active members of the force, a *Munshi* or clerk, on a salary of Rupees 20 *per mensem*, was attached to each *Muhtamim* and a *Muhurri* or writer, on a stipend of Rupees 15 a month, to each *Amin*. But though everything was done to place the force on an efficient footing, it was found that neither the men nor the officers comprehended the new system nor understood the rules and regulations by which it was to be governed. Each district carried on its Police administration in its own fashion, quite different from the one prevailing in another. A Police Code was, therefore, at length, drawn up by the Board of Revenue and promulgated under the sanction of the Government in 1275 Fasli. The Code contained provisions as to the discipline and dress of the force, furnished a list of offences in which the Police could and could not interfere, and set forth definite and explicit rules as to the manner in which the Police were to exercise their functions and maintain the public peace. In the state of things then existing, however, the Code did not operate quite in the way it ought to have done. Many of its provisions were distasteful to the feelings of the people at that time. Unaccustomed to such things in the past, they considered it derogatory and dishonourable to put on the green uniform, or to undergo military drill and discipline, especially as the words of commands were given in English. To learn and become acquainted with the regulations of their departments was also a severe trial for them. It was necessary, therefore, to wait for a favourable turn in the popular sentiment, to exercise patience and postpone the work of the new Code for some time. At last, in two or three years, a change became visible, young men with new ideas were willing to wear the once repugnant uniform, and in some districts drill was also commenced, though not without great difficulty at first. At once a marked improvement was noticeable in the method of detecting and apprehending offenders, in the way the preliminary Police investigations were carried out, and in the manner in which cases were committed to the Courts for trial.

9. The Police Code above alluded to describes the offences in which the Police can, or cannot, interfere without an order from a Court of Law, in the following manner :—

“103. The Police shall not of their own motion interfere with the following cases :—

Adultery.

Libelling or defaming persons.

Indecent abuse.

Slight offences.

Trivial assaults.

“104. In all the preceding offences, complaints should be referred to the Courts of Justice. But nothing in the above provisions shall prohibit a Police officer, if he considers a riot or disturbance of the public peace imminent, from taking, after due care and consideration, and on his personal responsibility, such precautionary measures as in his judgment may appear calculated to prevent such riot or disturbance, and the officer in such a case of interference shall report the circumstances to the *Muhtamim* of the district for information.

“103. The Police can apprehend persons under the following conditions without a warrant from a Magistrate :—

Cognizable offences.

1st. Any person seen committing any of the undermentioned offences, by the Police :—

- (a) Abetting in mutiny or rebellion.
- (b) Concealing a person charged with a capital crime.
- (c) Uttering false coins, or making some device or instrument for that purpose, or appearing to meditate the commission of these offences.
- (d) Forging stamped paper.
- (e) Defiling the water of a well or reservoir.
- (f) Destroying, damaging, or defiling a holy place, or a place of worship, or any thing, or article connected with any religion.

- (g) Disturbing any congregation whilst engaged in worship or prayer according to their religious belief.
  - (h) Murder.
  - (i) Attempt at murder.
  - (j) Confining a person in one's residence, or removing him to any place by fraud or misrepresentation.
  - (k) Theft.
  - (l) Dacoity.
- 2nd. Any person charged or strongly suspected of being implicated in any of the above offences.
- 3rd. Any person who is universally believed to be implicated in any of the above offences.
- 4th. Any person for whose capture a reward has been published.
- 5th. Any person with whom stolen property is found.
- 6th. Any person obstructing a Police officer in the discharge of his duties.

"135. Every officer in charge of a *Thana* can apprehend, without a warrant or order from a Court of Justice, any person who enters or leaves surreptitiously and in a suspicious manner, or who has no ostensible means of living, or who does not give satisfactory information about his residence, or any person who is a reputed thief, a housebreaker, or a notorious character."

10. In 1277 Fasli the whole territory was divided into divisions, each division being composed of three districts. For revenue and judicial purposes a *Sadar* talukdar was appointed over each division, and an officer called *Naib Muhtamim* for the Police administration.

11. From the same date the Police department was separated from the Revenue department and the former placed under the supervision of a *Sadar Muhtamim*, who had his office in the City, and who, besides holding the post from which he derived his designation, also acted as Secretary to Government in

Separation of Police from  
Revenue.

the Police department. This separation marked a total change in the administration of the Police department, a change which was carried still further by a new Code passed in the year under consideration, and which modified and amended certain provisions of the old one. The *Sadar Muhtamims* and *Naib Muhtamims*, by making tours of inspection throughout the districts, proved highly useful in inspiring new life and energy in the Police force. The only hitch was that the former officer deemed it his paramount duty to espouse rather too warmly the cause of his subordinates in every case and under every circumstance, and thus a feeling of ill-will and jealousy was created between the Revenue and Police officers in the districts, which resulted in the former refraining from cordially co-operating with the latter. As the reins of Government rested entirely in the hands of Revenue officers, their apathy and indifference naturally paralysed the action of the Police and diminished their utility. Had not this lamentable rivalry manifested itself at so early a stage, and had the Police department been left, as heretofore, under the supervising control of the Revenue officers, there is every reason to believe, that the wishes of the Government would have been most amply fulfilled.

12. At the time of the separation referred to between the Police and Revenue, the officers of the former department were invested with the following powers, as regards investigating and punishing offences committed by members of the Police force :—

Officer.	JURISDICTION.	
	Fine.	Period of imprisonment.
	Rs.	
<i>Sadar Muhtamim</i> .....	300	One year's rigorous imprisonment.
<i>Naib Sadar Muhtamim</i> .	150	Six months' do. do.
<i>District Muhtamim</i> .....	50	Two months' do. do.
<i>Amin</i> .....	25	One month's do. do.

13. Appeals from the decisions of the Police officers above enumerated lay with the officer next above him in point of rank, and appeals from the *Sadar Muhtamim* were pre-

Appeals from the decisions of Police officers.

ferrable in the *Sadar Adawlat*. All offences not falling within the jurisdiction of the *Sadar Muhtamim* were tried by the *Faujdar Adawlat*. The *Sadar Muhtamim*, in addition to the powers above set forth, had the right of appointing, dismissing, transferring, fining, suspending, or granting leave of absence to any member of the Police force under him.

#### 14. When, for the better administration of His Highness'

Appointments of the Police  
*Sadar-ul-Mihams*. Dominions, four *Sadar-ul-Mihams* or Ministers, selected from the nobility of

Hyderabad, were appointed at the head of each principal department of the State, a special Minister for the Police department was included in the number. His jurisdiction extended over (1) the Hyderabad City Police, (2) the Suburban Police, (3) the Divani Police, and (4) the Sarfkhas Police. His office establishment consisted of an experienced Secretary, an Assistant Secretary and a subordinate staff sufficient to carry on correspondence and to despatch business. He was competent to suspend any Police officer or servant, from the *Naib Muhtamim* down to the *Amin*, to inflict any other punishment on any member of the force excepting the higher officers, and to hear appeals against any officer subordinate to him. In the year 1287 Hijri, the joint office of Secretary and *Sadar Muhtamim* of the District Police having been abolished, the office of Secretary to Government in the Police department

Police Secretariat amalga-  
mated with Judicial.

was amalgamated with that of Secretary to Government, Judicial department.

The designation of *Naib Sadar Muhtamim* was also changed into that of *Sadar Muhtamim*, the officers so styled receiving an increase of Rupees 100, each, to their monthly salaries, thus bringing up their monthly emoluments to Rupees 550 including horse and travelling allowance. The authority previously exercised by the *Sadar Muhtamims* of districts was vested in the *Sadar Muhtamims* of divisions and the *Muhtamims* of districts were invested with the powers

Powers of Police officers.

formerly assigned to the *Naib Sadar Muhtamims*. The following table gives the extent of jurisdiction of each of these officers, as thus revised :—

Officer.	JURISDICTION.	
	Fine	Period of imprisonment.
	Rs.	
<i>Sadar Muhtamim</i> of Division	300	One year's rigorous imprisonment.
<i>Muhtamim</i> of District.....	150	Six months' do. do.
<i>Amin</i> of Taluka .....	25	One month's do. do.

15. It was provided, however, that any punishment awarded by the *Muhtamins* or *Amins* should be effective, only so far as it might be confined to fine within their jurisdiction, but fine in excess of it, or imprisonment, or dismissal, required the ulterior confirmation of the *Sadar Muhtamim*, who had, besides this controlling power, the right of appointing, transferring, or dismissing the *Bargirs*, and of selecting or rejecting remounts.

16. In the official Gazette of the 29th Shaban 1288 Fasli the following explanatory remarks are given in reference to criminal prosecutions against members of the Police force:—

“In the Schedule of powers granted to the officers of the district over their men, which has been heretofore in force, some explanation is given with regard to the extent of these powers. The meaning of that explanation is, not that Police officers can try all cases of a criminal nature against their subordinates, but that they can only do so when the offence is one which is committed on duty, and in neglect of duty. For instance, if a Constable, in charge of some property, which he is bound to take care of, appropriates an article to himself, or one, who is entrusted with the safe custody of a man or animal, allows him or it to escape or stray, or offers any violence to the person committed to his custody, the trial of such a case belongs properly to the Police officer under whom the Constable serves. But if, on the other hand, an offence is committed off duty, and unconnected with duty, *e.g.*, if a Constable or other Police servant takes by illegal force anything from a ryot, commits an assault or battery on any person, perpetrates a fraud, threatens some one with an act which he has no right or

power to do, creates a riot, gives abuse, or does any other unlawful act described in the said 'Schedule of Powers,' the offence must be investigated by a talukdar. As in the 'Schedule' abovementioned there is no provision as to the trial of cases of corruption, it is now deemed expedient to notify that in such cases where *Amins* or *Muhtamims* are the parties accused, the trial shall take place before the *Sadar Muhtamims*, and where the latter Officers are themselves implicated, before the Minister of Police, or, under his orders, before the *Sadar talukdars*."

17. In process of time, all cases of a criminal nature were transferred to the Courts of Law, and the Police officers were only empowered to investigate such offences as were committed against the departmental rules and regulations. They retained, nevertheless, the power of awarding imprisonment for terms of one and two years.

Powers of awarding punishments transferred to Courts of Law.

#### ENROLMENT, EQUIPMENT AND DISCIPLINE.

18. The minimum and maximum age for enrolment as Police Constables has been fixed at 18 and 30 years respectively; and the height of recruits at not less than 5 feet 4 inches. The *Sadar Muhtamims*, *Muhtamims* and *Amins* wear a uniform consisting of a green coat trimmed with silver lace, a green brocaded turban, and boots; for arms they carry two pistols; and they have to be further provided with a saddle, a saddle cloth, and a bridle for their horses. They are all required to be well up in drill.

Enrolment and uniforms.

19. *Jamadars*, *Dafadars*, Constables, and the writer who is attached to each *Fauk*, put on a green coat, with white trowsers, red turbans, and shoes. Each *Fauk* has, collectively, six guns with bayonets, manufactured at the Government Workshop; but the members of the *Fauk*, including the writer, commonly carry a sword and a baton, called the *Sota*, the latter being used in the day-time, and the former being worn at night. The men are all subjected to drill, sufficient to make them smart, energetic and serviceable as Policemen. In some districts this object has been fully attained. The mounted men are armed each with a lance, a sword, and a brace of pistols, have



leather saddles for their horses and go through a little drill.

Equipment.

Their dress and accoutrements, however, still require improvement, as also their horses, which, in some cases, are exceedingly poor and short in stature. The business of clothing the whole force is under the direction and control of a Superintendent of Clothing, and the uniforms are paid for by monthly deductions from the salaries of the men.

20. New uniforms are distributed once every three years, and every year an examination is held

Distribution of uniforms.

at which the *Amins* and the lower officers and men are examined in their knowledge of their respective duties. The *Muhtamims* and *Sadar Muhtamims* are exempted from this ordeal. The examinations in some districts are very ably conducted, the examiners consisting of such high and intelligent officers as talukdars, *Sadar Muhtamims*, and *Madadgars* or Judicial Assistants to the *Sadar* talukdars. The subjects comprise the Police rules and regulations for the time being in force, exercise on horseback, and drill. In some districts, the *Amins* have creditably gone through the test, and have received certificates of merit.

Discipline.

21. The most important and primary duty of the Police being the prevention and detection of

Procedure.

crimes, the following is the *modus operandi*, by which this object is sought to be achieved. As soon as an offence is known to have been committed, say in a village, the Police *Patel*, or other village servant, gives written intimation of that fact to the *Thanadar*. The *Jamadar* or *Dafadar* in charge of the *Thana* communicates the news to the *Amin*, and himself endeavours to find out the offender. The *Amin* transmits the intelligence he has received to the *Muhtamim*, either by means of the diary he daily sends up to the latter officer, or by a separate memo. (if the case is a serious one), and repairs to the spot where the offence is alleged to have been committed and institutes a search and investigation. In case the crime is a serious one, such as murder or dacoity, the *Muhtamim* himself also follows up the clue, and even the *Sadar*

*Muhtamim* has orders to co-operate in the investigation on such important occasions, though, as a general rule, he is not required to do so. When, by the assistance and co-operation of the village servants, the offenders have been legally arrested, they are brought to the residence of the *Amin*, with proofs of their guilt, such as the stolen property in cases of theft, or any other evidence; and within 24 hours thereafter they are placed before a Magistrate with the charges against them framed regularly according to law and entered in the charge sheets or *Takhta-i-Chalan*. A Police officer acquainted with the facts of the case appears in the Court and prosecutes the accused, whom he asks any questions he likes under the permission of the Court. After full enquiry, the Magistrate informs the Police of the result of the trial by means of a form known as the *Chalan-i-Wapasi*, which sets forth whether the accused have been acquitted or convicted; and in the latter case describes the punishment awarded. In the event of conviction, the Police endeavour to arrest others who may have been implicated in the offence, and to discover the stolen property in cases of theft, otherwise they set again to trace out the real criminals. If no clue can be had within six months in offences of a trivial nature, all correspondence and references on the subject are closed, and an entry of the case made in a book called the "Register of undetected cases," kept in every *Thana*, and this entry is made use of when fresh information comes to light at any subsequent period. In serious crimes, the correspondence is kept open for a year, at the end of which the same course as that above described is adopted.

22. All Police officers are required to be constantly moving about in the limits subject to their jurisdiction. When the *Sadar Muhtamim* visits a district in the course of his tour, it is his duty to inspect thoroughly the Police force of the place, and to introduce such reforms as he deems necessary, in order to improve the condition or increase the efficiency of the force. He is bound to examine the officers and men in departmental rules and regulations, and in their knowledge of drill, to scrutinize their books, and to correct whatever errors he may find in them. Lastly, where offences frequently occur, he has to strengthen the force by additional men. But there is, it must be admitted,

•      *Tours.*

a great deal of difference between the districts both in the judgment and skill with which the Police arrangements are carried out and in the supervision which is exercised over those arrangements.

23. Besides the men employed in the *Thanas* and *Chaukis*, the following are reserved for special duties, or for the purpose of serving as escorts with officers :—
- Escorts and guards.

Escort with *Sadar Muhtamim*, one and a half *Fauk*.

Do. do. District do. one *Fauk*.

Do. do. *Amin*, half a *Fauk*.

Guard for Taluka Treasury, half a *Fauk*.

Do. for District do., one *Fauk*.

Do. for each Jail, two *Fauks*.

At present two men from each *Fauk* are kept at headquarters of each district, where they receive instruction, under the supervision of the *Muhtamim*, in drill and in Police rules and regulations. These men have, in some districts, acquired considerable knowledge and experience. The *Sadar-ul-Miham*, or Minister of Police, now and then travels through the districts, sees the condition of things himself, and examines the Police in their work and duties.

---



# CHAPTER VII.

---

*Police for 1290 Fasli.*



## CHAPTER VII.

### POLICE FOR 1290 FASLI.

1. The entire Police force of H. H. the Nizam-ul-Mulk's Government is composed of the District Police, Hyderabad City and Suburb Police, and the Railway Police, the last of which, as being within the jurisdiction of the British Resident at Hyderabad, will not be treated of here.

2. The total strength of the District Police of all ranks is 10,791, of which 1,955 are under the Divisional Superintendent of the North-Western Division, 1,957 under that of the Southern Division, 1,861 under that of the Western Division, 2,554 under that of the Northern Division, and 2,464 under that of the Eastern Division.

The following is the strength of the Police in the various districts :—

DISTRICT.	The strength of Police.
Aurangabad .....	863
Birh .....	538
Parbhani .....	553
Divisional Superintendent .....	1
Total North-Western Division...	1,955
Gulbarga .....	674
Lingsugur.....	417
Raichur.....	448
Shorapur .....	417
Divisional Superintendent .....	1
Total Southern Division...	1,957

DISTRICT.	The strength of Police.
Bidar .....	599
Nander .....	781
Naldrug.....	480
Divisional Superintendent .....	1
Total Western Division...	1,861
Elgandal .....	736
Medak .....	582
Indur and Sarpur Tandur .....	1,235
Divisional Superintendent .....	1
Total Northern Division...	2,554
Nagur Karnul .....	917
Nalgunda .....	660
Khammam .....	886
Divisional Superintendent .....	1
Total Eastern Division...	2,464
GRAND TOTAL DISTRICTS..	10,791 •

3. In the districts we find the following graded scale of officers. There are at the head of all the Police force five *Sadar Muhtamims* or Divisional Superintendents as shown above, directly communicating with the *Sadar-ul-Miham-i-Kotwali* or the Police Minister, residing in the City of Hyderabad. Next to the Divisional Superintendents of Police there are 16 District Superintendents followed by 127 Inspectors and 665 *Jamadars*. Subordinate to these last there are 658 *Dafadars* under whom there are 8,911 Constables. There are besides 409 mounted Policemen in the districts.



4. The following is a table showing the distribution of the Police by population and area in the five divisions together with a comparative table of the same in the surrounding provinces :—

Distribution of Police by area and population.

DIVISIONS.	One Policeman to	
	Square miles.	Persons.
North-Western Division .....	7.05	728
Southern Division .....	5.8	454
Western Division .....	3.9	530
Northern Division .....	7.2	619
Eastern Division .....	8.3	583
Average...	6.6	586
PROVINCES.		
Bombay Presidency .....	6.49	810
Madras Presidency .....	6.5	1,479
Berar .....	5.9	812
Central Provinces .....	9.37	962

5. Apart from the Police quartered and maintained by the Government in districts, about 3,250 men of various ranks are regularly kept in the metropolis and its suburbs, in which there is 1 *Kotwal* on a salary of Rs. 1,000 *per mensem* with 1 Assistant, 1 *Sadar Muhtamim* of Suburbs and 1 Assistant, 2 Superintendents, 40 Inspectors, 199 *Jamadars*, 208 *Dafadars*, 2,748 Constables and

City Police.

49 men of the Mounted Police. In the year 1289 the strength of the City and Suburban Police was as follows :—

1	<i>Kotwal.</i>
1	<i>Sadar Muhtamim</i> for Suburbs.
2	Assistants.
2	Superintendents.
44	Inspectors.
209	<i>Jamadars.</i>
220	<i>Dafadars.</i>
2,722	Constables.
49	Mounted Police.

6. Reckoning up the strength of the City and District Police, we find 194 Officers, 13,389 Constables, 458 Mounted Constables, giving in the aggregate a total of 14,036 men, as against 196 Officers, 13,401 Constables, 458 Mounted Constables, in all 14,055 men as shown in the Police Returns of 1289 Fasli.

7. 590 men of the Police force are employed as prison guards, 498 as treasury guards, 8,534 are on town and village duties, 1,654 are under a course of drill, and 2,113 are doing the work of escorts.

8. The total expenditure incurred during the year 1290 F. on the Police establishment of the City and districts is put down at Rs. 19,50,832, which shows that the annual expenditure per head of strength is H. S. Rs. 138-15-9. The following is a comparative list of the annual cost of the Police force per head in other surrounding provinces :—

Madras Presidency .....	Rs. 148
Bombay Presidency .....	,, 179
Central Provinces.....	,, 173
Berars .....	,, 192
Mysore .....	,, 147

9. Besides the City and District Police, the Village Patels and their subordinates form one of the most important elements in the Police establishment of the State. There are altogether 11,617 Police Patels and 5,423 other Patels who are invested with criminal powers. The total expenditure incurred on the Village Police is Rs. 4,00,429.

10. There were 27 of the Police establishment, including officers and men, who were rewarded this year either in money or by promotion. The figure varies very much from that of the year 1289 F., when no fewer than 121 persons of the Police were rewarded for their zeal in the detection of crimes.

11. To turn to the punishments awarded to the Police we find that in the year 1290 F. there were 412 persons who underwent degradation or some amount of criminal punishment. This number compares very favourably with that of last year, when it was 2,039.

12. In the year 1290 Fasli there were 1,276 cases reported to the Hyderabad City and Suburban Police, of which 1,146 or 89·8 per cent. were tracked. The number of persons apprehended, out of 1,993 investigated, was 1,789. Out of 1,146 cases and 1,789 persons, 530 cases and 751 persons or 46·2 and 41·9 per cent., respectively, were convicted. In the City itself out of 483 cases reported 438 or 90·7 were tracked. Out of 438 cases tracked, 174 or 39·06 per cent. were convicted, and 116 were acquitted; while from the total number of 657 persons arrested in the above offences, 256 or 18·1 per cent. were proved guilty and 112 were either acquitted or discharged. There were 15 persons arrested on the charge of dacoity, 8 for the crime of highway robbery, 12 for housebreaking, and 4 for murder. Of theft, in the City there were 211 cases reported, of which 191 were tracked, comprehending 260 persons, and 2 cases of poisoning; 39 persons were tried for causing grievous hurt by means of weapons, 6 were charged with kidnapping, and 12 with rape. Besides these, there were 5 cases of extortion, 11 of cheating, and 160 cases of a minor feature.

Turning from the City Police, when we come to the Suburban, we find that there were 793 cases reported, and 708 or 88·9 per

cent. tracked. The number of persons supposed to be implicated was put down at 1,275, of whom 1,132 were actually arrested. As seen from the statistics of the Suburban Police, there were 359 or 50·7 per cent. cases convicted from the above number tracked, 247 discharged, and 102 remanded pending trial. Of the total number of persons arrested 495 or 43·7 per cent. were convicted, and 424 were discharged or acquitted; 1 died, 14 escaped, and 208 were pending trial at the close of the year. 16 persons were seized for the crime of murder, but there was not a single instance of dacoity in any quarter of the Suburbs. There were 22 cases of housebreaking, and 288 arrested cases of theft; the total number of persons implicated in the latter crime were 501, out of whom 437 were traced by the Police. Of suicide there were 21 cases, but only one case of poisoning. About 113 persons were charged with causing grievous hurt, 21 with kidnapping, 95 with causing hurt by means of dangerous weapons, 23 with gambling, 1 with causing miscarriage, 14 with rape, 7 with causing escape from custody, 12 with rioting, 15 with extortion, 1 with unlawful imprisonment, 4 with forgery, and 6 with offences relating to coins. From the total number of 1,132 persons apprehended, 495 or 43·7 per cent. were convicted, 414 were either acquitted or discharged, 208 remanded pending trial, 1 died, and 14 escaped.

Abstract of the above.

13. The subjoined table shows the result of the working of the Police during the year 1290 Fasli :—

	CASES.					PERSONS.					
	Reported	Tracked.	Convicted.	Acquitted or discharged.	Pending.	Investigated.	Arrested.	Convicted.	Acquitted or discharged.	Died or escaped.	Pending.
City.....	483	438	171	116	151	718	657	256	112	...	289
Suburbs .....	793	708	359	247	102	1,275	1,132	495	414	15	208
Total .....	1,276	1,146	530	363	253	1,993	1,789	751	526	15	497

The result of the convictions in cases and persons for both City and Suburbs is not satisfactory. The percentage of cases pending and persons under trial (19·7 and 24·7 respectively) was very high. The percentage of convictions in cases and persons brought under trial was 59·5 and 32·3 respectively in the City, and 60·0 and 54·2 respectively in the Suburbs. On the whole it was 59·9 and 47·9 respectively.

14. There is a small increase of about 1·6 per cent. in the crimes said to have been committed in the City and Suburbs in comparison with those of last year. The following statement shows the state of crime in the City and Suburbs for 5 years :—

	City.	Suburbs.	Total.
1290 Fasli.....	483	793	1276
1289 „ .....	517	739	1256
1288 „ .....	342	870	1212
1287 „ .....	214	1212	1426
1286 „ ... ..	318	824	1142

15. The Police was employed during the year 1290 Fasli in tracking out and arresting the following cases and persons in the various districts :—

District crimes and criminals.

DISTRICTS.	CASES.					PERSONS.					
	Reported.	Tracked.	Convicted.	Acquired or discharged.	Pending.	Investigated.	Arrested.	Convicted.	Acquired or discharged.	Died or escaped.	Pending trial.
Aurangabad .....	939	912	409	434	9	2,162	2,006	812	1,124	4	156
Birh .....	298	248	138	86	24	704	638	275	289	1	73
Parbhani .....	415	407	195	96	116	913	894	331	453	...	110
Total N.-W. Division...	1,652	1,567	802	616	149	3,779	3,628	1,418	1,866	5	339
Bidar .....	491	421	421	...	..	1,028	854	854	...	...	...
Nander .....	708	561	251	170	140	1,592	1,262	388	575	7	293
Naldurg .....	433	342	212	57	73	996	770	416	228	3	123
Total W. Division...	1,632	1,324	884	227	213	3,616	2,886	1,658	803	10	415
Gulbarga .....	200	229	149	47	33	621	541	206	142	10	123
Shorapur .....	133	121	65	44	12	373	306	105	185	...	16
Raichur .....	216	224	217	2	5	613	583	146	409	1	27
Lingsugur .....	630	358	120	226	12	885	865	359	474	1	31
Total S. Division ...	999	932	551	319	62	2,492	2,355	936	1,210	12	197
Indur .....	639	461	255	161	45	1,698	1,027	477	464	3	83
Elganda .....	465	326	187	113	26	1,161	744	340	360	...	44
Medak .....	283	243	152	88	3	691	523	332	183	...	8
Total N. Division ...	1,387	1,030	594	362	74	3,550	2,294	1,149	1,007	3	135
Nagar Karnul.....	396	349	107	3	239	484	355	154	199	...	2
Nalgunda .....	237	237	69	89	79	562	562	185	192	...	185
Khammam .....	961	788	420	191	177	1,046	538	283	101	...	154
Total E. Division ...	1,594	1,374	596	283	495	2,092	1,453	622	492	..	341
GRAND TOTAL ..	7,207	6,227	3,427	1,807	993	15,529	12,618	5,783	5,378	30	1,427

Percentage of conviction in cases and persons.

16. The subjoined table gives the percentage of convictions in cases and persons for each district:—

DISTRICTS.	Percentage of cases convicted to reported.	Percentage of persons convicted to arrested.
Aurangabad .....	49·9	38·7
Birh .....	46·3	43·1
Parbhani.....	45·5	37·02
Total N.-W. Division...	47·3	39·08
Bidar .....	85·2	100·0
Nander .....	35·4	30·7
Naldurg .....	48·9	54·0
Total W. Division...	54·0	57·4
Gulbarga .....	57·3	49·1
Shorapur .....	48·8	44·9
Raichur .....	88·2	25·0
Lingsugur .....	33·3	41·5
Total S. Division...	55·1	39·7
Indur .....	39·9	46·4
Elgandal .....	48·2	45·7
Modak.....	53·7	63·4
Total N. Division...	42·8	50·0
Nagar Karnul.....	27·0	43·4
Nalgunda .....	24·8	32·9
Khammam .....	43·7	52·6
Total E. Division...	37·3	42·7
GRAND TOTAL...	47·1	45·8

With regard to the percentage of convictions in cases, the Raichur District is the best, having 88·2; Bidar stands next, and Nalgunda, having 24·8 per cent., is the worst of all.

With reference to the percentage of convictions of persons arrested, the result given for the Bidar district, being 100, is very doubtful and seems almost impossible. The Police Minister's

attention has been drawn to this point. Medak is 63·4 and Raichur is the worst of all, having 25·0 persons convicted.

17. The result of the working of the District Police in the detection of crimes and the conviction of criminals for the year under review was as follows :—

Observation on the working of the District Police.

Out of 7,267 cases reported, 6,227 or 85·6 per cent. were tracked. The conviction on crimes reported was 47·1 and on that tracked 55 per cent., the number of cases convicted being 3,427. But in the matter of conviction in cases brought to trial the percentage was 65·5. The percentage of cases remaining under trial at the end of the year was 15·9.

Out of 12,618 persons arrested 5,783 or 45·4 per cent. were convicted. The proportion of persons convicted to persons brought to trial was 49·9 per cent., while the ratio of those pending disposal was 11·3 on the whole number for disposal.

18. There is a considerable decrease of crime in the districts. The percentage of falling off on the last year is 18·1.

Decrease of crimes in the Districts.

The following statement gives the number of crimes ascertained to have been committed during the 5 years in the districts :—

Year.	Number of Crimes.
1290 Fasli .....	7,267
1289 „ .....	8,950
1288 „ .....	11,185
1287 „ .....	10,714
1286 „ .....	7,867

19. The number of crimes ascertained to have been committed in His Highness' Divani territory including City Suburbs and Districts during 5 years has been considerably on the decrease, except in 1289 Fasli as shown below :—

Cause of decrease.

Year.	Number of Crimes.
1290 .....	8,543
1289 .....	10,206
1288 .....	11,996
1287 .....	12,923
1286 .....	11,116



The year 1287 Fasli was a famine year, when owing to the prevailing distress there had been a marked increase of crimes. Since 1288 Fasli, owing to a plentiful harvest and fall in prices, and in the years following it under similar circumstances, there is a vast decrease of crimes reported, though it is almost certain that a good many crimes remain unreported.

There was a greater decrease in crimes reported in the surrounding Presidencies—Madras, Bombay and Central Provinces—for the year 1880 than 1879, except Berar, in which a slight increase in cognizable offences has been partly attributed to the high prices of grain during the early part of 1880.

20. On the whole there were 8,548 cases reported, out of which 7,373 crimes or 82·6 were tracked. The total number of persons implicated was 17,522, out of which 13,750 or 78·4 per cent. were arrested. The result is satisfactory.

Review of the working of the City and District Police.

The crimes reported last year were 10,206. There is a marked improvement in the decrease of Police crimes this year. The percentage of decrease on that of the last year is 15·9.

There is a corresponding decrease in the number of persons arrested this year as compared with that of the last. Last year the number was 17,223. The percentage of decrease is 14·9.

There was an increase of about 2 per cent. in the tracking of crimes this year on that of the last, the number of cases tracked last year being 8,594.

In 1289 Fasli the number of crimes reported was 10,206 and tracked 8,594 or 84·2 per cent. The number of persons arrested was 17,223.

21. The number of crimes reported and tracked, and the number of persons arrested by the Police during the last five years, stands as below :—

Comparison of five years.

YEAR.	CASES.			PERSONS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Per cent.	Arrested.
1290 Fasli. . . . .	8,543	7,373	86.2	13,750
1289 „ . . . . .	10,206	8,594	84.2	17,223
1288 „ . . . . .	11,996	10,173	84.8	23,286
1287 „ . . . . .	12,929	11,140	86.1	31,770
1286 „ . . . . .	11,116	9,042	81.2	18,215

22. The result of the trial by Courts of the cases *chalaned* by the Police for this year was this, that Results of trials by Courts. out of 8,543 crimes reported 45.7 per cent. cases were convicted, and the conviction on cases tracked was 52.9. There was 47.8 per cent. of persons convicted out of the total arrested, and the percentage of persons convicted to those brought to trial was 53.1.

The result of the trials by Court during the five years was as follows :—

	CASES OR CRIMES.					PERSONS.				
	Cases Reported.	Cases Tracked.	Cases Convicted	Per cent. of conviction to reported.	Percentage of conviction to tracked.	Persons arrested.	Persons brought under trial.	Persons convicted	Percentage of conviction on persons arrested.	Per cent. of persons brought under trial.
1290 F.	8,543	7,373	3,907	45.7	52.9	13,750	12,439	6,531	47.8	53.2
1289 „	10,206	8,594	5,596	54.8	54.8	17,223	15,837	9,055	52.5	58.8
1288 „	11,996	10,173	5,382	44.4	52.9	23,226	16,271	10,791	46.3	66.3
1287 „	12,929	11,140	9,997	77.3	89.7	31,770	27,445	19,874	62.6	72.4
1286 „	11,116	9,042				18,215	15,486	10,984	60.3	70.9

23. The number of persons remaining under trial at the close of the year was 1,923 or 13.9 per cent. on the number of persons *chalaned* by the Police.

During the four years past the percentage stood as shown below :—

1289 Fasli . . . . .	7.06
1288 „ . . . . .	18.2
1287 „ . . . . .	13.6
1286 „ . . . . .	13.0

It is very unsatisfactory to find the Criminal Courts leaving 13·9 per cent. of persons awaiting their trial at the close of this year against 7·06 per cent. of the last.

24. The efficiency of the Police may be judged by the ratio of cases which resulted in conviction to the total number either investigated or decided ; or, again, by the proportion of persons convicted in cases ascertained to have been committed (or to be true after expunging false cases) to the total number of persons arrested or brought to trial.

25. (1) The percentage of crimes tracked to those reported in Hyderabad is 93·3 this year against 90·2 last year. There is some improvement by about 3 per cent. There is some diversity in the mode of filling up the Police Returns for Hyderabad and other surrounding provinces. The Hyderabad Police Returns show the number of crimes reported and tracked (called *suragh*) i.e., the crimes detected by the Police after investigation ; whilst in other provinces the returns show the number of crimes reported and investigated whether tracked or not. There are many cases which though thoroughly investigated, were never detected, no trace of the accused persons or property whatsoever being found.

Percentages of cases tracked or investigated to reported, excluding nuisance cases.

26. The percentage of cases investigated to reported in other provinces for 1880 was as follows :—

Madras .....	100·0
Bombay .....	94·4
Berar (excluding expunged cases).....	96·3
Berar (including expunged cases).....	82·8
Central Provinces .....	91·0

Exclusive of nuisance cases and offences under special and local laws (not cognizable by the Police in Hyderabad) the percentage of cases investigated to reported in these provinces is given below :—

Madras .....	100·0
Berar .....	94·5

27. (2) The percentage of conviction to cases reported, including expunged cases for 1880, was 45·7 in Hyderabad against 54·8, excluding expunged cases in the last year.

Percentage of conviction to cases reported.

In other provinces it stood as below :—

Madras.....	68·6
Bombay.....	39·7
Berar.....	55·9
Central Provinces.....	45·0

In the Madras Presidency the percentage of cases convicted to reported is very high. It includes nuisance cases and offences under special and local laws in which convictions are very easy, and these convictions can hardly be considered a test of the Police efficiency.

In Madras the percentage of cases convicted to those reported in cognizable offences under the Penal Code was only 44·4 in 1880, and 46·1 in 1879, while the percentage of conviction in offences under special and local laws was 93·2 and of nuisances under the Penal Code and special and local laws was 91·2.\* There are no offences under special or local laws, or nuisances cognizable by the Police in Hyderabad.

The percentage of convictions to cases reported, excluding the nuisance cases and offences under special and local laws for 1880, is as follows :—

Hyderabad .....	45·7
Madras .....	44·4
Bombay.....	35·8†
Berar.....	37·6‡
Central Provinces.....	41·1§

28. (3) The percentage of conviction to cases tracked or detected in Hyderabad is 52·9 against 54·8 in the last year, a great falling off most probably owing to expunged cases being included this year in those tracked.

Percentage of cases convicted to cases tracked and investigated.

\* *Vide* Madras General Administration Report for 1880-81.

† Number of cases reported, excluding public and local nuisances, was 59,785. Number of convictions 21,451.

‡ Number of cases reported, excluding nuisances, was 8,315. Number of convictions was 3,131.

§ Number of cases reported, excluding public nuisances, was 27,675, that of convictions 11,393.

In other provinces the percentage of cases convicted to investigated for 1880 stood as below :—

Madras .....	68·6
Bombay .....	42·0
Berar .....	58·0
Central Provinces .....	44·0

The same diversity of method as noticed in para. 26 disturbs the above proportions also. The percentage of cases convicted to tracked in other provinces will be higher than the present ones if the calculation is made on the number actually tracked out of that investigated.

Excluding nuisance cases and offences under special and local laws not cognizable by the Police in Hyderabad, the percentage of conviction to investigation is—

Madras .....	46·8
Berar 1880 .....	39·8
Berar 1879 .....	42·1
Central Provinces .....	39·8

29. (4) The percentage of persons convicted to arrested in

Percentage of persons convicted to arrested. Hyderabad is 47·8 against 52·5 of the last year. In other provinces it stood as given below :—

Madras .....	74·1
Bombay .....	51·3
Berar .....	69·0
Central Provinces .....	72·77

This includes persons in nuisance cases and offenders under

Percentage of persons convicted to arrested, excluding nuisance cases. special and local laws. Excluding these, the percentage in the surrounding provinces was as follows :—

Madras 1880 .....	56·3*
Madras 1879 .....	58·5
Berar 1880 .....	59·6†
Central Provinces 1880 .....	70·5‡

\* Madras Administration Report, p. 50.

† Berar Administration Report, p. 7.

‡ Number of persons arrested, 22,388, and that of convicted, 15,801.

30. (5) The percentage of persons convicted to those brought to trial in Hyderabad was 58·8 against 56·7 in the last year. In other surrounding provinces it is as below :—

Madras 1880.....	89·2
Bombay 1880 .....	76·0
Berar 1880 .....	86·9
Central Provinces 1880.....	91·41

Excluding nuisance cases as well as cases under special and local laws the percentage is as follows :—

Madras.....	84·7*
Berar .....	81·5† •
Central Provinces .....	90·9‡

31. The following figures show the number of heinous offences committed in the Hyderabad City and Suburbs, and in the districts during the Fasli year 1290 :—

DISTRICTS, &c.	MURDER.		DACCITY.		ROBBERY.	
	Cases reported.	Cases convicted.	Cases reported.	Cases convicted.	Cases reported.	Cases convicted.
City .....	5	3	6	4	3	2
Suburbs .....	11	5	...	...	6	4
Aurangabad .....	12	12	3	1	13	13
Birh .....	12	7	3	3	3	3
Parbhani .....	13	7	...	...	40	7
Bidar .....	10	5	13	1	8	1
Nander .....	18	13	4	1	7	2
Naldurg .....	4	4	5	5	5	5
Gulbarga .....	7	5	7	3	7	3
Shorapur .....	4	2	1	1	3	2
Raichur .....	15	11	...	...	3	2
Lingsugur .....	3	5	1	1	10	7
Indur .....	20	18	18	15	63	12
Medak .....	3	2	15	5	25	11
Elgandal .....	6	3	9	3	28	5
Nagar Karnul .....	12	3	5	2	13	3
Nalgunda .....	8	4	1	1	13	13
Khammam.....	13	7	3	1	22	5
Total...	181	114	94	47	272	110

\* Brought to trial 37,053, convicted 31,406.

† Brought to trial 6,345, convicted 5,176.

‡ Brought to trial 17,382, convicted 15,801.

32. The working of the Police with regard to crimes of a graver sort will now be separately reviewed. 181 murders were committed during the year against 187 of the last year. 114 or 63·3 per cent. were convicted and 18 cases were pending trial at the close of the year. Last year 61 per cent. were convicted.

Decrease. A decrease was marked in the districts shown below :—

	1290 F.	1289 F.
City .....	5	6
Gulbarga .....	7	13
Raichur.....	15	26
Medak .....	3	5
Elgandal .....	6	9

Increase. The following districts show an increase :—

	1290 F.	1289 F.
Suburbs.....	11	2
Birh .....	12	7
Nagar Karnul .....	12	8
Nander .....	18	14
Indur.....	20	15

Aurangabad shows the same number of murders as that of last year. The detection and conviction of the crime is very satisfactory.

33. The number of dacoities has fallen from 168 in the last year to 93 cases this year. 49 or 50·5 were convicted and 7 were pending trial. 4·05 per cent. was the conviction last year.

There was a considerable decrease of this crime in the undermentioned districts as compared with the last year :—

	1290 F.	1289 F.
Gulbarga .....	7	28
Lingsugur.....	1	12
Shorapur .....	1	11
Parbhani .....	0	9

	1290 F.	1289 F.
Birh .....	3	4
Nagar Karnul .....	5	27
Bidar .....	13	15
Medak .....	15	17
Indur .....	18	23

There is a slight increase of dacoities  
in the following districts :—

	1290 F.	1289 F.
City .....	6	0
Khammam .....	3	1
Nalgunda .....	1	3
Nander.....	4	2
Naldrug .....	5	4
Elgandal .....	9	10

The number of dacoities in the Aurangabad district was the same as in the last year. There was no dacoity in Raichur either in this or in the past year.

The six cases of dacoity in the City were purely technical ones.

The decrease of the crime this year as well as its detection is satisfactory.

34. Robberies have also fallen from 331 in 1289 to 246 in this year. 110 cases or 44·7 per cent. were convicted, and 12·4 were pending trial. The detection of this crime is not satisfactory. But the conviction is slightly better than that of the last year, which was 38·3.

The crime decreased in the following districts :—

	1290 F.	1289 F.
City .....	3	5
Gulbarga .....	7	13
Raichur .....	3	8
Birh .....	3	8
Nagar Karnul .....	13	43
Nalgunda.....	13	16



	1290 F.	1289 F.
Nander .....	7	14
Naldrug .....	5	7
Elgandal .....	28	63

It increased in the districts given  
Increase. below :—

	1290 F.	1289 F.
Suburbs .....	6	4
Shorapur .....	3	0
Parbhani .....	40	9
Khammam .....	22	15
• Bidar .....	8	4
Medak .....	25	18
Indur .....	63	61

Aurangabad is the same as last year with regard to robbery also. It is a matter of doubtful accuracy that with regard to heinous crimes this district should present the same numbers both in the year under review and the one preceding it.

35. The subjoined table compares the number of heinous crimes in Hyderabad with the surrounding provinces for the year 1290 :—

PROVINCES.	MURDER.		DACOITY.		ROBBERY.		REMARKS.
	Cases reported.	Percentage of conviction.	Cases reported.	Percentage of conviction.	Cases reported.	Percentage of conviction.	
HYDERABAD,							
1290 F. ....	181	63·3	94	50·5	272	40·4	
1289 F. ....	188	61·0	170	4·05	331	38·3	
1288 F. ....	215	...	145	...	504	...	
1287 F. ....	260	...	307	...	2,946	...	Famine year.

PROVINCES.	MURDER.		DACCITY.		ROBBERY.		REMARKS.
	Cases reported.	Percentage of conviction.	Cases reported.	Percentage of conviction.	Cases reported	Percentage of conviction.	
MADRAS.							
1290 F. corresponding } with 1880-81. .... }	290	42.2	315	27.0	373	...	Ad. Rt. pp. 50-52.
1289 F. coresponding } with 1879-80. .... }	295	44.8	432	24.9	489	39.9	Ad. Rt. pp. 75-77.
1288 F. corresponding } with 1878-79. ... }	403	34.2	639	34.9	900	40.9	Ad. Rt. pp. 52.
1287 F. corresponding } with 1877-78 .... }	538	...	1,659	35.9	1,625	44.9	Ad. Rt. pp. Famine year
BOMBAY.							
1290 F. ....	263	36.5	408	35.5	...*	...	Ad. Rt. 1880-81 App. III. B (5a).
1289 F. ....	278	42.7	272	42.6	1†	100 0	Ad. Rt. 1879-80, pp. xlviii.
BERAR.							
1290 F. ....	25	44.0	27	51.5	47	42.5	Vide Statement D, Police, pp. 46 and 47, Ad. Report, 1880-81.
1289 F. ....	26	50.0	23	47.8	47	44.6	Vide Statement D, Police, pp. 46 and 47, Ad. Report, 1879-80.
CENTRAL PROVINCES.							
1290 F. ....	44	27.2	36	75.0	93	26.8	Vide Statement D, Police, I. of the Ad. Rt.

\* Administration Report for 1880-81, p. 139.

† Administration Report for 1879-80, p. 133.

## CHAPTER VIII.

---

*Historical Sketch of the Administration of Justice.*



## CHAPTER VIII.

### HISTORICAL SKETCH OF THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE.\*

#### SECTION FIRST.

##### ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE DURING MAHARAJAH CHANDU LAL'S TENURE OF OFFICE.

1. DURING the administration of Maharajah Chandu Lal (1832-1843) there existed in the City of *Dar-ul-Kaza*. Hyderabad a Court of Justice styled the *Dar-ul-Kaza-i-Balda*. In this Court civil and criminal cases were decided according to the orthodox *Hanfa* Law. Capital crimes, whether committed in the City or in the districts, were adjudicated upon by this Court.
2. Besides this Court there was a separate institution, known by the name of the *Kotwali* or Police Court, where both civil and criminal cases of a light nature were disposed of.
3. There was also a third Court under the *Sadar-us-Sudur* called *Sadarat*. Here cases were tried and decided in which *Yeomiadars*, *Inamdars* and religious pensioners, such as *Kazis*, *Khatibs*, *Mashaikh*s, &c., were concerned.
4. The judgments of all these Courts were given orally, the proceedings were very brief, and were never committed to writing, and the decisions depended entirely on the integrity and good faith of the presiding Judge. No appeals against the decisions of any of these Courts were allowed.

---

\* Most of the materials for this sketch are taken from the Report of the Administration of Justice for the year 1285 Fasli compiled by Moulavi Mushtak Hosain, Secretary to the Judicial Minister.

5. These Courts, however, were far from meeting the requirements of justice. They afforded but scanty protection to the people. Offences against the law went unpunished and suitors found it extremely difficult to obtain justice. Those who were powerful enough refused to submit to their jurisdiction, and independent Courts were set up in every bazaar and in the residence of every influential Noble, where cases were decided and judgments executed by some one or other of the retainers. For instance, some years ago, a Court was held in the house of Mir Faiz-ud-Din, which existed till his death. Besides this, every suitor, who could bring influence to bear upon a powerful man, could get any case, in which he was concerned, decided by his patron. It frequently happened also, that through various channels, people managed to carry their cases, whether civil or criminal, direct to the Minister, who adjudicated upon them without taking into consideration, whether they were triable or not before any of the established Courts, and whose judgments and decisions, moreover, were guided by no promulgated rule or law.

6. In the districts there were no Courts of Justice ; nor were there any definite rules for the disposal of civil and criminal cases. In large towns, such as Aurangabad and Bidar, a little judicial work was performed by the *Kazis*, and occasionally the *Amils* themselves disposed of a few heavy cases. But there was no regular Court with a presiding Judge, at which complaints could be preferred in criminal matters, or civil cases tried and disposed of. In small villages all judicial work was relegated to a *Panchayat* or commission of *Patels* and *Patwaries*, as is still the case in the territory belonging to the Rajah of Anagundi, an ancient possession situated in the southern portion of the Dominions. In those times commercial transactions were mainly carried on by means of ledger-accounts (credit) and notes of hand executed under seal. Merchants and tradesmen sold their wares at twice or thrice their cost price, and after a few days grace required interest-bonds from the purchasers. They maintained in their service Arab and Rohilla mercenaries, with the assistance of whom they recovered their debts without going to the trouble of seeking the aid of a Court of Justice. They exercised all manner of oppression and tyranny

and made their debtors pay for the mercenaries employed in collecting their outstandings. In satisfaction of their claims, they distrained and confiscated, on their individual authority, the household vessels, the arms, and the clothings belonging to their debtors, a circumstance which accounts for the fact that even at the present day these articles are found in large quantities in their dwellings. Indeed, many of these men get a living up to this day by the sale of these articles.

7. Afghan and Arab creditors were even more exacting and tyrannous than the merchants and hawkers; the Afghan exceeded the rest, but the cruelty of both towards their unfortunate debtors was of a description hardly ever experienced even by the criminals of these days. Heavy stones were placed on the heads of debtors unable to pay and their bodies branded; the officials, although fully cognizant of these proceedings, refrained from interfering in any manner whatever. Any opposition or interference on their part would have led to prompt retaliation from the Afghans. Sometimes these men would keep *Zamindars* and *Deishmukhs* confined in a small room without food and water till the latter executed bonds in their favour for money they had not received. When an individual owed a small debt to an Arab, it was usual for the latter to quarter several of his retainers on the debtor, who had to pay the cost of their maintenance in addition to the sum he owed their master.

8. In cases of murder, dacoity, highway robbery, &c., the persons suspected of these offences were apprehended by the force appointed for that purpose, and without being given an opportunity to defend themselves, by being accorded a fair trial, were fined heavily and year after year subjected to every variety of hardships, whether really guilty of the crimes imputed to them or not. In the cases of criminals imprisoned under the sentence of some Court, the culprits were not set free when the period of their punishment expired. The *Amils* acted as they liked in the matter of liberating prisoners and levying fines. The same sort of punishment as was awarded to criminals was often awarded to parties in a civil suit.

9. Dacoity and plunder was an every-day occurrence of the times ; and the perpetrators of these

Dacoity and plunder rife in the country. violent crimes would sometimes be so

bold as to write to the *Amils* demanding a money payment, if the latter wished to keep the districts under their charge free from their inroads. In such cases the *Amils* had either to comply with their demands or to incur a certain amount of personal risk. The force, whose special duty it was to capture these offenders, was often, if not altogether, useless. At one time it would be overpowered and placed in imminent danger of destruction by a bold attack on the part of the dacoits ; at another, when prompt pursuit might be successful, the dacoits were allowed to escape ; and if, as happened on some occasions, the criminals ran away, leaving the plundered property to fall into the hands of this force, the latter considered the spoils their own and did not think of making the property over to its rightful owners. The reason of this is not far to seek. The salary of each private of the *Tainati* and *Siband*i force was Rupees 3, and that of each *Alighol* and *Nizam*at, Rupees 4. What else could be reasonably expected from persons so poorly paid, but that they should, when the opportunity afforded, help themselves to things belonging to others. There were other sources of danger to the inhabitants in addition to the exaction of habitual criminals and highwaymen. Differences and disputes between the various sections of the community were settled by force of arms, and the powerful inhabitants of a town or village practised every kind of tyranny over their weak and helpless neighbours. The latter could never obtain any redress at the hands of the *Amils*, and if they did occasionally succeed in laying their complaints before the supreme authority, it generally happened that they were referred to the very persons against whose oppression they had complained, and these latter were called upon to make reparations to them for the wrongs they had suffered. In such cases, instead of making any reparations, the officials, whose conduct had been condemned by Government, punished those who had petitioned against them and used every means in their power to prevent others from obtaining access to Government.

10. There were no special officers like *Munsifs*, &c.,

Non-existence of systematic Courts.

for the trial of civil and criminal cases. At times some cases would be brought



before the *Amils*, by whose orders they would be referred to *Kazis* or *Mufties*, and these would adjudicate upon them. Cases thus disposed of by the latter functionaries consisted mostly of questions of inheritance, *Jagir* and *Watans*, and dispute about money transactions and movable and immovable property. But there were no laws and regulations prescribing the exact mode of procedure, or defining the powers of the Judges. The proceedings were entirely oral, no files or records were kept, and the original decrees were handed over to the parties concerned. It is impossible therefore to ascertain the number of cases that were annually brought forward and decided. Considering, however, that people were extremely reluctant to have recourse to litigation, because they knew how small their chances were of obtaining justice, or even if they obtained a decree, how difficult it would be to execute it, if opposed by a powerful and influential person, it may be conjectured that the number of cases was not very large. For example, it is estimated that not more than twenty civil suits were disposed of in twelve months in such a large town as Aurangabad. Suits in which the amount claimed reached the sum of Rupees 300 or 400 were never instituted; and plaintiffs generally preferred to recover the money due to them in their own manner. Similarly, the number of criminal cases is not known to have exceeded 10 or 15 a year. Only very serious offences were brought to trial. Slight ones, such as giving abuse, using criminal force and committing assault, injuring cattle, committing fraud, exercising wrongful authority and restraint, rioting, causing hurt, committing rape, and disobedience of Government orders, being, it would seem, never prosecuted. There is not a single instance of offence of the latter description having been inquired into in the record of the *Kazis* and *Mufties*. There is no doubt that this class of crime must have been very prevalent in those days, but when the people failed to obtain redress for such heinous crimes as murder, dacoity and highway robbery, they doubtless thought it useless to prosecute minor offences.

11. Besides the Courts already existing in the City, Rajah Chandu Lal established in 1237 Hijri (Fasli 1230) a new Court in his own

*Divani Buzurg.*

Palace, which was known by the name of the *Divani*, and over

which Sakharam Pandit and Lachman Pandit afterwards presided, under the style and title of *Darogha*. The same Court was subsequently designated, as will be observed further on, *Divani Buzurg* or the High Court. The proceedings were not at that period conducted in the manner in which they are now. When any person had a complaint or petition to lay before the Maharajah it was received by the *Darogha* who explained the purport to the Maharajah, who thereupon gave such orders as he deemed fit. Every description of case, whether civil or criminal, was accepted and decided in this Court, without any rule or law. After the two Pandits the Court was presided over by Mohammad Sharif-ud-Din Khan Rustam Jung Bahadur, who was a Vakil between His Highness' Government and the Resident, by Hakim Gholam Husan, by Moulavi Fazl-ud-Din, and by others in succession. There are a few unimportant and incomplete statements for 1254 Hijri (1247 Fasli), a few complete ones for 1257 Hijri (Fasli 1252) amongst the records of this Court, from which it would appear that 564 cases were decided by that Court in the year 1257 Hijri (1250 Fasli) and 445 in 1258 Hijri (1251 Fasli).

12. During Rajah Chandu Lal's tenure of Office as *Peishkar*, another new Court was established in the City called the *Adalat-i-Faujdari*, or the Court of Criminal Judicature. It was opened on the 27th Ramzan 1254 Hijri, and as will be seen further on, its name was subsequently changed into that of the *Adalat-ul-Aliya*, or the High or Chief Court. The seal of this Court, which bears the words *Faujdari-Aliya*, is impressed on documents relating to the years 1254, 1255 F. and years subsequent.

13. Moulavi Karamat Ali, who in 1253 F. was appointed to instruct the *Kazis* and *Munsifs* of some of the districts in their duties, was placed at the head of this Court and others succeeded him in that post from time to time. The proceedings were all conducted according to the orders of Rajah Chandu Lal. Everything relating to the Court was submitted to him. It appears from the old records of this Court, still extant, that in 1255 Hijri a total number of 324 cases was decided.

## SECTION SECOND.

ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE DURING RAJAH RAM BAKHSH'S  
TENURE OF OFFICE.

14. On the termination of Rajah Chandu Lal's administration, which took place in 1259 Hijri (Fasli 1252), the Office of Prime Minister *Majlis Sultani*. was, for some years, allowed to fall in abeyance. The judicial administration remained in *statu quo*, and no perceptible improvement was made. In 1259 Hijri, however, during Rajah Ram Bakhsh's occupation of the Office of Peishkar, a new Court under the style of the *Majlis-i-Sultani* was established and located in the *Amin Bagh* in the City. It was composed of several members with Amir-ud-Din Hassan as president.

15. The members and president were all selected from amongst persons who received *Mansabs* (pensions) from His Highness. In a few years, however, the business of the Court was neglected and it ceased even to retain its original name, for towards the close of the Navvab Seraj-ul-Mulk's administration it was known as the *Baradari* Court and occasionally received a few cases for trial.

16. Besides this Court, Rajah Ram Bakhsh established another which was held in his own palace and which was presided over by Shivram Pandit. There are no records either of this Court or of the one mentioned in the preceding para. now extant. But it can be safely asserted that neither of them effected any appreciable reform in the administration of justice.

## SECTION THIRD.

ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE DURING THE NAVVAB SERAJ-UL-MULK'S  
TENURE OF OFFICE.

17. In the month of Zikad 1262 Hijri (Fasli 1255) the Navvab Seraj-ul-Mulk assumed the Office of Minister. In the month of Zilhij of the same year he empowered the Judges of the *Divani* to investigate suits in which interest up to one per cent. *per mensem* was

claimed; and in the month of Jamadi-us-Sani of the following year, he abolished the punishment of cutting off the hands of criminals and substituted imprisonment in its stead. In cases where the punishment according to prevailing practice would have been the amputation of a single hand, the extreme term of imprisonment was fixed at seven years, and in those, where the punishment involved the amputation of a hand and a foot, at fourteen years.

18. In the same year the Navyab Seraj-ul-Mulk directed his attention towards the introduction of a proper system for the administration of justice in the districts. He appointed *Munsifs* and *Mir-Adls*, selecting them, as far as possible, from the most able and competent *Kazis*, and fixing the salaries of the former at from Rupees 75 to 100, and of the latter at Rupees 150 of the *Sugur* Currency. The revenue administration, in those days, was carried on under the farming system, under which talukas were made over to certain rich and powerful contractors, who were styled talukdars, and a certain portion of the revenue collected was assigned to them to defray the cost of collection. This proportion was generally fixed at two annas in the rupee, the remaining fourteen annas being credited to Government. But the cost of maintaining the troops stationed in the different talukas, and sundry other items of expenditure ordered by Government, were charged for from this latter portion. The salaries of the *Munsifs* and *Mir-Adls* were also similarly charged. In other words these officers were made Government servants and to them were delegated all those unlimited powers, both civil and criminal, which the talukdars exercised. There was no law authorizing an appeal against their decisions, their judgments were written, and the originals were often handed over to the successful suitors and copies retained in the records. They had no official connection with each other, and there was no difference in their individual rank. The only distinction was, that *Munsifs* were appointed over small talukas, and *Mir-Adls* over large ones. There were four talukas, in which there were *Mir-Adls*, namely, Bhongir, Nander, Bidar, and Aurangabad; in the rest, viz., Indur, Elgandal, Ramgir, Medak, Nirmul, Nalgunda, Khammam, Kalamnuri, Gulbarga, Warangal, Ambajogai, Birh, Pathri, Jalnapur, Jatpol, Khandahar, Mahor, and Pangal

there were *Munsifs*. The jurisdiction of the *Munsifs* and the *Mir-Adls* was extended over the whole of their talukas. After some time, the *Munsifs* and *Mir-Adls* were required to submit all their judgments and decrees in civil as well as criminal cases to the *Faujdari-Adalat* in the City of Hyderabad for review. The judgments which were endorsed on the petitions addressed to the Government were forwarded to the *Dar-ul-Insha* Office, by which they were made over to the *Faujdari-Adalat*, or, as it was afterwards called, the *Adalat-i-Aliya* or High Court. When the judgments had been reviewed, they were despatched by Government to the talukdars for execution, which was effected in accordance with the orders of the latter. There are no authentic records from which the number of cases annually decided by these district judicial officers can be ascertained.

19. A Guide prepared by Moulavi Karamat Ali, Judge of District Court Guide com- the *Faujdari-Adalat*, and called the piled. “District Court Guide,” had been sanctioned for the regulation of the civil and criminal procedure of the Courts over which these officers presided.

20. In the same year the Navvab Seraj-ul-Mulk established a new Court in the City, presided over by Mohammad Azam Ali Khan. None of the records of this Court are obtainable, but it appears that the mode of procedure was similar to that of other Courts of the period. Besides this institution the Navvab established a Court, which sat in his own palace. This Court was at first merely a channel through which petitions addressed to the Minister were decided and disposed of. Mohammad Fakhr-ud-Din Khan had been appointed to receive such petitions and to lay them before the Minister for his consideration. After Fakhr-ud-Din Khan, the duty devolved upon Moulavi Abd-ul-Ali, the son of Moulavi Karamat Ali, Judge of the *Faujdari-Adalat*. In his time the powers of the institutions were extended by degrees, till they partook of the character of a Court of Justice, and it became known as the *Divan Khana Adalat* or the Palace Court. In course of time the Court was permanently established and still exists under the name of the *Divani-i-Khurd* or Court of Small Causes. It was first opened

in 1237 Hijri, and in accordance with the practice which then prevailed, all cases, whether civil, criminal or matrimonial, were admitted for trial. For example, in the first year of its existence, (1267 F.), out of a total number of 57 cases disposed of, there was one criminal, one matrimonial—being a suit for the restitution of conjugal rights—and one civil. The Court was established by the Minister in order to induce people, who had been accustomed to lay all kinds of petitions before him, to go to the established Courts of Justice for the redress of their grievances. He consequently hit upon the expedient of establishing a Court in his own palace by way of inspiring a greater amount of confidence in petitioners. This plan was so far successful, that it soon became a matter of general belief that the submission of petitions to this Court was, in all respects, equivalent to handing them to the Minister in person. Hundreds of cases so presented were sent to the Courts concerned in their trial, and only a few were disposed of in this Court.

21. In 1265 Hijri, the late Navvab Amir-i-Kabir, who held the office of Minister for a period of five months, established a Court, entitled the *Sadar-Adalat*. This Court was presided over by Rajab Ali, brother of Khrwaja Abdul Razzak. Like the majority of other Courts, which existed at the time, it was bound by no rules or regulations, and cases, both civil and criminal, were admitted for trial and disposed of according to the orders of the Minister. The Court was, however, very short-lived, as it was abolished before the retirement of the Amir-i-Kabir from office.

22. When the Navvab Seraj-ul-Mulk assumed the office of Divan for the second time in succession to Rajah Ram Bakhsh, he issued a proclamation, dated in the month of Shavval 1267 Hijri, forbidding the practice of *Sati*. The proclamation is referred to in a subsequent notification, issued upon the same subject by Sir Salar Jung in Shavval 1273 Hijri. With the exception of this matter, no further steps towards the general improvement of the judicial administration of the country were taken by Seraj-ul-Mulk, whose term of office expired in the month of Shaban 1269 Hijri.

## SECTION FOURTH.

ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE DURING THE EARLY YEARS OF SIR SALAR  
JUNG'S TENURE OF OFFICE.*Sub-Section I.—From 1263 Fasli to the introduction of Zilabandi  
in 1274 Fasli.*

23. In 1269 Hijri Sir Salar Jung assumed office of minister.

Arabs and Rohillas.

The internal condition of the country was far from satisfactory. Both the Rohilla and Arab mercenaries were pillaging the inhabitants without hindrance. As already mentioned, it was the custom of these people to besiege or imprison their debtors, until they had recovered the money due to them, or had received what they considered to be a sufficient guarantee for its subsequent payment. They refused to recognize the authority of any of the Courts of Justice, and the Government of that period was not strong enough to punish them. The state of the country under such circumstances may be easily imagined. The first endeavours of the new Minister were directed towards the lessening of these evils. The first step taken was to establish a Court in the City, called the *Adalat-i-Padshahi*. Mohammad Ali Khan was appointed Chief Judge of the new Court with four Assistants. Free power was given them to try and dispose of all civil and criminal matters brought before the Court. In cases of capital punishment or imprisonment for life, however, the sentences were subject to the final confirmation of the Minister himself. The proceedings of the Assistant Judges of the Court were all submitted in writing to the Chief Judge, who passed the necessary orders on them. The practice of instituting suits before the Minister was put a stop to and all complainants and suitors were referred to the new Court. People were no longer permitted to disregard, with impunity, the summons of the Court, but were compelled to put in an appearance without the intervention of Government, which in former times had been constantly needed. The decrees also, except in some heavy and important cases, were executed by the Court itself, independently of the sanction or assistance of the Government.

24. About the year 1270 Hijri, the Minister removed the

*Adalat-i-Chini-Kana.*

*Divan Khana Adalat*, which had served as a medium for the reception of peti-

tions, to the building known as the *Chini-Khana*, hence the Court which is now styled the *Divani-i-Khurd* is still popularly known as the *Adalat-i-Chini-Khana*.

25. In addition to the Courts above described, the Minister established another Court subordinate to the Peishkar, in which cases wherein  
 Sikh's Court. Sikhs or Sepoys employed in the troops were concerned were disposed of. Suits relating to matters, over which the Peishkar exercised special jurisdiction, were also admitted for disposal. This Court was for some time presided over by Aman-ul-Lah Beg, who was succeeded by Moulavi Mohammad Miran. On the 2nd of Rabi-us-Sani 1273 Hijri, the Minister issued a proclamation, forbidding the traffic in children, which had hitherto been prevalent. The proclamation was re-issued in the month of Rabi-us-Sani 1283 Hijri. This traffic was declared to be contrary to the Mohammadan Law, and the sale of children, of whatever cast or creed, was therefore prohibited under penalty of severe punishment.

26. In connection with this proclamation it might be desirable to take a brief review of the measures  
 An instance related. adopted from the earliest time to the present day for the suppression of this traffic. The Government do not appear to have attempted to put a stop to this nefarious trade until the year 1249 Hijri. On the contrary, the sale of children of both sexes appears to have been conducted in the most open manner, as the purchaser used to receive a sealed certificate from the *Kotwal* of the City as a guarantee of the legality of the sale. In the last-named year, however, (corresponding with A. D. 1833) Rajah Chandu Lal published a notification prohibiting such transactions, but it would appear from the proceedings in a case which occurred in 1255 Hijri, the records of which are still preserved in the *Adalat-ul-Aliya*, that the order was not very strictly enforced. In the case in question, the daughter of a sepoy had been sold. In his correspondence with the Resident on the subject in 1285 Hijri (corresponding with A. D. 1849) Rajah Chandu Lal stated that the proclamation of 1289 Hijri had been so far effectual, that the public sale of children had been suppressed; and that the *Kotwal* of the City no longer



certified such transactions under his seal. It was stated, moreover, that when any transactions of this description were ascertained to have taken place, the children were immediately restored to their relatives and guardians. No such transactions were permitted to take place without the express permission of Government. In regard to the case of the sepoy, whose child had been stolen and sold, Maharajah Chandu Lal informed the Resident that she had been kidnapped by the man's mistress, and that the child would be restored to her father on the latter making good to the purchaser the sum he had paid for her. The Resident (General Fraser) addressed several remonstrances to Rajah Chandu Lal and pointed out to him the injustice of requiring the parent to pay a sum of money for the redemption of his daughter. After a lengthy correspondence the Resident's suggestions were adopted, the child was restored to her parent, and a fresh notification was issued by the Maharajah, in which it was stated that a heavy fine would be inflicted upon any person found guilty of the offence of selling children. In the cases of those who were poor, the fine was to be equal to the amount paid for the children; in other cases the fine inflicted was fixed at double that amount. Circumstances have undergone a complete change since this notification was published. Offences of this nature, which are now, happily, of rare occurrence, are punished by imprisonment or heavy fines.

27. The suppression of the crimes of dacoity and highway robbery next occupied the Minister's attention. The chief offenders in this respect were the Robillas. So long as crimes of this nature went unpunished, it was hopeless to expect anything approaching to complete judicial reform. With the view to dealing with this class of offenders, special officers, styled *Ziladars*, were appointed to the districts which were infested with these robbers. Measures to suppress dacoity and highway robbery. Appointment of *Ziladars*. The *Ziladars* were also allowed a well-equipped force of troops, sufficiently strong to overcome all resistance. The following officers were charged with this duty from time to time :—

Ghulam Yasin Khan.

Lutf-ul-Lah Khan (brother of the above).

Mohammad Amin-ud-Din Khan.

Syed Mohammad Ali Hafiz.

Syed Ghulam Nabi Ghorī.

Mir Shumshir Ali Khan.

Mirza Haidar Beg.

28. The *Ziladari* system was firmly established in 1274 Hijri.

The Judicial Board.

In the year following, a board consisting of the undermentioned members was appointed to supervise the working of the system:—Aitzad Jung Bahadur (President) and Munshi Syed Fazl Hussain, Lalji Mal, and Captain Boardman (Members).

29. The *Ziladars* were everywhere successful in their opera-

Success of *Ziladars* in the suppression of dacoity, &c. tions. In encounters with the Rohillas they almost invariably defeated their opponents, large numbers of whom were either killed or captured and imprisoned in the City Jail, known as the *Sultan Shahi* Jail. Upwards of one thousand of them were subsequently set at liberty by order of His Highness the late Nizam, with the concurrence of Colonel Davidson, the Resident. Those, who were thus released, were enlisted in the troops; a few only of the worst characters were kept in confinement. Further arrests continued to be made; and in the year 1276 Hijri a special Court for the trial of Thuggee and Dacoity cases was established in the City. The proceedings of this Court were conducted according to the established rules.

30. Those, against whom no offence was proved, were discharged from custody, and those, who

Court for the trial of Thuggee and Dacoity cases.

were convicted, were punished according to the magnitude of their crimes. Some hundreds of Rohillas, thus convicted, were deported to British penal settlements. Many were imprisoned for life or very long terms, and not a few suffered capital punishment. In the cases of those who were sentenced to transportation or execution, the final orders were passed by Government itself after a revival of the proceedings of the Court. The executions were carried out publicly in the localities in which the crimes had been committed. The result of these vigorous measures was that, in a very short time, this class of criminals almost entirely ceased to exist. The safety of the people from further outrage at the hands of these ruffians was assured and the Government was free to turn its

attention to other measures in which the welfare of the country was concerned.

31. From an early period the *Kotwals* of the City of Hyderabad had exercised the power of arresting people upon any charges, which might be preferred against them, and of detaining them in custody as long as they pleased. When the Government commenced to institute reforms in all the other departments of the State, enquiries were made concerning the number of persons thus confined. The number was discovered to be very large. None of these prisoners had ever been brought to trial; they had merely been committed to prison under the *Kotwal's* orders. Immediate steps were taken to ascertain whether any of the prisoners so confined had been guilty of any breach of the law. The *Faujdari-Adalat* was directed to enquire into the charges preferred against them, without delay. Accordingly, in the years 1275 and 1276 Hijri, this Court was occupied in the investigation. The accused were either punished or set at liberty, according as they were found guilty or innocent of the crimes with which they were charged. To prevent such proceedings in future, the Police authorities were forbidden to detain the accused without placing them before one of the Courts, unless very satisfactory reasons could be given for not doing so.

32. About the year 1277 Hijri, another Court was established, the presidency of which was given to a Hindu. This Court was established for the trial of cases in which Hindus were concerned, but suits in which other castes were concerned appear to have been admitted for trial also. The appointment of a Hindu to the office of Judge was at first the cause of some little dissatisfaction on the part of the citizens. At that period the total number of Judges throughout the Dominions did not exceed 32, and all of them were Mohammadans. The appointment of a Hindu was an innovation, not at all relished by the people at large, who revenged themselves by styling the new Judge a Moulavi. It was true that two Hindu Pandits had acted as *Daroghas* in the *Divani* Court under Rajah Chandu Lal, when the judicial administration of the country was in a thorough disorgan-

ized condition; and before the people had come to regard the office of a Judge as an honourable and important one. When, therefore, the impartial administration of justice had proved the value of the office, the people were not altogether pleased at having a non-Mussalman appointed. So many years had elapsed since the appointment of a Hindu to a judicial post, that probably those, who objected to the present incumbent, believed his appointment to be without any precedent. In recent years it has invariably been the aim of the Government to select those best fitted for responsible posts, whether in Courts or elsewhere, without regard to caste or creed; and this policy has almost invariably proved successful. Of the total number of 254 Judicial Officers at the end of 1293 Hijri, 179 were Mohammadans, 50 Hindus, 24 Parsis and 1 a Christian.

33. Previous to the introduction of the judicial reforms already noticed, the salaries of the Judges and their establishments were generally in arrears. Their salaries were not disbursed from the Treasury, but were paid by orders upon the talukdars of the districts or other Officers, such as the talukdar of Customs, to whom the collection of any kind of revenue was entrusted. These orders, however, often remained unpaid for months and even years, and this very unsatisfactory state of things gave rise to a great deal of trouble and annoyance. Few beneficial results could be expected from a judicial establishment irregularly and insufficiently paid. Some of the Judicial Officers, however, were more fortunate in having villages or lands assigned to them in lieu of their salaries, the Government stipulating that they should receive as their share one and a half-anna or two annas in the rupee from the gross revenue, from which to defray the cost of collection and to pay themselves and their establishments. They sometimes farmed the villages to contractors and frequently undertook the collection of the revenues themselves. When the revenues of the villages or lands so assigned happened to be more than sufficient to pay their own salaries and those of their staff, the surplus was seldom credited to Government. The majority of the Judicial Officers possessed sufficient influence to obtain pensions or *Mansabs* for their relations, chargeable upon the estates held by themselves. The present Govern-

Punctual disbursement of salaries to the judicial officials.

ment has entirely abolished this system of paying Judges' salaries. Villages and estates so assigned have reverted to the Government. For example, the villages in the Ambar taluka, made over to Moulavi Karamat Ali, Judge of the *Faujdari-Adalat*, and after his death to his son Moulavi Enayat Ali, were recovered during 1280 and 1281 Hijri. For the past ten or eleven years the salaries of all the officials belonging to the judicial branch of the Government service have been paid with great punctuality. Such is the regularity with which the pay now is disbursed, that Officers have come to regard an occasional delay of two or three days, although caused by stress of work, with the greatest impatience. The change certainly deserves to be ranked as one of the most important reforms introduced under the present Government.

34. In 1278 Hijri, the district of Shorapur, which had been taken from the Rajah of that territory by the British Government on account of his disloyal conduct during the Mutiny, was made over to His Highness' Government. In the same year the districts of Raichur, Lingsugur and Naldrug, together with some talukas in the Birl and Ambar Districts, which had been assigned by His Highness' Government, were restored. It was thought expedient to establish a special Court for the trial of cases arising in those districts; and one was consequently established in the City in the same year, under the name of the *Sadar-Adalat-i-Azla-i-Mustarida* (the High Court for the Restored Districts). This Court was presided over by Moulavi Muhi-ud-Din Khan of Delhi, and after his transfer, in 1280 Hijri, to the office of Judicial Secretary to the Minister, by Moulavi Mohammad Amin-ud-Din Khan. Talukdars of the First, Second and Third grades were appointed to these districts with judicial powers, in both civil and criminal cases. In civil suits appeals against the judgments of tahsildars were heard by the talukdars and appeals against the decision of the latter were heard in the newly-established Court. The same procedure obtained in criminal cases, except as regards serious offences which were beyond the jurisdiction of the talukdars, and were tried by the High Court.

35. About this time it was considered advisable to separate the civil and criminal jurisdictions of the Courts and to define the powers of each. On the 1st of Zikad 1279 Hijri, a notification was issued in which the jurisdiction of each Court was defined as follows:—

*Dar-ul-Kaza-i-Balda*:—All cases relating to marriage, divorce, succession to inheritance in the Mohammadan community, also all cases of murder committed in the City. In murder cases the sentences were to be subjected to the confirmation of Government as heretofore.

*Govind Rao's Court*:—Cases of disputes regarding *Watans* and monasteries and suits in which *Pujaris*, *Joshis*, and other Hindu religious classes were concerned.

*Adalat-i-Divan Khana*, otherwise called the *Adalat-i-Chini Khana* and *Divani Khurd*:—All suits up to the value of Rupees 1,000, exclusive of those falling under the jurisdiction of the two preceding Courts.

*Adalat-i-Divani-i-Balda*, also called the *Divani-i-Buzurg*:—All civil suits above the value of Rupees 1,000, not falling under the jurisdiction of the two first-named Courts.

*Kotwali*:—All cases of assault, hurt, petty thefts, &c.

*Adalat-i-Padshahi*:—All criminal cases not coming under the jurisdiction of the last named Court and offences committed in the City, with the exception of the crime of murder.

36. It was also notified that suitors should file their cases in the Courts which were empowered to dispose of them without preferring their petitions to the Government. The Courts were also forbidden to interfere with the jurisdiction of each other. A new establishment was established for the execution of orders and decrees, styled the *Mahkama-i-Ijra-wa-Amal*. This

*Mahkama-i-Ijra-wa-Amal*. office was placed under Motamad-ud-

Dowlah Ali Mohammad Khan. The Civil Courts were directed to submit all decrees and orders passed by them to Government by whom they were forwarded to this office for execution. The notification alluded to, further gave the

right of appeal against the decisions of the *Adalat-i-Divan Khana* and Govind Rao's Court to the *Adalat-i-Divani-i-Balda* and finally to the Government itself. No mention is made in this notification of the *Adalat-i-Faujdar-i-Balda*. The judgments of district *Munsifs* and *Mir-Adls* were still reviewed in this Court, and cases of murder committed in the districts were still tried before this Court, and the sentences submitted for final confirmation of Government. In 1299 Hijri, Government Stamped Paper was

introduced into the districts and a permanent establishment appointed in the City of Hyderabad for its preparation.

37. In 1280 Hijri, the right of reviewing the judgments of the District *Munsifs*' and *Mir-Adls*' Courts was removed from the *Adalat-i-Faujdar-i-Balda* and transferred to the High Court for the Restored Districts, which was thenceforth designated the High Court for the Restored Districts and the review of Taluka Courts. The name was again changed in 1281 Hijri into that of the Court of Appeals from Taluka Courts and in 1282 into that of High Court of appeals and of supervision over Taluka Courts, and lastly in Jamadi-us-Sani of the same year, the name was changed to the Court of District Appeals.

38. In the commencement of the year 1281 Fasli the Government vested the Judicial Superintendent of His Highness' State Railway with power to try all cases occurring on the line, subject to orders of the Resident.

39. On the 3rd Jamadi-us-Sani 1281 Hijri, corresponding with 4th of November 1864, His Highness' Government vested the Resident with certain judicial powers to be exercised in connection with particular classes of inhabitants dwelling within his own jurisdiction. These powers had been previously granted to the Resident from time to time, but as some of them were not formally vested to him, difficulties often arose in the way of exercising them by Courts subordinate to the Resident, such as those of the Residency Bazaars, the Cantonment of Secunderabad, &c.

In order to remove these obstacles, therefore, the powers were confirmed in a regular and formal manner, and the Resident himself or Officers subordinate to him, were authorized to try cases in which the following classes were concerned :—

1st.—All European British-born subjects and other Europeans and their descendants, who reside in any of the Cantonments belonging to the Hyderabad Subsidiary or Contingent Force.

2nd.—All European British-born subjects and other Europeans, who may be employed within His Highness' territories in any capacity, on the Railway line or in the Telegraph Department.

3rd.—All European British-born subjects, and other Europeans, and their descendants (excluding those who may be employed in the service of His Highness' Government or in that of the Minister, or in that of any of the Hyderabad Noblemen) who may have a residence in the Residency at Hyderabad.

4th.—All European British-born subjects and other Europeans and their descendants, who do not come within any of the above descriptions, but who may be made over to the British Government by the Government of His Highness for trial and deportation.

The Resident was invested with authority, as regards these classes, to try them, under the provisions and exceptions specified in the document above referred to, for all offences and to award suitable punishments to them or order their deportation from His Highness' Dominions. In certain cases it is necessary that the trial should take place before a joint tribunal, composed of a British Officer and an Officer belonging to His Highness' Government.

*Sub-section II.—From 1274 Fasli to 1290 Fasli.*

40. In 1282 Hijri (Fasli 1274) the whole State was divided into 14 districts, an event generally

Formation of districts.

known as the *Zilabandi*. Previous to this division, the territory was divided into 42 talukas. The 14 districts alluded to were as follow :—

Anrangabad.

Parbhani.

Nander.

Indur.



Birh.  
 Medak.  
 Elgandal.  
 Naldrug.  
 Shorapur.  
 Raichur.  
 Lingsugur.  
 Khammam.  
 Nalgunda.  
 Bidar.

41. Subsequently, however, some alterations were effected in the divisions. In 1288 Hijri, a new district called Nagar Karnul was created out of three talukas taken from the district of Nalgunda and four from the Southern Division; and an *Amaldari* or small district, named the *Amaldari* of Sarpur Tandur, was formed out of the talukas of Sarpur Tandur, Edlabad and Rajura-Manikgarh. In the following year the talukas of Ibrahim Pattan and Kalvakurti were grouped into another *Amaldari* which was designated Ibrahim Pattan, and in 1289 Hijri some talukas, having been removed from the districts of Shorapur and Raichur, were united under a new district, viz., that of Gulbarga. Three years afterwards (that is in 1293 F.) the *Amaldari* of Ibrahim Pattan was broken up and the talukas of Ibrahim Pattan and Kalvakurti were amalgamated with the Nagar Karnul district. In 1291 Hijri, the Parganah and Forest of Chandargutti with Malvala and the adjacent country were detached from the Nalgunda district and constituted into the *Amaldari* of Amrabad, which was, however, split up again the following year, and absorbed into the district of Khammam, so that after these changes at the present day, the *Divani* portion of His Highness' Dominions is composed of 16 districts and one *Amaldari*, namely, that of Sarpur Tandur; the non-*Divani* portion being comprised of the *Sarfkhas* or *Atraf-i-Balda* district and the district of Palam. Of the 42 talukas, into which the whole territory was divided prior to the *Zilubandi* system, one was designated as *Sair-i-Karorgiri* and over and above the total number of districts above alluded to, this talukdari was maintained intact, after the *Zilubandi*, and the talukdar of *Karorgiri* or Customs was vested with criminal powers within that jurisdiction, similar to those enjoyed by other talukdars.

42. After the introduction of the *Zilabandi* system, the offices of *Munsifs* and *Mir-Adls* were abolished. Owing to the absence of any record, it is difficult to ascertain exactly the number of cases annually disposed of by these officers. But it appears from the decisions given in cases sent up for revision in 1280-81 Hijri, that 504 criminal cases and 144 civil cases, making a total of 648 cases, were decided in one year.

43. Since the adoption of the *Zilabandi* system, each district has been divided into a number of talukas, each under the charge of a tahsildar. The district itself is placed under the control of a First, Second and Third talukdar. All these Officers exercise judicial powers. But, because most of the villages in a taluka are generally situated at a great distance from the head-quarters of the talukdar, it would be a great hardship to compel parties to appear before him in all petty cases, and it has been deemed advisable, therefore, to confer a limited judicial authority on Patels, who receive fees from Government in respect of services they perform in petty criminal cases.

44. The following abstract shows the extent of judicial powers invested to each of the officers above-mentioned :—

Officers.	Extreme limit of jurisdiction in criminal cases.		Extreme limit of jurisdiction in civil suits.
	Imprisonment.	Fine. Rs.	
1st Talukdar .....	4 Years.	1,000	All suits.
2nd Talukdar .....	2 Years.	500	Suits up to the value of two thousand (2,000) Rupees.
3rd Talukdar .....	1 Year.	300	Suits up to the value of Rupees (1,000) one thousand.
Tahsildars .....	6 Months.	150	Suits to the value of Rupees 300.
Police Patels .....	4 days.	30	None.

## CHAPTER IX.

---

*Criminal Justice for 1290 Fasli.*



# CHAPTER IX.

## CRIMINAL JUSTICE FOR 1290 FASLI.

1. The total number of Courts exercising criminal jurisdiction both in the City and the districts in His Highness the Nizam-ul-Mulk's Dominions, under the Divani administration, is as follows during the Fasli year 1290:—

DESCRIPTION OF COURTS.	NUMBER OF COURTS.		No. OF MAGISTRATES.	
	1290 F.	1289 F.	1290 F.	1289 F.
DISTRICT.				
Tahsildars' Courts .....	102	102	102	102
Third Talukdars' Courts .....	19	19	19	19
Second Talukdars' Courts .....	21	21	21	21
First Talukdars' or District Magistrates' Courts	17	17	33	33
<i>Sadar Adulat</i> or Divisional Courts.....	5	5	10	10
CITY COURTS.				
City Magistrate's Court .....	1	1	5	5
High Court, Original and Appellate Side .. ...	1	1	5	5
Total.....	166	166	195	195

It will be seen at once from the above table that there is neither any increase nor decrease of Courts in the year 1290 F.

2. Taking into account the total area of the Divani districts

The jurisdiction of each Court as to area and population. as 71,589 square miles, and its total population as 66,81,889 souls, there is one Court for every 421 square miles, and each Court exercises its jurisdiction over nearly 39,656 men. The following is a comparative table intended to show the authority each Court exercises over a certain number of square miles as well as over an average number of men in other surrounding provinces of India:—

PROVINCES.	One Court per square mile.	One Court per person.
Bombay Presidency .....	289	34,449
Madras Presidency .....	184	40,693
Central Provinces .....	327	35,997
Mysore .....	123	20,826
Berar .....	242	36,612

There were 13,243 cases reported or instituted during the year.

3. From the statistics of the year under review we find a

Reported crimes. decrease of reported crimes compared with those of the year previous to it, and the year 1289 F. also shows that there was a diminution of them as compared with those of 1288 F. The decrease will be apparent from the following figures:—

Crimes reported during 1288 F. .... 17,471

Do. do. 1289 F. .... 14,988

Do. do. 1290 F. .... 13,243

4. The decrease of reported crimes in 1290 as compared

The cause of decrease. with those of 1289 F. is mainly due to a plentiful harvest and rich crops, and it is pleasing to learn that from the year 1287 Fasli there has been a continual and marked going down of the reported crimes.

The decrease of 1290 F. amounting in the aggregate to 1,745 was common in the majority of districts. The percentage of decrease this year is 11·6. There has been a similar decrease of crimes in the surrounding provinces:—

Madras .....	5·6
Bombay.....	7·6
Berar .....	2·5
Central Provinces .....	16·9

In Mysore alone there has been an increase of 3·2 per cent. of reported crimes.

5. The following table shows the number of cases convicted

Disposal of cases brought and discharged in the various tribunals  
to trial. above referred to:—

CLASS OF COURTS.	CONVICTED		DISCHARGED.		Percentage of conviction to the total number convicted and discharged.		Percentage of cases disposed to those brought to trial.	
	Cases	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases	Persons.	Pre-sent. year.	Past year.
							Cases	Cases
Tahsildars' Courts .....	3,356	5,526	1,696	4,206	66·4	56·7	97·8	98·3
Third Talukdars' Courts..	398	713	274	806	59·2	46·9	97·2	98·7
Second Talukdars' Courts.	505	817	418	1,126	54·7	42·0	95·4	89·7
First Talukdars' Courts ..	1,115	2,333	947	2,768	54·0	45·7	91·0	92·4
City Magistrate's Court ..	672	889	513	766	56·7	53·7	91·2	93·7
Total...	6,046	10,278	3,848	9,672	61·1	51·5	94·8	95·6

The percentage of conviction on the total number convicted and discharged in the previous year was 66·2 in cases and 56·8 in persons against 61·1 and 51·5 of this year respectively. The

following is a comparative table on the same subject in the surrounding provinces:—

PROVINCES.	Percentage of conviction to the total No. of convictions and acquittals.
Bombay Presidency .....	51·4*
Madras do. ....	74·8†
Central Provinces .....	68·9‡
Mysore .....	48·8¶
Berar .....	56·2

6. The above statement also shows the amount of work done by each Court. There is a slight decrease of about 1 over the last year on the whole. But the second talukdars' Courts show a considerable decrease of about 5 per cent. over the last year.

In the year 1290 F. there were 6,383 of the reported crimes convicted and 4,094 discharged, as against 8,501 and 4,453 respectively of the year 1289 F. The average of the two years indicates that the number of crimes reported was 14,365, that of those convicted 7,442 and of those discharged 4,273.

From the total number of 14,455 cases brought for disposal 13,673 were dealt with, which gives a percentage of 94·5; while out of 27,675 persons brought to trial 26,256 were disposed of, which gives a percentage of 94·8.

\* Bombay Report, p. 143.

† Madras Report, p. 57.

‡ Central Provinces Report, (Judicial St., B. 2.)

¶ Mysore Report, p. 17.

|| Berar Report, B, Judicial, App. 20.



In the surrounding provinces the percentage of persons disposed of to persons dealt with for the year 1880-81 stood as follows:—

	Persons dealt with.	Persons disposed of	Percentage of disposed of to dealt with.
Madras .....	3,12,157	3,09,488	99·1*
Bombay .....	1,18,430	1,17,063	98·9†
Central Provinces .....	44,595	44,131	98·8‡
Berar .....	23,415	23,314	99·5¶
Mysore .....	19,404	19,300	99·5

7. In order to show more completely the work done by Criminal Courts the following abstract is given illustrating the cases disposed of as compared with those of the year 1289 Fasli:—

YEARS	No. of offences reported during the year.	Number of persons dealt with including arrears.	Number of persons convicted.	Number acquitted or discharged.	Numbers committed or referred to.	Died, transferred, or escaped.	Remaining undisposed of at the end of the year.
1289 Fasli .....	16,462	31,597	14,129	10,671	1,310	4,120	1,367
1290 „ .....	14,455	27,675	10,385	9,698	1,385	4,788	1,419
Increase in 1290 .....	...	...	...	...	75	668	52
Decrease in 1290 .....	2,007	3,922	3,744	173	...	...	...

There is a decrease in the first four heads, and an increase in the last three, and the percentage of convicted is 71·0 against 86·0 in 1289. The decrease in the number of cases is 12·0 per cent., while that in the number of accused is also 12·0 per cent.

\* Report, xliii.

† Judicial Statement, p. B. 33.

|| Statement, p. 11.

‡ Appendix, 72-73.

¶ Statement, p. 2.

8. There were 13 persons sentenced to death against 9 last year. Fifty-four persons were transported for life or 25 fewer than in 1289, and 166 to a term of years, showing the decrease of 48. There was a decided decrease of persons sentenced to imprisonment which stood at 2,496 against 4,103 of the last year. There appears also a considerable decrease in short sentences under one month, as these were 586 of the year 1290 against 1,126 of the year 1289 F. For sentences between three months and one year, and between one year and four years there were respectively 1,496 and 414, while the number of persons on whom fines were imposed were 4,465 against 4,857 of the year 1289 F. Sentences of whipping show a remarkable decrease, there were 744 men whipped as compared with 1,778 of the last year.

The subjoined statement shows punishments inflicted by various criminal tribunals during the year 1290 Fasli :—

CLASS OF COURTS.	Order to find or give.		Fines imposed.	Forfeiture of recognizances.	Whipped.	IMPRISONED.										Capital punishment.	Total number of persons sentenced.
	Recognizance.	Sureties.				Imprisonment during trial.	Under one month.	Up to three months.	Up to six months.	Up to one year.	Up to two years.	Up to four years.	Up to ten years.	Up to fourteen years.	For life.		
Police Pacels .....	.....	...	1,805	...	...	...	71	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,876
Tahsildars' Courts .....	910	153	2,886	34	473	378	409	211	71	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,526
Thrd Tahsildars' Courts ...	175	69	230	1	17	15	28	82	72	24	...	...	...	...	...	...	713
Second Tahsildars' Courts ...	177	33	338	5	4	84	26	68	83	24	...	...	...	...	...	...	817
First Tahsildars' Courts .....	348	237	655	34	97	91	28	104	211	212	133	163	*1	*4	...	...	2,333
City Magistrate's Court .....	97	18	289	...	147	10	72	109	88	52	5	2	...	...	...	...	889
Total.....	1,707	510	4,396	74	738	528	563	574	525	313	167	166	11	4	...	...	10,278
SESSIONS COURTS.																	
Divisional or Sessions Courts	9	5	5	5	5	9	...	1	3	5	4	13	64	...	...	...	128
High Court, Original Side ...	20	...	19	...	...	5	1	4	2	11	17	12	17	18	3	*4	133
High Court as Court of Reference .....	2	10	5	2	1	...	...	...	5	10	15	19	32	20	51	9	181
Total ...	31	15	29	7	6	14	1	5	10	26	36	44	113	38	54	13	442
CUSTOMS COURTS.																	
Assessors' Courts .....	.....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	.....
Mohammedans' Courts .....	.....	...	13	...	...	...	2	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	16
Tahsildar's Court .....	.....	...	25	...	...	3	20	29	10	3	1	...	...	...	...	...	91
Total .....	.....	...	38	...	...	3	22	30	10	3	1	...	...	...	...	...	107
GRAND TOTAL .....	1,738	525	4,465	81	744	545	586	609	545	842	204	210	124	42	54	13	10,827

\* The punishment inflicted was beyond the ordinary powers of the Court, but was for more than one offence, two offences being tried as one case.

9. The total number of appeals and applications for revision were 1,051 against 1,422 of last year.

Appeals and revisions.

Of these 257 were filed in the District Courts, 452 in the Divisional Courts, 1 in the Customs Talukdar's Court, and 341 in the High Court, Appellate Side. The results show the original sentences to have been confirmed in 295 cases, modified or reversed in 560, proceedings were quashed in 9 cases, 44 were remanded, and 41 struck off in default or withdrawn. The average duration of appeals was 52 days compared with 23·4 days of the year 1289 F. The following table gives the percentage of cases rejected, confirmed, modified, remanded, or pending:—

COURTS.	Total No. of appeals and applications.	CASES.				
		PERCENTAGE OF APPEALS AND APPLICATIONS.				
		Rejected.	Confirmed.	Modified or reversed.	Remanded.	Pending.
District Courts.....	257	3	30	55	3	9
Divisional Courts...	452	8	30	44	8	10
High Court, Appellate Side .....	341	2	23	64	2	9
Total .....	1,050	4	28	54	4	10
Last year.....	1,422	5	28	48	7	12

10. Against the decisions of the various tribunals the following table is intended to show the Result of appeals and revisions. appeal and revision cases submitted in

the District and Divisional Courts as well as in the Customs and High Courts :—

CLASS OF COURTS.	No. of Courts.	No. of Judges.	Cases pending from the last year.	Instituted during the year	Received by transfer.	Total for disposal.	Struck off.	Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Percentage of appeals, reversed or modified.	Remanded.	Struck off in default or withdrawn.	Pending.	Average duration of appeals.
														Days
District Courts .....	17	33	16	240	1	257	4	75	142	65·4	8	5	23	47
Divisional Courts ...	5	10	66	385	1	452	5	138	202	59·4	29	30	48	46
Muhtamims' Courts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Talukdars' Courts ...	1	2	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...
Adalat Aliya or High Court, Appellate Side	1	3	50	291	...	341	...	81	216	72·7	7	6	31	75
Total .....	24	48	132	917	2	1,051	9	295	560	69·1	44	41	102	52

# 11. In the Sessions Courts of Districts and of the Metropolis,

viz., the *Sadar* or Divisional Courts,  
Sessions Courts.

*Faujdari Buzurg* or *Majlis Aliya Adalat Sighai Ibtadai*, or High Court (Original Side), and *Majlis Aliya Adalat Sigha Islah* or High Court as Court of Reference, there were in all 612 cases for disposal this year as against 334 of the last year. Out of these cases only 218 were convicted, 225 either discharged or acquitted, while 26 were struck off. The Divisional Courts examined 303 cases, in the *Faujdari Buzurg* there were 148 cases, and 161 were brought up in *Majlis Aliya*. There were 63 cases pending from the last year, 467 were received by transfer, and 82 were instituted during the year. The total number of cases disposed of was 576, thus giving the percentage of 94·1 cases disposed of to cases for disposal. The average duration of each case this year was 45·5 days compared with 94·04 days of the last year, showing the greater activity and speed with which the Sessions work was carried on during the year 1290 Fasli. Out of 100 cases 50·2 were decided in the Divisional Courts, 22·9 in the *Faujdari Buzurg*, and 26·9 in the *Majlis Aliya*. The total number of persons sent to receive their trial at the Sessions Courts from inferior tribunals

was 1,972, of whom 442 were convicted, 765 were discharged or acquitted, 89 escaped or died, 463 were referred to higher authorities, the total number of persons disposed of being 1,759. At the end of the year 1290 Fasli there were 36 cases and 213 persons awaiting their trial.

12. The following is a comparative statement showing the number of crimes reported, convicted, and discharged in the four Fasli years 1287, 1288, 1289, 1290 :—

CRIMES.	1287.			1288.			1289.			1290.		
	No. of crimes reported.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.
Murder .....	205	80	16	133	53	16	130	55	47	110	50	24
Manslaughter.....	279	121	56	282	110	91	224	77	95	177	62	66
Other homicides .....	98	40	37	99	34	63	86	33	33	69	30	29
Causing miscarriage .....	38	6	22	33	11	14	26	19	26	78	16	36
Offences against the State.	16	7	2	2	3	2	4	3	18	..	...	...
Dacoity and robbery .....	770	502	169	465	353	106	428	307	107	211	126	72
Causing grievous hurt.....	495	305	172	522	281	161	742	368	240	327	141	92
Kidnapping and selling minors.....	327	212	86	260	181	62	81	34	38	24	15	8
Housebreaking .....	494	368	109	377	224	122	296	202	68	219	114	100
Danga and illegal confinement .....	275	85	88	145	55	24	274	134	83	36	19	12
Rape .....	124	53	68	107	43	54	104	46	49	86	36	47
Theft .....	6915	5286	1415	5940	4295	1180	3786	2579	1057	2630	1557	942
Breach of trust .....	421	294	45	232	209	32	282	190	89	78	64	26
Bribery .....	497	168	192	298	111	145	382	116	178	304	110	149
Making counterfeit coins..	120	63	53	71	47	26	87	62	26	53	25	20
Forgery .....	79	41	19	72	42	20	55	32	22	52	24	21
Pejury .....	41	39	4	52	42	6	46	39	9	33	27	15
Other crimes unspecified .	9112	5082	2464	8809	4764	2183	8309	4205	2248	8900	3967	2445

13. The reported crimes of 1290 and 1289 Fasli were classified as follows according to the four groups Crimes classified. into which they are sub-divided:—

	1290 F.	1289 F.
CLASS I.—Offences against the state ...	...	4
Offences relating to coins, stamps, weights, and measures .....	53	87
False evidence and offences against public justice .....	85	101
Offences by public servants ...	304	382
Offences relating to the public peace ...	36	274
	<hr/> 478	<hr/> 848
CLASS II.—Offences against the person ...	<hr/> 871	<hr/> 1,395
CLASS III.—Offences against property with violence .....	211	428
Offences against property without violence .....	2,927	4,364
	<hr/> 3,138	<hr/> 4,792
CLASS IV.—Other crimes unspecified above .....	8,900	8,309
	<hr/>	<hr/>
GRAND TOTAL...	13,387	15,344
	<hr/>	<hr/>

It ought to be borne in mind that in the absence of any definite code of penal and special laws, the above classification of crimes cannot be considered as an exhaustive one; and has been here adopted to follow the general symmetry of administration reports. Owing to this circumstance, as a natural result, through want of proper discrimination between analogous crimes, many of them fall in the fourth group, and hence the large excess of crimes in it.

14. The following is a comparative table showing the number of persons on whom punishment was inflicted in various criminal tribunals during the four Fasli years 1287, 1288, 1289, and 1290:—

CLASS OF COURTS.	1287	1288	1289	1290
Police Patels .....	1,989	1,797	1,729	1,876
Tahsildars' Courts .....	13,549	10,478	7,772	5,526
Third Talukdars' Courts .....	2,342	1,726	1,088	713
Second Talukdars' Courts .....	2,811	1,813	1,071	817
First Talukdars' Courts .....	5,923	3,752	2,909	2,333
City Magistrates' Courts .....	1,806	1,578	1,189	889
Total.....	26,431	19,347	14,029	10,278
Divisional or Sessions Courts .....	173	110	40	128
High Court, Original Side .....	538	151	308	133
High Court as Court of Reference..	383	410	326	181
Total.....	1,096	671	674	442
Amins' Courts .....	8	4	2	.....
Muhtamims' Courts .....	69	28	18	16
Talukdar's Court .....	132	110	80	91
Total.....	209	142	100	107
GRAND TOTAL.....	27,736	20,160	14,803	10,827

Thus it appears that in the year 1290 Fasli the total number of culprits was 10,827, and taking the Divani Population of H. H. the Nizam's State at 65,82,943 the percentage of criminals is 0.16 to the entire population. The following are the percentages of criminals in surrounding provinces to the entire population:—

Madras Presidency .....	0.44
Bombay Presidency .....	0.21
Berar .....	0.47
Mysore .....	0.22
Central Provinces.....	0.32

The following statement shows the amount of work which the Courts of Criminal Justice in the city and the districts had to do in the Fasli year 1290:—



CLASS OF COURTS.	No. of Courts.	No. of Magistrates.	PENDING FROM LAST YEAR.		INSTITUTED DURING THE YEAR.		TRANSFERRED FROM OTHER COURTS.		TOTAL FOR DISPOSAL.		TRANSFERRED, STRUCK OFF, ESCAPED, DIED, &c.		COMMITTED TO SESSIONS COURTS.	
			Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Police Patels .....	4,421	4,421	...	.....	1,232	1,922	...	...	1,232	1,922	.....	.....	...	.....
Tahsildars' Courts .....	102	102	120	270	6,245	11,807	56	85	6,421	12,222	1,099	1,937	129	288
Third Talukdars' Courts .....	19	19	13	28	788	1,715	9	22	810	1,765	105	176	11	34
Second Talukdars' Courts .....	21	21	150	190	1,040	2,163	36	83	1,226	2,436	177	281	70	86
First Talukdars' or District Magistrates' Courts .....	17	33	243	569	2,489	6,261	118	315	2,850	7,145	270	550	203	859
City Magistrate's Court .....	1	5	178	259	2,548	3,474	68	113	2,794	3,846	1,350	1,844	14	19
Total .....	160	180	704	1,316	13,110	25,480	287	618	14,101	27,414	3,001	4,788	437	1,281
CUSTOMS DEPARTMENT.														
Amin's Court .....	8	8	10	9	56	26	...	...	66	35	.....	.....	41	32
Mukhtams' Courts ..	8	8	35	20	62	41	50	40	147	101	.....	.....	100	72
Talukdar's Court .....	1	2	20	22	15	21	106	82	141	125	.....	.....	...	....
Total .....	17	18	65	51	133	88	156	122	354	261	.....	.....	141	104
GRAND TOTAL .....	177	198	769	1,367	13,243	25,568	443	740	14,455	27,675	3,001	4,788	628	1,385

CLASS OF COURTS.	CONVICTED.		ACQUITTED.		TOTAL DISPOSED OFF.		PER CENT. OF CONVICTION ON THE TOTAL NO. CONVICTED AND ACQUITTED.		PERCENTAGE OF CASES DISPOSED OFF.		AVERAGE DURATION OF EACH CASE.		PROPORTIONATE DISTRIBUTION OF CASES TO EACH CLASS OF COURTS.			PENDING.	
	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Present. year.	Past year.	Present year.	Past year.	Present year.	Past year.	Cases.	Persons.	
	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	
Police Patels.....	1,207	1,876	25	46	1,232	1,922	...	...	.....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Thasildars' Courts.....	3,356	5,526	1,096	4,206	6,280	11,952	66.4	56.7	97.8	98.3	9.4	6.4	46.9	48.9	141	270	
Third Talukdars' Courts.....	398	713	274	806	788	1,729	59.2	46.9	97.2	98.7	28.2	8.0	5.8	6.7	22	36	
Second Talukdars' Courts.....	505	817	418	1,126	1,170	2,310	54.7	42.0	95.4	89.7	36.0	10.1	8.8	8.5	56	126	
First Talukdars' or District Magistrates' Courts.....	1,115	2,333	947	2,768	2,595	6,510	54.0	45.7	91.0	92.4	73.3	17.8	19.4	19.4	255	635	
City Magistrate's Court.....	672	889	513	766	2,549	3,518	56.7	53.7	91.2	93.7	13.4	14.9	19.1	17.2	245	328	
Total.....	6,046	10,278	3,848	9,672	13,382	26,019	61.1	51.5	94.8	95.6	26.2	10.6	100	100	719	1,395	
CUSTOMS DEPARTMENT.																	
Amin's Court.....	...	...	...	...	41	32	...	...	62.1	85.0	...	0.75	14.2	16.8	25	3	
Mahatmins' Courts.....	19	16	2	2	121	90	90.4	88.8	82.3	79.1	10.4	16.4	41.5	40.7	26	11	
Talukdar's Court.....	100	91	29	24	129	115	77.5	79.1	91.5	87.8	95.5	55.5	44.3	42.4	12	10	
Total.....	119	107	31	26	291	237	79.3	80.4	82.2	83.9	46.6	30.3	100	100	63	24	
GRAND TOTAL.....	6,165	10,385	3,879	9,698	13,673	26,256	61.3	51.2	94.5	95.3	26.7	10.9	...	...	732	1,419	

# CHAPTER X.

---

*Prisons in 1290 Fasli.*



## CHAPTER X.

### PRISONS IN 1290 FASLI.

1. During the year 1290 Fasli the total number of prisons and lock-ups in His Highness' Dominions was 25—5 in the City of Hyderabad and 20 in the districts. The same number existed in the year 1289.

The total number of prisoners confined during the year was 12,620, as compared with 20,656 in the previous year. The daily average number of prisoners was 3,092. Of the total strength 8,384 were convicts, and 4,236 under-trial prisoners as against 12,175 and 8,481 respectively.

2. The daily number of convicts was 2,643. The number of prisoners included 4,516 persons that remained in jails on the last day of Shahrevar 1289 Fasli, 593 that were received from other jails, either to undergo sentence or in transit to other jails, and 3,275 that were admitted under fresh sentences against 4,727 in the previous year. It must here be observed that during the past five years (commencing with 1286 F., which was a famine year) there has been a considerable decrease in the number of fresh admissions consequent upon the prosperity of agriculture and the gradual fall in the prices of food grains. Now considering that there was a total absence of scarcity during the year under review and that the country has fully recovered from the effects of the late famine, the decrease in fresh admissions will appear considerable and the year will favourably contrast with the one preceding the famine, as will be observed from the following table :—

FASLI YEAR.	NUMBER ADMITTED INTO THE JAILS.		
	Males.	Females.	Total.
1285 Fasli .....	.....	.....	4,156
1286 Fasli .....	6,173	897	6,570
1287 Fasli .....	6,591	677	7,268
1288 Fasli .....	6,534	635	7,169
1289 Fasli .....	3,514	323	3,837
1290 Fasli .....	2,977	298	3,275

From the above statement it will be observed that there has been a decrease in the number of both male and female prisoners that entered the jails during the year under report, as compared with the admissions of the preceding year, the decrease in the number of males being 1,321, and in that of females being 131.

3. As will be seen from the following table there has been a general decrease in the number of admissions in the jails of the City of Hyderabad and in those of the districts. There is, however, an increase in the districts of Naldrug, Medak, Sarpur Tandur, and Khammam, which is very trifling.

DISTRICTS.	ADMISSIONS.					
	1289 F.	1290 F.	Increase.	Decrease.	Percentage of decrease	Percentage of increase.
Aurangabad .....	435	406	.....	29	6·7	.....
Birh .....	447	182	.....	265	59·2	.....
Parbhani .....	327	158	.....	169	51·6	.....
Total.....	1,209	746	.....	net 463	38·2	.....
Bidar .....	325	148	.....	177	54·4	.....
Nander .....	213	145	.....	68	31·9	.....
Naldrug.....	25	46	21	.....	.....	84·0
Total.....	563	339	.....	net 224	39·8	.....
Gulbarga .....	236	91	.....	145	61·4	.....
Shorapur .....	234	93	.....	141	60·2	.....
Raichur .....	144	81	.....	63	43·7	.....
Lingsugur .....	230	121	.....	109	47·3	.....
Total.....	844	386	.....	net 458	54·2	.....

DISTRICTS.	ADMISSIONS.					
	1289 F.	1290 F.	Increase.	Decrease.	Percentage of decrease.	Percentage of increase.
Medak .....	127	143	16	.....	.....	12·5
Indur .....	231	142	.....	89	38·5	.....
Elgandal .....	274	163	.....	111	40·5	.....
Sarpur Tandur .....	42	70	28	.....	.....	.....
Total.....	674	518	.....	net 156	23·1	.....
Khammam .....	100	144	44	.....	.....	44·0
Nalgunda .....	182	139	... ..	43	23·6	.....
Nagar Karnul .....	235	161	.....	74	31·4	.....
Total.....	517	444	.....	net 73	14·1	.....
Total Districts.....	3,807	2,433	.....	net 1,374	33·4	.....
City.....	731	704	.....	net 30	4·0	.....
GRAND TOTAL...	4,541	3,137	.....	1,404	30·9	.....

4. The decrease on the whole is 30 per cent., and satisfactory; the decrease in the city is very trifling, but on the total of the districts it is considerable, being 35·0 per cent. The percentage of the decrease of admissions for the present year 1880-81 to those of the year preceding in the Bombay Presidency is 30·6.

A comparison with surrounding Provinces.

5. The total number of prisoners discharged from the various jails was 8,304 in 1290 F. against 15,564 in 1289 F. Thus, the number remaining on the last day of the year was 4,316 of which 4,048

Description of prisoners.

were males and 268 females. Out of the number discharged, the number of convicts transferred to other jails was 733 against 1,829. The majority of these transfers were made for the purpose of undergoing sentences, and in a few cases only for want of accommodation. The number of under-trial prisoners discharged amounted to 3,606, and of the remainder, *i.e.*, 3,965 prisoners, 142 escaped, 156 died, 3,667 released after appeal, after expiry of the sentence, and on account of good conduct.

Distribution of prisoners.

6. The following table exhibits the distribution of the different classes of prisoners during the year 1290 Fasli:—

CLASS OF PERSONS.	Remained at the commencement of the year.	Received during the year.	Total.	Discharged from all causes.	Remaining at the end of the year.
Convicts .....	4,516	3,275	7,791	3,965	3,686
Under-trial .....	576	360	4,236	3,606	630
Total.....	5,092	6,935	12,027	7,571	4,316

From the above figures it is apparent that out of the whole number of prisoners 64·7 per cent. were convicts, and the remainder under trial.

7. The ratio which the number of convicts received during the year bears to the total number of fresh admissions is 47·2 per cent. The same proportion for the Bombay Presidency for the year 1880-81 when the fresh admissions amounted to 22,914, and the number of convicts to 15,949 is 69·6.

8. The number of juveniles admitted under criminal sentences during the year was 8 against 4 in the previous year. Of these 7 were below 12 years, and only one was above that age. Besides these there were 39 others received with their mothers.

Thus the total number of juveniles confined during 1290 F. was 47 against 72 in 1289 F. One of the 47 juveniles was employed as a common labourer against 6 in the last year. None of these attended the school, being unfit for education on account of infancy or other causes.



9. The classification of prisoners that entered the jails according to religion is as follows for the two Fasli years 1289 and 1290 F.—

RELIGION.	City.	Districts.	Total.	Percentage on the total number.
<i>Mohammadans.</i>				
Fasli 1289 .....	316	748	1,064	22·5
„ 1290 .....	304	478	782	23·9
<i>Hindus.</i>				
Fasli 1289 .....	256	1,991	2,247	47·6
„ 1290 .....	398	1,507	1,905	58·2
<i>Others.</i>				
Fasli 1289 .....	162	1,254	1,416	29·9
„ 1290 .....	2	586	588	17·9
Total 1289 .....	734	3,993	4,727	100·0
„ 1290 .....	704	2,571	3,275	100·0

10. The number of Mohammadans admitted during the year 1290 Fasli in the jails is similar to that

The above statement reviewed.

of 1289, there being a difference of 16, which is inconsiderable. The difference between the figures for the districts which is 270, and that between the percentages of the two years is very trifling, being only 1 per cent. The Hindu admissions in the city jails for 1290 F. exceed those for the preceding year by 142, while those in the districts fall short by 484. Thus on the whole there is a net decrease of 342 in the Hindus, but there being a marked difference between the total number of admissions, the proportion per cent. of Hindus for 1290 exceeds that for 1289 by 11. The prisoners of other castes are 2 against 162 in the city jails and 586 against 1,254 in the districts, or on the whole 17·9 per cent. of the total convicts against 29·9.

11. The following table shows the distribution of male prisoners according to their previous occupation and compares the numbers for the present year with those for the past which are given below :—

OCCUPIED.	Fasli Year.	City.	District.	Total.	Percentage.
Agriculturists and <i>Watandars</i> .	1289	385	1,276	1,661	35·1
	1290	185	708	893	27·2
Tradesmen .....	1289	20	65	85	1·8
	1290	2	60	62	1·9
Mechanics and Artisans. .	1289	209	559	768	16·2
	1290	69	429	498	15·2
Servants .....	1289	120	238	358	7·5
	1290	185	272	457	14·0
Others .....	1289	...	1,855	1,855	39·1
	1290	263	1,102	1,365	41·7
Total...	1289	734	3,993	4,727	100·0
	1290	704	2,571	3,275	100·0

12. From the above statement it appears that the proportion of agriculturists and *Watandars* for the year under review has fallen from 35·1 to 27·2 per cent., while that of servants has risen from 7·5 to 14·0. The decrease in the former numbers and the increase in the latter is alike in both the city and the districts. The other proportions are similar to those of the previous year and scarcely call for any remark. There are no prisoners of unspecified occupations in 1289 F., while the number for 1290 F. stands as high as 263. There is a general decrease in the number of tradesmen and artisans in both the city and the districts as compared with the previous year. The percentage of agricultural convicts on the total agricultural population, which was returned at 15,03,371 in the late Census, is ·05 against ·11 in 1289, and is satisfactory.

13. Of the total number of prisoners, 133 were educated and 3,144 uneducated, against 208 and 4,519 in the last year. The proportion of educated prisoners to the total number of admissions was 4·06 per cent., or a little less than that of the last year, when it was 4·4.

14. Out of 3,275 convicts of both sexes admitted during the Fasli year 1290, 299 had been previously convicted and the remaining 2,984 were those convicted for the first time. They are classified as below :—

How often convicted.	1290 FASLI.		1289 FASLI.	
	Total.	Percentage of each description on the total.	Total.	Percentage of each description on the total.
Once convicted .....	2,984	91·1	4,369	92·4
Twice do. ....	200	6·1	273	5·8
Thrice do. ....	73	2·2	53	1·1
Four times do. ....	18	·6	32	·7
Total.....	3,275	100	4,727	100

The percentage of re-convicted prisoners has risen from 7·6 to 9·1 in 1290. There is, however, a decrease in the number of those convicted four times, but it is very slight. The increase in the proportion of twice and thrice convicted prisoners has been considerable, and has caused an increase on the whole, which is to be regretted.

15. As in the last year the number of re-convicted prisoners admitted in the city and district jails is very large, being 37·8 against 45·5 of the total number, and notably so in the Eastern Division. The proportions of the Northern and Southern Divisions also for the year exceed those for the previous year, and the Western, North-

western, and the City show a decrease as compared with the past year as will be observed from the following table :—

DIVISIONS.	RE-CONVICTED.		PERCENTAGE.	
	1290 F.	1289 F.	1290 F.	1289 F.
North-western .....	22	49	7·6	13·7
Northern .....	32	33	11·0	9·2
Western.....	35	92	12·0	25·8
Southern .....	21	14	7·2	3·9
Eastern .....	71	7	24·4	1·9
Total.....	181	195	62·2	54·5
City.....	110	163	37·8	45·5
GRAND TOTAL.....	291	358	100·0	100·0

Percentage of re-convictions  
to fresh admissions.

16. The percentage of re-convictions among the fresh admissions compared with other provinces is as below :—

Nizam's Dominions .....	9·1
Bombay .....	12·5
Berar .....	13·65

# CHAPTER XI.

---

*Civil Justice for 1290 Fasli*



## CHAPTER XI.

### CIVIL JUSTICE FOR 1290 F.

#### COURTS OF ORIGINAL JURISDICTION.

DURING the year 1290 Fasli there have been at work altogether 167 civil Courts of all classes with 193 Judges exercising original jurisdiction in both the metropolis and the districts of His Highness' Dominions. There was no new Court established, nor any abolished, during the year. The same number of Courts was at work in the preceding year, during which year also no new establishment or abolition took place. These Courts according to their powers are classified below:—

Class of Courts.	Number of Courts.	Number of Judges.	Powers.
1. Tahsildars' Courts ...	103	103	Suits not exceeding Rs. 300.
2. Third Talukdars' Courts.....	19	19	Do. do. Rs. 1,000.
3. Second do. do. ...	22	22	Do. do. Rs. 2,000.
4. First do. do. ...	17	33	Above Rs. 2,000.
5. City Civil Court .....	1	5	Not exceeding Rs. 2,000.
6. Kazi's Court ... ..	1	1	Inheritance and matrimonial.
7. Arabs' do. ....	1	1	Arab disputes.
8. Insolvency Court .....	1	5	Insolvency cases of any extent.
9. <i>Majlis Aliya Adalat</i> or High Court, Original Side.....	1	1	Above Rs. 2,000
10. Suburban Court ...	1	1	Ordinary Civil Court Powers: intestate, testamentary, and matrimonial jurisdiction.

2. All the Judges of the first class, namely Tahsildars, exercise equal powers within the limits of their talukas. There are among them two *Naib-Tahsildars* who, though inferior to the Tahsildars in respect to pay and the extent of the country entrusted to them,

are in no way inferior to them in regard to the exercise of civil powers within their jurisdiction. This is the lowest stratum of civil tribunals in the *Mufussil*.

Appeals against the decisions of this class of Courts lie to the First talukdars.

3. The second class Judges are 19 in number and exercise equal powers within their districts. They possess no appellate powers.

4. The Judges of the third class, namely, Second talukdars, also exercise equal powers in their districts, but have not the power to hear appeals.

5. Of the fourth class, there are 17 Courts and 33 Judges. These are called *Adalat Zilla* or District Courts, and are presided over by the First talukdars assisted by Judicial Assistants, the latter exercising the same powers as the former. There are only 16 districts that have a First talukdar and an Assistant each. The 17th is only a sub-district presided over by an officer called *Amaldar*. He has no Judicial Assistant and is inferior to the talukdars of other districts in respect to pay; but exercises the same powers in the administration of civil justice. The jurisdiction of this class of Courts extends over the whole district. This is the highest grade of Courts exercising original civil jurisdiction in the *Mufussil*. Appeals against the decisions of this class of Courts lie to the *Sadar* talukdars. The First talukdars exercise powers of a Small Cause Court in purely civil suits (for cash) up to Rs. 300, in which their decisions are final. They are all appealable in cases of *Watans*.

6. This Court exercises powers both of the nature of an ordinary civil Court and the Court of Small Causes. It has one *Nazim* or Judge and four *Naibs* or deputies. Their powers with respect to each other are as follows :—

All suits are filed before the *Nazim* or Judge, who either keeps them for himself to investigate and decide or gives them over to one of the *Naibs*. The *Naib* goes through all the proceed-



ings of the case and prepares the *Missil* or file of the case. If the value of the suit is below Rs. 300, he passes his decision and sends it up for confirmation to the *Nazim*, who can alter the decision proposed by the *Naiib* or order a re-investigation and submission of the case. After the *Nazim* has passed his judgment the decision is declared final and passed over to the parties concerned in the case. In cases where the nature or subject matter of which is above Rs. 300 the decision of the *Naiib* is not sent up to the *Nazim*, but conveyed to the parties then and there. The *Naiib* hears suits up to Rs. 1,000 and the *Nazim* tries them, if their value is beyond this sum and below Rs. 2,000. Appeal lies only to the High Court.

7. The *Kazi* of the City, as Judge of a Court called *Dar-ul-Kaza*, hears cases appertaining to inheritance and matrimony. Appeal lies to the High Court.

*Kazi's Court.*

8. The Court called *Kazayai-Arubb* or Arabs' Court only deals with civil cases of the Arabs (in the Military service) of any value.

*Arabs' Court.*

Appeal does not lie to the High Court, but to the Appellate Board of *Kazayai-Arubb*.

9. The Insolvency Court exercises insolvency jurisdiction in the Metropolis. The tribunal is formed of one *Mir Majlis* or the Chief Justice and

*Insolvency Court.*

four Judges. Suits are, for the first time, filed on unstamped paper before the Chief Judge, who sends them over to any one of the members to try. This member goes through every detail of enquiry, prepares the *Missil* or file and records his opinion regarding the case. The *Missil* then passes through the hands of all the other Judges, each of whom after careful study of the said *Missil* records his opinion regarding the same. The *Missil* then goes to the Chief Justice who also studies the whole *Missil* and the opinions recorded by his Assistant Judges. After this he passes his judgment and the case is decided by a majority of opinion.

10. The *Majlis Aliya Adalat Sigha Ibtidai*, or High Court, Original Side, hears suits the value or subject matter of which exceeds

*High Court.*

Rs. 2,000. The tribunal is composed of a single Judge. It is a Court

of the highest grade among the Courts of the City exercising original jurisdiction. Appeal lies to the High Court, Appellate Side.

11. The jurisdiction of the Courts from Nos. 5 to 9 is confined only to the City of Hyderabad and its Suburbs, excluding Chadarghat and the European and Eurasian quarters. All the Courts from Nos. 1 to 9 are under the *Sadar-ul-Miham-Adalat* or the Judicial Minister.

12. The Suburban Court of Judicature exercises its powers only in a portion of the Suburbs of Hyderabad, viz., Chadarghat and European quarters and Troop Bazar. It is presided over by a European Judge who is also legal Secretary to Government. Appeals against the decisions of this Court lie direct to the Government and not to the High Court, Appellate Side. This Court is not under the Judicial Minister.

13. Thus altogether there are 167 Courts and 193 Judges exercising original jurisdiction. The population of the country under the *Divani* Administration according to the late Census returns is 66,35,392 souls, and the area is 69,670 square miles. The ratio which the number of Courts and Judges bears to population is 1 to 39,736 and 34,383 souls respectively, and that which they bear to the area of the country is 1 to 417 and 361 square miles respectively.

#### APPELLATE COURTS.

14. There are four Courts of civil appeal for the whole of the territory. The number of Judges and their powers are given below :—

Class of Court.	No. of Courts.	No. of Judges.	Powers.
First talukdars' Court.....	17	33	Appeals from Tahsildars' decisions.
Divisional or <i>Sadar</i> talukdars' Courts .....	5	10	Appeals from First, Second, and Third talukdars' decisions.
High Court, Appellate Side.	1	5	Appeals from all Courts exercising civil jurisdiction, (excepting the Suburban Court,) reference and revision.
Appellate Board of <i>Kazayai-Arub</i> .....	1	4	Appeals from <i>Kazayai-Arub</i> or Arabs' Court.

15. The First talukdars and their Judicial Assistants possess equal powers of hearing appeals from the First and *Sadar* talukdars. decisions of the Tahsildars. Their jurisdiction is confined to their respective districts. The jurisdiction of the Divisional Courts extend to the whole divisions. The *Sadar* talukdars and their Judicial Assistants exercise equal powers.

16. The jurisdiction of the High Court, Appellate Side, extends over all the Courts of the territory exercising civil jurisdiction, whether High Court. Original or Appellate. It has no powers to hear appeals from the decisions of the Suburban Court. This is a Court of the highest standard in His Highness' territories. There is no tribunal authorized to hear appeals against the decisions passed by the High Court, Appellate Side. The *Sadr-ul-Miham* and the Government in the Judicial Department can only order revision of the decisions passed by the High Court.

17. This Board is composed of four members, one of whom is the Judge of the *Kazayai-Arub* or Appellate Board of *Kazayai-Arub*. Arabs' Court, from which Court alone it possesses the power of hearing appeals. The appeal is filed on unstamped paper and the decision of the Board is submitted direct to the Prime Minister for final orders, before it is communicated or made known to the parties.

#### WORKING OF THE COURTS.

18. The total number of original suits that were before the High Court, Original Side. Court for disposal amounted to 83 against 101 last year. Of these 16 were those that were pending trial at the close of the last year, 8 were received by transfer, and 59 were filed during the year against 58 in the year preceding. During the last decade since 1281 F. there has been a continual falling off in the number of suits instituted, except in 1290 F., when the number slightly exceeded that of the previous year, as will be observed from the following figures :—

Fasli Year.	Suits instituted in the High Court.
1281 .....	685
1282 .....	633
1283 .....	323
1284 .....	226

Fasli Year.	Suits instituted in the High Court.
1285 .....	216
1286 .....	148
1287 .....	92
1288 .....	64
1289 .....	58
1290 .....	59

19. Of the whole number of suits for disposal (8) 1 was transferred to another court, 4 were returned or rejected on sight of the petition, 56 were regularly disposed of, and 22 were left in arrears. Thus, including transfers and rejections, 61 suits or 73·4 per cent. of the number for disposal were disposed of. The percentage is less than that last year and 1287 F., when it stood at 84·1 and 77·2 respectively; but exceeds that for 1288 F. by 13·3. This average compares with other Indian provinces for the same year, *i.e.*, 1290 F.=1880-81 as below:—

Bombay .....	50·0
Madras .....	72·8
Berar .....	} not given separate.
Mysore.....	

20. The number of regular suits disposed of, excluding complaints transferred and rejected without trial, was 56 against 74 in 1289, 60 in 1288, and 71 in 1287; that is to say, out of the total number of suits for disposal only 67·4 per cent. were disposed of during 1290, 73·2 per cent. in 1289, 53·0 in 1288, and 36·2 in 1287.

21. From the above two kinds of comparisons, it appears that the difference between the two averages for 1290, 1289, and 1288 is not so striking as to call for any remark. But for the year 1287 the first average of disposals is more than double the second, and this is to be attributed to the fact that the number of suits transferred and disposed of without trial was extraordinarily large. The average of regular disposals during the year under review is a little less than last year, but compares favourably with the other two years.

22. Out of 56 regular suits disposed of 33 or 58·9 per cent. were contested and 23 or 41·1 per cent. uncontested. The proportion of contested cases compares with the three previous years as follows:—

Contested and uncontested cases.

1287 F. ....	50·7
1288 F. ....	36·6
1289 F. ....	67·5
1290 F. ....	58·9

23. It must here be noticed that, notwithstanding the fact that the number of contested cases last year was in excess of that in the present year, the proportion of regular suits disposed of on the total number for disposal for the former year exceeds that for the latter by 8·6 per cent. Of the uncontested cases 6 were decided *ex parte*, 7 on confession or compromise, 2 withdrawn and 8 dismissed for default; and of the contested, in 22 cases judgment was passed for the plaintiff, and in 11 for the defendant.

24. The average duration for the contested cases was 112 days and for the uncontested 111 days, while in the three foregoing years (viz., 1289, 1288, and 1287 F.) the average duration was as follows:—

	Contested.	Uncontested.
1287 F. ....	140	68
1288 F. ....	228·9	172·6
1289 F. ....	156·0	99·5

A comparison with the other provinces of British India gives the following results:—

	Contested.	Uncontested.
Bombay .....	306	327
Madras .....	not given.	
Berar .....	} not given separate.	
Mysore .....		

25. At the end of the year there were 22 cases pending disposal against 16 last year. Of these 18, as against 11, were pending for six

Suits pending.

months, 3 against 4 for more than six months, and 1 against 1 for more than a year.

26. Of the whole number of suits filed during the year, 28 relate to debts against 25 last year, 1 against none to religious endowments, and 5 against 5 to inheritance and adoption; and the rest were for cash and movable and immovable property. For detail of this see Civil Appendix D. The proportion of suits relating to pure immovable property during the year 1290 Fasli was 3·4 against 3·4 in 1289, 3·1 in 1288, and 3·2 in 1287.

27. As said above the High Court hears original cases exceeding Rs. 2,000 in value. The following comparative statement classifies suits instituted during the last four years according to value:—

Fasli Year.	Not exceeding Rs. 5,000.	Not exceeding Rs. 20,000.	Not exceeding Rs. 50,000.	Not exceeding Rs. 1,00,000.	Upwards of Rs. 1,00,000.	Cases without value.	Total.
1287	37	24	5	4	3	19	92
Percentage .....	40·2	26·10	5·5	4·3	3·2	20·7	100
1288	39	18	3	...	4	...	64
Percentage .....	60·9	28·1	4·7	...	6·3	...	100
1289	38	14	3	1	1	1	58
Percentage .....	65·5	24·1	5·2	1·7	1·7	1·8	100
1290	31	18	3	1	1	5	59
Percentage .....	52·5	30·5	5·08	1·8	1·7	8·4	100

28. It is remarkable that the proportion of the suits of the first class, namely those not exceeding Rs. 5,000, show a decrease as compared with the two previous years, and an increase as compared with 1287 Fasli, and the percentage of suits not exceeding Rs. 20,000 shows an increase as compared with the three previous years. In the other classes of suits there is nothing remarkable except in the cases of suits of no value in which there

Remarks suggested by the above.

has been a remarkable decrease in comparison with 1287 F. and an increase as compared with 1288 and 1289 F. The total value of suits amounted to Rs. 54,44,080-13-7, and the average value of each suit excluding cases without value was Rs. 1,00,816-4-11 against Rs. 12,960-5-10 in 1289, Rs. 1,73,604-3-4 in 1288, and Rs. 84,112-5-2 in 1287.

29. At the end of the last year there were in arrears 90 applications for the execution of decrees and 92 fresh applications were filed, thus making a total for disposal of 182 against 176 in 1289 F. Of these applications 90 were disposed of and 92 remained pending disposal. The percentage of disposals is 49·4 and shows a slight increase of nearly 1 per cent. as compared with 1289 F. but a remarkable decrease of 15·3 as compared with 1288 F.

Execution of decrees.

30. Out of 5 applications for leave to sue in form *de pauperis*, only 2 were admitted against an average of 14 during the last five years.

Pauper suits.

31. The total cost of litigation on the original side amounted to Rs. 12,647 against Rs. 15,744 in the year preceding, and the average cost per suit stood at Rs. 225-10-9 against Rs. 212-12-2. The stamp paper charges of all kinds aggregated Rs. 9,524 against Rs. 9,898, being a decrease of Rs. 374 or 3·7 per cent. on the last year.

Cost of litigation.

32. The civil business that came before the High Court, Appellate Side, during the years 1290 and 1289 F. was as follows:—

High Court, Appellate Side.  
Appeals filed.

Nature of Appeals.	Pending from last year.		Instituted.		Disposed of.		Pending.	
	1289 F.	1290 F.	1289 F.	1290 F.	1289 F.	1290 F.	1289 F.	1290 F.
Regular or First Appeals..	80	67	180	230	193	242	67	51
Special Appeals .....	46	26	58	58	78	60	26	24
References and Miscellaneous Orders .....	16	62	212	205	150	174	78	93

33. The following statement gives the number of appeals and references admitted during each Fasli year in the High Court during the last decade :—

Nature of Appeals.	1281. F.	1282. F.	1283. F.	1284. F.	1285. F.	1286. F.	1287. F.	1288. F.	1289 F.	1290. F.
Regular Appeals .....	779	513	333	180	176	213	144	156	180	230
Special or Second Appeals .....	66	80	48	84	55	116	66	47	58	58
References and Miscellaneous Orders .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	211	212	205

34. The number of appeals of all kinds instituted during the year was 253. Thus including the eighty-nine appeals that remained pending at the end of the last year and 28 that were received from other Appellate Courts, the total number for disposal before the Court was 370 or 37 in excess of the average for the past three years (which was 333). Of these 295 were disposed of against 243, leaving 75 against 89 in arrears. The average per cent. of appeals disposed during the last four years is compared below :—

F. 1287.....	51·5
F. 1288.....	68·4
F. 1289.....	73·19
F. 1290.....	79·7

35. It is satisfactory to observe that the percentage shows a regular increase each year. The percentage for 1290 F. exceeds that for 1287 F. by 28·2 or in other words it is half as much again as the figure for 1287 F. The number of appeals disposed of without contest was 24, while the disposals after contest were 271. The percentage of contested cases to the total number disposed of was 91·8. The percentage for the past three years, viz., 1287, 1288, and 1289 F. were 93·2, 88·9, and 84·7 respectively.

36. The general average pendency of contested and uncontested cases was 165 days or 35 days less than last year and 34 less than the year



before last ; but 36 and 50 days more than in 1287 and 1286 Fasli. It is satisfactory to remark that while there is an increase in the number of contested cases (271) in the present year as compared with 1289 and 1288 F. when it stood at 216 and 201, the average duration shows a considerable decrease. The year shows an increase in comparison with 1287 and 1286 F., which is satisfactorily explained by the fact that those were years of famine and as a consequence a comparatively small number of appeals were filed, which were summarily disposed of.

37. As has been already observed the number of appeals that remained pending disposal at the end of the year 1290 F. was 75 or 20·2 per cent. of the number for disposal against 89 or 23·9 per cent. in the preceding year. This percentage is in excess of the average for the past ten years.

38. The total cost of litigation was Rs. 25,546 and the average per suit was Rs. 93-9-3. The stamp paper charges aggregated Rs. 10,058 against Rs. 11,892, the average of the last five years.

39. The total number of suits of all descriptions instituted during the year was 1,444 or 338 more than in 1289 F. or 670 less than the average for the last nine years. The Court as above stated possesses powers of a Court of Small Causes as well as those of an ordinary civil Court, and its decisions in its former capacity are final up to Rs. 300. The number of cases not exceeding Rs. 300, admitted as Small Causes, was 1,187 or 82·2 per cent. against 873 or 78·9 per cent. in the preceding year. The total number of cases for disposal on the file of the Court including (56) such as remained undisposed of from 1289 F. and (123) such as were received by transfer, was 1,623 against 1,335. Of this number 21 cases were transferred to other Courts and 149 plaints were rejected or returned without trial ; 811 were decided without contest and 621 after contest. Thus the total number disposed of was 1,602 or 340 more than the average of the last three years. The percentage on the total number for disposal was 98·7 or 3·6 more than the average per cent. of the same three years.

40. The following table compares the number of contested and uncontested cases during the last four years :—

Statement of contested and uncontested cases.

Fasli Year.	Contested.	Uncontested.
1287.....	482	512
Percentage .....	40·5	42·9
1288 .....	497	632
Percentage .....	37·7	47·9
1289.....	460	664
Percentage .....	35·9	51·9
1290.....	621	811
Percentage .....	38·7	50·6

41. Considering that the number of cases decided after contest considerably exceeds those for the three previous years, and that the percentage of contested cases on the number disposed of for the years 1290 F. also slightly exceeds, the average per cent. for three previous years being 38·7 against 37·9, the excess of 3·7 per cent. given in the above paragraph is commendable.

42. Including such as remained pending at the end of the last year, the number of applications on the files of the Court for execution of decrees amounted to 2,314 against 1,906 in the last year. The following table shows the manner in which these were disposed of, leaving 173 at the close of the year. For detail see Appendix Civil B.

	1289 F.	1290 F.
Struck off in default .....	651	856
By fixing instalments .....	105	160
Compromised .....	279	395
Completely and partially executed .....	597	750
Total.....	1,632	2,141

43. The percentage of the execution of decrees on the total for disposal for the year under review is 92·5. This proportion exceeds those for the last year and year before last by 6·9 and 8·7 per cent. respectively, but is less than that for 1287 Fasli by 1·7, which difference is considerably trifling. A comparison of this proportion with the other provinces of British India gives the following results :—

Bombay }  
 Madras } not given.  
 Berar }  
 Mysore. } not given separately.

44. The number of coercive processes issued during the year was 493 against 380 in 1289 F.; 402 in 1288 F. and 815 in 1287 Fasli. For detail see Appendix B.

45. Of the whole number of suits instituted 19 relate to immovable and 597 to money lent, and the rest were claims of other descriptions.

For detail see Appendix D.

46. The following comparative statement, which embodies figures for the four years, classifies suits according to value: —

Fasli Year.	Below Rs. 16.	Not exceeding Rs. 100.	Not exceeding Rs. 300.	Not exceeding Rs. 1,000.	Not exceeding Rs. 2,000.	Cases without value.	Total.
1287 .....	212	267	277	146	55	51	1,008
Percentage .....	21·03	26·40	27·48	14·48	5·46	5·06	100
1288 .....	221	336	313	131	59	79	1,139
Percentage .....	19·4	29·5	25·7	11·5	52	6·9	100
1289 .....	251	339	283	139	43	51	1,106
Percentage .....	22·7	30·6	25·6	12·6	3·9	4·9	100
1290 .....	457	440	290	133	51	73	1,444
Percentage .....	30·9	30·5	20·1	7·9	3·5	5·1	100

From the above statement it will be observed that there is a general decrease in the number of suits for larger amounts, viz., above Rs. 300, and it is thought to be attributable to the increasing prosperity of the people.

47. The *Kazi*, as Judge of the City, exercises his powers in deciding cases of inheritance and matrimony of Mahommedans only according to Hanfia laws. There were 372 cases instituted during the year against 353 last year. There were altogether 552 cases before the Court for disposal, the complement 180 cases having been received by transfer or having remained pending at the close of the last year. The total number disposed of during the year was 306 or 55·4 per cent. against 346 or 66·4 per cent. in the foregoing year. Of the number disposed of, 146 were uncontested and 160 contested against 133 and 213 last year. The average duration of contested cases was 142 days against 118·8 and of uncontested cases 171 against 70·4 days.

48. There were altogether 70 claims for dower, 109 for enforcement of matrimonial rights, one related to religious endowments, 40 to inheritance and adoption, 5 to right of pre-emption, 68 to cash and movable property, and 79 to immovable property.

49. The Court has power to hear suits of any value. There were altogether 372 suits filed, of which 252 were without value; the rest 120 were valued at Rs. 21,35,529 against Rs. 54,07,410 in the last year. The average value of each was Rs. 17,796 against Rs. 4,719.

It will be observed from Civil Appendix E that the average value of a suit of this Court is next to that of the High Court, which is Rs. 1,00,816.

50. There were 259 applications for execution on the files of the Court against 284. Of these 163, or 62·9 per cent. were disposed of against 160 or 56·3 per cent. in 1289, leaving 96 against 124 in arrears. For detail see Civil Appendix B. In 25 cases coercive processes had to be resorted to in the shape of attachment and sale of movable and immovable property.

51. The total costs of litigation amounted to Rs. 4,087 against Rs. 1,584 and the average per cent. stood at Rs. 13-5-8 against Rs. 4-9-2 in 1289 F. The value of stamped paper of all kinds aggregated Rs. 3,902 against Rs. 919.

52. As said above, the Arab's Court is a kind of Military Tribunal and tries Civil suits of Arabs. There were altogether 27 cases instituted during the year, which is less than half the average for the last decade (115), which, together with 16 cases pending from the last year and received by transfer, make up a total of 44 cases for disposal before the Court. From this number only one plaint was rejected without trial and 12 cases were dismissed for default and 6 were decided after contest. Thus altogether 19 cases were disposed of during the year, leaving 25 pending at the end of the year. The percentage of cases disposed of was 43·1. In the last year the number of cases disposed of was 92 out of 108 for disposal and the percentage was 88·8 or nearly double that for the year under review.

53. The average duration of contested cases was 238 against 388, and of uncontested cases 213 against 338 days.

54. Of the total number of cases disposed of by Courts of all classes, this Court decided 2 per cent. against 6 per cent. in the year preceding.

55. Of the 27 cases instituted, 15 were those on written obligations, 3 on unwritten obligations, and 9 on accounts stated. The total value of suits instituted during the year was Rs. 1,12,195 against Rs. 2,27,691 last year. The average per suit was Rs. 4,487 against Rs. 3,925.

56. The total number of applications for execution before the Court was 201 against 189 last year. Of these 131 were disposed of, leaving 70 in arrears against 133 and 56. The percentage of execution was 65·1 and was less than the three previous years. It was 70·3 in 1289, 77·0 in 1288, and 73·3 in 1287 Fasli. There were 54

coercive processes issued during the year against 42 in the last year. For detail see Civil Appendix B.

Costs.

57. The suits in this Court are filed on unstamped paper.

58. This Court was opened in the year 1286 F.; before which

Insolvency Courts.

cases of bankruptcy were tried in the High Court. In that year the Court

Suits instituted.

received 773 cases, in the following year,

*i.e.*, 1287 F., the number came down to 146, perhaps on account of famine; in 1288 it went up again as high as 701; in 1289 it was 434; while in the year under review it was 235. Besides the last figure there were 505 suits pending trial at the end of 1289 F. Thus there were 740 cases for disposal on the files of the Court.

59. There were altogether 190 cases disposed of or 25·6

Disposal of suits.

per cent., leaving 550 or nearly 75 per cent. in arrears at the end of the year.

Of the number disposed of, 23 complaints were rejected without trial and 77 were decided after contest and 90 without being contested. The average pendency of uncontested cases was 382 against 393, and of contested 483 against 358 days. Of the total number of cases of all descriptions disposed of by Courts of all classes this Court disposed of 2·1 per cent. For description of suits see Civil Appendix D.

60. The total value of suits instituted was Rs. 8,48,131, giving

Value of suits.

an average for each suit of Rs. 3,736.

This amount is considerably less than each of the past two years, 1288 and 1289 F., in which the amounts litigated were Rs. 15,35,971 and Rs. 13,92,421; the last is nearly triple that for 1287 F., which was only Rs. 3,48,016. There were 42 cases below Rs. 100, 53 below Rs. 300, 73 below Rs. 1,000; the value of the rest exceeds Rs. 100. There was only one case which exceeded Rs. 10,000, and 8 cases the value of which could not be ascertained.

### COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.

61. These are altogether five in number, viz., tahsildars, Third

Institution of suits.

talukdars, Second talukdars, First talukdars, and *Sadar* talukdars. The

first three exercise only original powers, the 4th, *i.e.*, Talukdar's Court, is both original and appellate, and the last is purely appellate. The number of original suits instituted in the first four Courts amounted to 5,770 or 378 less than those last year. The following figures show that this number is less than that for any year in the last decade, except that for 1281, and is 695 less than the average for the same ten years :—

F. Years.	No of Cases.
1281 .....	4,852
1282 .....	6,820
1283 .....	6,714
1284 .....	7,140
1285 .....	8,869
1286 .....	6,527
1287 .....	5,811
1288 .....	6,066
1289 .....	6,157
1290 .....	5,770
Average... <u>6,465</u>	

It will also be observed from the above figures that as the reforms in 1281 F. set the Department of Justice on a comparatively satisfactory footing, the creditors gradually began to come forward to the Courts of Justice with some certainty of recovering the value of their claims ; this increase in the institution of suits continued till 1285 when the Civil suits reached the highest figure (8,869) yet obtained. In the next year the country was visited by a dire famine and the number went down to 6,527. In the year 1287 the famine was at its culminating point and there was a consequent decrease in the number of Civil suits filed ; from 1288 the number of suits again began to increase with the improved agricultural prospects of the country. The decrease in the year under review is to be regretted, though to some extent it is to be attributed to the harvest, which was a little less favourable than the past two years. The decrease was in suits below Rs. 300. Besides the suits instituted 1,183 cases were those that remained pending trial at the close of the last year, and 284 were those received by transfer. Thus there was a total of 7,237 suits for disposal, or 460 less than the last year and 445 less than the year before last.

62. The proportion of suits disposed of was 81·4 in 1290, 84·6 in 1289, and 84·8 in 1288. The Disposal.

following table shows the results of the trial of Civil suits during the year 1290 F. and compares them with those for the two previous years :—

FASLI YEAR.	Total number of Cases on file	DISPOSED OF.										Pending.
		Transferred to other Courts.	Plaints rejected.	UNCONTESTED.			CONTESTED.				Grand Total.	
				In favour of the Plaintiff.	In favour of the Defendant.	Total.	In favour of the Plaintiff.	In favour of the Defendant.	Total.			
1290.....	7237	27	42	1606	1566	5162	2291	375	2669	5900	1333	
Percentage .....	...	5	7	...	...	53·6	...	...	45·2	100	...	
1289 .....	7697	33	65	2046	1591	3637	2416	363	2779	6514	1183	
Percentage .....	...	7	...	...	...	55·7	...	...	42·6	100	...	
1288.....	7682	25	58	2169	1553	3738	2149	364	2544	7514	1317	
Percentage .....	...	...	...	...	...	57·4	...	...	57·4	120	...	

63. The proportions per cent. of the suits disposed of in different ways, namely after contest, without contest, complaints rejected, and suits transferred, show slight difference and require no special remarks. The percentage of pending suits during the year was 18·4, it was 15·3 and 17·1 in 1289 and 1288 F. respectively. This exercise was to be regretted, as the number of suits for disposal was smaller than those for the same two years.

64. The general proportion of the cases disposed of to cases for disposal was 81·4 as said above. This compares with the neighbouring British Provinces as below:—

Bombay	(1880-81).....	84·7
Madras	do. ....	85·11
Berar	do. ....	93·2
Mysore	do. ....	94·5



65. The average pendency of the suits of both descriptions, namely contested and uncontested, was 105 days against 99 in 1289 and 90 in 1288 and 100 in 1287. The average duration of contested cases was 74 days against 117 last year and 113 days the year before last, and that of those decided without contest was 133 against 84 and 96 days in 1289 and 1288 respectively. The subjoined table shows the average pendency of cases in each of the four classes of Courts for the last four years :—

CLASSES OF COURTS.	AVERAGE DURATION IN DAYS.							
	1290 F.		1289 F.		1288 F.		1287 F.	
	Contested.	Uncontested.	Contested.	Uncontested.	Contested.	Uncontested.	Contested.	Uncontested.
Tahsildars' Court.	88	65	90	72	90	84	99	92
3rd Talukdars' do.	205	164	171	132	193	188	153	140
2nd Talukdars' do.	2,980	121	219	149	98	122	194	182
1st Talukdars' do.	230	145	260	194	286	208	255	266

66. The duration or pendency of a case is reckoned from its institution to final disposal and is not limited to the mere hearing of the case in the Court. The average duration of both the descriptions of suits disposed of by the first class of Courts has been gradually decreasing and is commendable. In the other three Courts, namely the Third, Second and First talukdars' it is not the same. The duration of contested cases in the Second talukdars' Courts during the year 1290 Fasli is extraordinarily high and is to be regretted. There is a decrease in the average pendency of uncontested cases in all the three classes as also in that of contested cases of the First talukdars; but an increase in that of the contested cases of the Third talukdars.

67. The general average duration of each suit in all the Courts

Suits' pendency compared in the interior compares with the other  
with surrounding provinces Indian Provinces as below:—

Hyderabad .....	(1290)	
Bombay .....	(1880/81)	} Not given
Madras .....	,,	
Berar .....	,,	43
Central Provinces .....	,,	
Mysore .....	,,	Not given.

68. Of the whole Civil business of the Courts of His Highness'

Percentage of work done in Dominions, these four Courts performed  
the four Courts 74·7 against 65·3 last year. Of this  
proportion the tahsildars' did 68·7 against 68·5; the Third  
talukdars' 3·6 against 4·3; the Second talukdars' 1·4 against  
1·2; and the First talukdars' 1·0 against 1·3.

69. Of the suits instituted 87·1 per cent. were for money due  
against 75·5 last year. Of these 55·5

Description of suits. per cent. were suits on written obliga-  
tions, 6·1 on unwritten obligations, 21·4 on accounts stated, 1·6  
for recovery of money entrusted to an agent, 3·5 for the value of  
articles or movables sold, and 1·2 were claims relating to cash or  
movable property. The proportion of claims purely relating to  
immovable property was very small, there being only 22 cases out  
of 5,760. Of these 22 only one case was before the First talukdar  
and one before the Third talukdar and 20 were in the tahsildars'  
Courts. The claims for damages were also only few in number.  
For further detail see Civil Appendix D.

70. Excluding 8 suits which did not admit of a money valuation,  
the total amount of civil litigation

Value of suits. of all kinds instituted amounted to  
Rs. 10,38,983, giving an average value of Rs. 178 per suit. In the  
three years the total amount and the average value per suit were  
as follows:—

Fasli Year.	Amount.		Average value per suit.
	Rs.	a. p.	
1287 .....	9,76,522	8 4	169
1288 .....	11,85,090	3 6	197
1289 .....	11,04,129	9 8	296

71. It is apparent from the above figures that the amount litigated during the year 1290 F. is less than those for the two previous years and is greater than that for 1257 H'. The amount was greatest in 1288 Fasli when, as will be seen from the subjoined table, there was one suit whose value exceeded Rs. 500 and there were 3 others whose values ranged between Rs. 20,000 and Rs. 50,000, while in each of the other two years, viz., 1289 and 1290 F. there was none of the first description and there were only two of the latter description. Besides this there has been an increase in the number of suits below Rs. 16, which were 31 per cent. in 1290 F., while they were 27 and 28 per cent. in 1289 and 1288 F., as will be seen from the following table :—

Fasli Year.	Below Rs. 16.	Not exceeding Rs. 100.	Not exceeding Rs. 300.	Not exceeding Rs. 1,000.	Not exceeding Rs. 2,000.	Not exceeding Rs. 5,000.	Not exceeding Rs. 20,000.	Not exceeding Rs. 50,000.	Not exceeding Rs. 1,00,000.	Total.
1288 .....	1,712	2,438	1,394	327	90	33	13	3	1	6,011
1289 .....	1,659	2,516	1,437	324	114	57	14	2	...	6,123
1290 .....	1,800	2,289	1,297	220	82	59	13	2	...	5,762

72. The following table compares the average value per suit in each of the 4 classes of Courts of original jurisdiction for the three past years :—

Class of Courts.	Average value per suit.								
	1290 F.			1289 F.			1288 F.		
	Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.
Tabsildars' .....	69	2	1	72	4	0	79	1	5
Third Talukdars' .....	651	6	5	623	11	7	647	7	1
Second Talukdars' .....	1,464	2	4	1,306	14	7	1,406	5	5
First Talukdars' .....	5,084	3	3	3,644	1	3	7,213	11	8

73. The averages for the first three Courts show but slight differences and scarcely call for remark.

The above reviewed.

There is no doubt a marked difference between the averages for the First talukdars' Courts. The reason for the difference between the averages for 1288 and 1289 F. have already been given, while that for the difference between 1290 F. and 1289 F. may be explained by stating that the number of suits below Rs. 2,000 was only 5 out of 83 in 1290 F., against 25 out of 98 in 1289 F., that is to say, 6 per cent. against 26 per cent. The average of the four Courts for the year 1290 F. was Rs. 178, which in comparison with the other neighbouring British Provinces stands as below :—

	Rs.	a.	p.
Bombay (1880-81) .....	98	3	0
Madras ,, .....	142	14	3
Berar (1880-81) .....	100	4	0

74. The number of applications for the execution of decrees filed in the Civil Courts was 2,606 this year compared with 2,801 in 1289 F.

Execution of decrees.

These added to 1,000 against 972 make up a total of 3,606 against 3,783 for disposal. The following table shows how these decrees were disposed of and compares the results with the two preceding years :—

Fasli Year.	Total for disposal.	Completely executed.	Partially executed	Struck off in default.	By fixing instalments.	Compromised.	Total disposed of	Pending at the end of the year.	Percentage of disposal.
1290 .....	3,818	1,322	425	392	139	227	2,515	1,508	68·7
Percentage .....	...	52·9	16·8	15·5	5·2	9·0	...	...	...
1289 .....	3,783	1,524	387	342	116	304	2,673	1,110	70·6
Percentage .....	...	57·0	14·4	12·9	4·3	11·3	...	...	...
1288 .....	3,051	1,182	355	411	105	233	2,286	972	74·9
Percentage .....	...	51·7	15·0	17·9	4·5	10·1	...	...	...

75. From the above figures it is to be observed that the percentage of decrees disposed of during the year on the number for disposal is 63·7. In 1289 and 1288 F. these proportions were 70·6 and 74·9 respectively.

76. The total number of decrees executed amounts to 1,747 as compared with 1,911 in 1289 F. and 1,537 in 1288 F. Of these 1,322 decrees were completely executed and 425 partially executed. In 1289 and 1288 Fasli these numbers were 1,524 and 387, and 1,182 and 355 respectively. The number of completely executed decrees is less than in 1289 F. and greater than in 1288 F., but is nearly equal to the average of these two years, which is 1,353.

77. The following table shows the number and description of coercive processes enforced during the past four years :—

F. YEARS.	Imprisonment of persons.	Attachment of movable and immovable property.	Sale of movable property.	Sale of immovable property.
1287 .....	1	220	96	20
1288 .....	46	105	82	10
1289 .....	...	662	99	31
1290 .....	1	254	78	24

From the above it will appear that the number of imprisonments is extraordinarily high during 1288 F., and the number of attachments in 1289 F. is more than in any other year. The other figures are normal.

78. The total costs of litigation amounted to Rs. 67,027 against Rs. 77,875 in 1289 F. The average cost of each suit was Rs. 10-10-10 in the year under review and Rs. 11-2-10 in 1289 F. The value of stamp paper of all kinds aggregated Rs. 50,556 against Rs. 61,774 last year. For detail see Civil F.

#### APPELLATE COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.

79. There are two Appellate Tribunals in the *Muffassil*, the First talukdar's and the *Sadar* talukdar's. Appellate Courts. The number of appeals preferred during the year was 623. It was 587 in 1289 F. and 547 in 1288 F., and 766 in 1287 F. Including 315 cases that remained under trial at the end of the last year and 45 appeals remanded and re-admitted, the total for disposal before the Courts was 188 or 48 less than in 1289 F. or 28 less than in 1288 F., and 298 less than in 1287 Fasli.

80. The following table shows the numbers on the files of the *Sadar* talukdars and those of the District talukdar's and District Courts. Courts for the last four years:—

Courts.	1287	1288	1289	1290
<i>Sadar</i> Talukdars' .....	279	256	249	222
District Courts .....	837	590	617	596

81. Of these 19 cases were rejected or applications returned before trial, 88 were decided without contest and 513 after contest. For detail of contested and uncontested appeals see Civil Appendix C. The number disposed of during the year was 630, and the percentage on the number for disposal was 77.0 against 80.1 in 1289, 71.6 in 1288 and 79.1 in 1287 F. These proportions show differences which are too trifling to call for any remark. Of the whole disposal nearly 75 per cent. was the business of the talukdars' and 25 that of the Divisional Courts. The number of appeals pending trial at the end of the year was 188 or 23 per cent. as compared with 20 per cent. in the year preceding and with 22.6 the average proportion per cent. of the last four years.

82. The average duration of appeals in the two Courts is given in the following statement and contrasted with those for the last five years :—

COURTS.	1286 F.	1287 F.	1288 F.	1289 F.	1290 F.
	Days.	Days.	Days.	Days.	Days.
Divisional Courts .....	166	159	87	39	92
District Courts .....	159	165	77	262	105

83. The average duration in the Divisional or *Sadar* taluk-dars' Courts was highest in 1286 F. and lowest in 1289 F. This year it again shows an increase. The duration in the District Courts compared favourably with 1286, 1287 and 1289 Fasli, but shows an increase when contrasted with 1288 F. The general pendency was very high last year. The average duration of both Courts taken in together was 10 days in 1290 F. against 249 in the foregoing years. It compares favourably with the average of the last four years, which is 16 days.

#### GENERAL REMARKS.

84. The total number of suits instituted in the Courts of Civil jurisdiction of all classes amounted to 7,907. This number compares with the figures for the last decade as below :—

1281 F.	1282 F.	1283 F.	1284 F.	1285 F.	1286 F.	1287 F.	1288 F.	1289 F.	1290 F.
10,908	11,820	9,780	9,273	10,713	8,839	7,508	8,355	8,167	7,907

85. Including arrears and cases received by transfer, the total number for disposal was 10,27 against 10,843 in 1289 Fasli.

Number of suits.

86. The proportion per cent. of business performed by each class of Court during the last four years is given below :—

Percentage of work done by the nine Courts.

COURTS.	1287 F.	1288 F.	1289 F.	1290 F.
Talukdars' .....	70·8	69·8	68·5	68·7
Third Talukdars' .....	3·5	3·8	4·3	3·6
Second Talukdars' .....	1·6	1·1	1·2	1·4
First Talukdars' .....	1·4	1·0	1·3	1·0
City Civil Court .....	12·7	13·6	13·7	18·4
Kazi's Court .....	4·7	4·4	4·0	3·1
Arab Court .....	0·8	0·6	0·6	0·2
Insolvency Court .....	3·9	4·9	5·6	2·1
High Court, Original Side .....	0·9	0·8	0·8	0·7

The business of the Arab Court during the year is less than half of that for the preceding year and nearly the same as that for 1288 and 1287 F., while the proportion performed by the City Civil Court is nearly half as much again as those for the last three years. In the other proportions the differences are inconsiderable.

87. The average pendency of the suits was 74 days for the uncontested and 141 for the contested cases against 84·4 and 117·5 days in 1289 F. In 1288 and 1287 F. it was 96·4 and 104 for the uncontested and 113·4 and 107 days for the contested respectively.

88. There were 7,160 applications for execution, of which 5,110, or 71·3 per cent. were disposed of, leaving 2,050 in arrears. The percentage of execution for the three preceding years 1289, 1288, and 1287 F. were 71·3, 72·1 and 84·6 respectively.

89. It is satisfactory to remark that the number of coercive processes issued was 293 and 346 less than in 1289 and 1287 F., being 979 against 1,272 and 1,325, though a little more than in 1288, when it was 781. For detail see Civil Appendix B.



90. The total number of all kinds of appeals on the files of the Courts was 1,188, of which 925 or 77·8 per cent. was disposed of. The percentage is nearly the same as in 1289 F., but exceeds the one for 1288 F. by nearly 7 per cent.

91. The general average duration of appeals was 122 days, the same as in 1288. In 1289 it was 231 days.

92. Of 7,907 original suits 46·3 per cent. were on written obligation, 7·6 on unwritten obligation, and 18·8 on stated accounts against 4·75, 6·8, and 2·19 in 1289 F. For detail see Civil D.

93. The total value of suits instituted during the year amounted to Rs. 98,22,442. The average value of each suit excluding suits inadmissible of money valuation was Rs. 1,299. The total amounts for the years 1289, 1288, and 1287 F. were Rs. 90,83,623, Rs. 1,53,27,955, and Rs. 1,18,77,046, and the average values were Rs. 1,15,81,911 and Rs. 1,660 respectively.

94. There were altogether 8,509 claims decided amounting in value to Rs. 40,72,661. The total costs of litigation amounted to Rs. 1,31,407 against Rs. 1,37,250 in 1289 F.

95. The average cost per suit was Rs. 15-7-1 and the percentage of costs on value claimed was 3·22. The average costs and percentages on value claimed for the three preceding years were as follows :—

Year.	Average costs per suit.	Percentages of costs on value claimed.
	Rs.   a.   p.	
1289 F. ....	15   7   1	1·27
1288 F. ....	14   2   2	1·25
1287 F. ....	13   6   8	2·90

#### SUBURBAN COURT.

96. This Court, as above stated, is neither under the jurisdiction of the High Court nor under the Judicial Minister or Judicial Secretary to Government, and corresponds with the Government through

the Private Secretary, and is therefore described separately from the Courts of Civil Justice.

97. The number of regular Civil suits filed in 1290 F. was 154, of which 144 were decided in the year. The number filed in 1289 F. was 159 and in 1288 F. 202.

Ordinary jurisdiction. Number of suits.

98. The aggregate money value of the property in dispute was H. S. Rs. 1,20,885-3-11 as against H. S. Rs. 75,322-13-5 in the previous year. In 74 suits, or rather less than half of the total number, the value of the claim was under H. S. Rs. 100 ; in 54 it ranged from H. S. Rs. 100 to 500 ; in 5 from H. S. Rs. 500 to H. S. Rs. 1,000, and in 21 from H. S. Rs. 100 to H. S. Rs. 38,000, the average value being H. S. Rs. 784-15-6 as against H. S. Rs. 473-12-7 in 1289 F. and H. S. Rs. 414-9-0 in 1288 F.

Aggregate money value.

Average money value.

These results show a steady increase in the average value of the suits, but a slight decline in the number filed, while about half of the total number continues to consist of suits under H. S. Rs. 100.

99. The nationality of the litigating parties is shown in the following table :—

Nationality of parties.

	Plaintiffs.	Defendants.	Total.
1. Hindus .....	95	55	150
2. Mohammadans .....	45	68	113
3. Europeans and Eurasians	10	57	67
4. Parsis .....	9	3	12

100. The character of the litigation is the following :—

Classification of suits.

Claims to immovable property.....	2
„ for recovery of movable property or value thereof.....	9
„ for rent .....	11
„ for damages.....	4
„ on written obligations .....	53
„ for price of goods sold. ....	42
„ for money held and received for the use of another .....	9
Other suits .....	24
Total...	154

The suits were disposed of as follows :—Of the 144 suits decided 74 were contested, 61 uncontested, and in 9 neither party appeared. In 49 of the contested cases judgment was given for the plaintiff, in 25 for the defendant; of the uncontested cases 35 were decided on the confession of the defendant, and 19 *ex parte* in the absence of the defendant, 2 were compromised, and 7 withdrawn. In 12 cases the period from the institution of suits to the final decree extended over 2 months, in 19 some over 1 month. The rest were disposed of within a month from the date when they were filed.

101. In the course of the year there were two appeals from decrees of the Court to His Excellency the Prime Minister. They are still pending.

Appeals.

Execution.

102. In execution of decrees, 34 warrants were issued and executed in the following manner :—

Returns of warrants.	Warrants executed by sale of immovable property	...	4
Warrants executed by delivery of possession of immovable property	...	...	2
Warrants satisfied by arrangement between the parties on payment of the money into Court	...	...	17
Warrants not executed owing to default of execution creditor	...	...	7
Warrants not executed at request of execution creditor	...	...	3
Warrant of arrest not executed because judgment debtor could not be found	...	...	1
Total...			34

In 7 other cases orders of attachment were issued which were not followed by warrants of sale, the property attached having in four instances been released from attachment on the objection of a claimant, and in three instances the attachment being removed owing to payment of the money into Court or arrangement between the parties. The proceedings taken in execution of decrees of other Courts sent to this Court for execution are not included in this return.

103. In the exercise of the testamentary and intestate jurisdiction the Court granted probate of the will of the late Mr. James MacPherson otherwise Simpson, of Secunderabad, who left property within the jurisdiction. Application for the probate of the will of the late Mr. William Davis Haskoll of Chudderghaut was made but not adjudicated upon before the close of the year.

104. Under the head of miscellaneous petitions, exclusive of 82 applications for execution and 130 for copy of judgment or decree, the Court heard and disposed of 10 'claims to attached property, and 80 petitions of other kinds, all such claims and many of the petitions being equal in respect of the time and trouble involved in their hearing to regular suits. These figures are slightly higher than the corresponding figures for the last year.

105. The income earned by the Court was :—

	H. S.	Rs.	a.	p.
By sale of stamped paper .....	5,610	8	0	
By summons and warrant fees .....	342	0	0	
Total...	5,952	8	0	

As against a total of H. S. Rs. 6,230-6-9 in 1289 F.

Establishment.

The Establishment is as follows :—

	H. S.	Rs.	a.	p.
Judge, the legal Secretary to Government, Salary.	28,057	8	0	
Clerk of the Court.....	1,800	0	0	
Munshi and Interpreter.....	1,080	0	0	
Munshi .....	900	0	0	
Clerk .....	480	0	0	
Clerk .....	360	0	0	
Persian Copyist .....	240	0	0	
English do. ....	240	0	0	
Shroff .....	180	0	0	
Bailiff .....	480	0	0	
4 Peons .....	456	0	0	
Sweeper .....	60	0	0	

# APPENDICES.

*Pp. i—clxi*



# POLICE.

*Fasli Years 1287, 1288, 1289, 1290.*





# I.

## Statement showing the Work done by the Police in the detection of Crimes for the year 1287 Fasli.

POLICE FORCE.				DISTRIBUTION OF THE POLICE FORCE.	
Sadar Multamims of Divisions.	IN DISTRICTS		IN CITY.		TOTAL FORCE.
	District Superintendents of Police.	Inspectors.	Jamadars.	Daffadars.	
5	16	124	632	684	
			8,614		
			4,12		
			1		
			1		
			2		
			2		
			48		
			212		
			215		
			2,767		
			72		
			199		
			13,375		
			474		
			1,048		
			19,947 1/4 Rs.		
			5 miles.		
			657 persons.		
			Rs. 141-12-5		
			Rs. 0-3-7		
			4,702		
			359		
			318		
			765		
			9,273		
			224		
			2,815		

CRIMES DEALT WITH BY THE POLICE.				STOLEN PROPERTY.	VILLAGE POLICE.
12 923	Crimes reported.	RESULTS OF TRIAL BY COURTS.		Value of property recovered.	Percentage.
		Cases.	Persons.		
262	Struck off as false.	Convicted.	Under trial.	Value of property stolen.	No. of Police Patels.
11,140	Crimes detected.	Percentage of conviction on cases Reported.	Percentage of conviction against persons arrested.	Value of property recovered.	Patels invested with Criminal powers.
86-2	Percentage of detection to crimes reported.	Percentage of conviction on cases detected.	Percentage of conviction against persons arrested.	Percentage.	Cost of Police Patels.
31,770	Criminals arrested.	Convicted.	Under trial.	Percentage.	
298	Discharged before trial.	Convicted.	Under trial.	Percentage.	
230	Escaped and died.	Convicted.	Under trial.	Percentage.	
9,907	Crimes reported.	Convicted.	Under trial.	Percentage.	
773	Crimes detected.	Convicted.	Under trial.	Percentage.	
85-2	Percentage of detection to crimes reported.	Convicted.	Under trial.	Percentage.	
7,371	Criminals arrested.	Convicted.	Under trial.	Percentage.	
19,854	Discharged before trial.	Convicted.	Under trial.	Percentage.	
3,207	Escaped and died.	Convicted.	Under trial.	Percentage.	
62-55	Crimes reported.	Convicted.	Under trial.	Percentage.	
5,90,235 Rs.	Crimes detected.	Convicted.	Under trial.	Percentage.	
2,38,968 Rs.	Percentage of detection to crimes reported.	Convicted.	Under trial.	Percentage.	
399	Criminals arrested.	Convicted.	Under trial.	Percentage.	
11,617	Discharged before trial.	Convicted.	Under trial.	Percentage.	
5,423	Escaped and died.	Convicted.	Under trial.	Percentage.	
30,211 Rs.	Crimes reported.	Convicted.	Under trial.	Percentage.	

## II.

*The Number and Grades of Police Force for the year 1287 Fasli.*

Police Force.		Distribution of the Police Force.		Crimes dealt with by the Police		Stolen Property.		Village Police.																																			
In District.	In City.	TOTAL FORCE.	RESULT OF TRIAL BY COURT.																																								
			Sadar Muhallims of Divisions.	District Superintendents of Police.	Inspectors.	Jamadars.	Daffadars.	Constables.	Mounted Police.	Total.	Annual Expenditure.	Proportion as to area.	Proportion as to population.	Punishment.	Rewards.	On Prison guards.	On Treasury guards.	On Towns and Villages.	In the course of drill.	Resorts.	Crimes reported.	Struck off as false.	Crimes detected.	Percentage of detection to cases reported.	Criminals arrested.	Discharged before trial.	Escaped and died.	Acquitted or Discharged.	Convicted.	Under trial.	Percentage of conviction to persons arrested.	Value of property stolen.	Value of property recovered.	Percentage.	No. of Police Patels.	Patels invested with Criminal powers.	Cost of Police Patels.						
5	16	124	683	684	8,814	402	1	1	2	2	48	212	215	2,707	72	14,048	19,91,714 Rs.	5 Millions.	687 persons.	4,702	356	318	765	9,273	2,24	2,815	12,923	262	11,140	86.2	31,770	298	270	7,371	19,874	3,807	62.55	5,96,235 Rs.	2,38,068	39.9	11,617	5,423	40,211 Rs.

## III.

*Statement showing the Work done by the Police in the detection of Crimes for the year 1288 Fasli.*

POLICE FORCE.					DISTRIBUTION OF THE POLICE FORCE.	
IN DISTRICTS.		IN CITY.		TOTAL.		
Sadar Muhtamins of Divisions.		Sadar Muhtamin for Suburbs.				
District Superintendents of Police.	5	Assistants.	2			
Inspectors.	16	Superintendents.	2			
Jamadars.	125	Inspectors.	44			
Daffadars.	691	Jamadars.	209			
Constables.	8,869	Daffadars.	230			
Mounted Police.	409	Constables.	2,723			
Kotwal.	1	Mounted Police.	49			
Sadar Muhtamin for Suburbs.	1	Officers.	199			
Assistants.	2	Constables, &c.	13,401			
		Mounted Constables.	458			
		Total.	14,055			
		Annual expenditure.	18,81,443 Rs			
		Proportion as to area.	5 miles			
		Proportion as to population.	637 persons.			
		Annual expenditure per head of strength.	Rs. 134-1-2			
		Expenditure per head of population.	Rs. 0-3-3			
		Punishments.	1,078			
		Rewards.	5			
		On Prison Guards.	590			
		On Treasury Guards.	498			
		On Towns and Villages.	8,566			
		In the course of drill.	1,654			
		Escorts.	2,113			

  

CRIMES DEALT WITH BY THE POLICE.				STOLEN PROPERTY.	VILLAGE POLICE.
		RESULT OF TRIAL BY COURTS.			
		Cases.	Persons.		
Crimes reported.	11,996				
Struck off as false.	104				
Crimes detected.	10,173				
Percentage of detection to crimes reported.	84.8				
Criminals arrested.	23,286				
Discharged before trial.	2,003				
Escaped and died.	190				
Convicted	5,382				
Percentage of conviction on cases reported.	44.8				
Percentage of conviction on cases detected.	52.9				
Acquitted or discharged.	5,480				
Convicted.	10,791				
Under trial.	4,219				
Percentage of conviction against persons arrested.	46.8				
Value of property stolen.	6,38,589 Rs.				
Value of property recovered.	2,86,519 Rs.				
Percentage.	36.8				
No. of Police Patels.	11,617				
Patels invested with criminal powers.	5,423				
Cost of Police Patels.	5,75,143 Rs.				

*The Number and Grades of Police Force for the year 1288 Fasli.*

[illegible]

## V.

*Statement showing the Work done by the Police in the detection  
of Crimes for the year 1289 Fashi.*

POLICE FORCE.					DISTRIBUTION OF THE POLICE FORCE.	
IN DISTRICTS.			IN CITY.		TOTAL.	
Sadar Muhtamims of Divisions.	5		Sadar Muhtamim for Suburbs.	1		
District Superintendents of Police.	10		Assistants.	2		
Inspectors.	125		Superintendents.	2		
Jamadars.	691		Inspectors.	44		
Daffadars.	690		Jamadars.	209		
Constables.	8,669		Daffadars.	220		
Mounted Police.	409		Constables.	2,722		
Kotwal.	1		Mounted Police.	49		
			Officers.	196		
			Constables, &c.	13,401		
			Mounted Constables.	458		
			Total.	14,055		
			Annual expenditure.	19,43,770 Rs.		
			Proportion as to area.	5 miles.		
			Proportion as to population.	637 persons.		
			Annual expenditure per head of strength.	Rs. 181-2-11		
			Expenditure per head of population.	Rs. 0-3-5		
			Punishments.	2,039		
			Rewards.	121		
			On Prison Guards.	590		
			On Treasury Guards.	498		
			On Towns and Villages.	8,546		
			In the Course of Drill	1,654		
			Escopts.	2,113		

CRIMES DEALT WITH BY THE POLICE.					STOLEN PROPERTY.	VILLAGE POLICE.	
Crimes reported.	RESULT OF TRIAL BY COURTS.						
Struck off as false.	Cases.						
Crimes detected.	Persons.						
Percentage of detection to crimes reported.	Convicted.						
Criminals arrested.	Percentage of conviction on cases reported.						
	Percentage of conviction on cases detected.						
Discharged before trial.	Acquitted or discharged.						
Escaped and died.	Convicted.						
	Under trial.						
	Percentage of conviction against persons arrested.						
	Value of property stolen.						
	Value of property recovered.						
	Percentage.						
No. of Police Patels.	Patels invested with criminal powers.						
	Cost of Police Patels.						



## VII.

*Statement showing the Work done by the Police in the detection of Crimes for the year 1290 F.*

POLICE FORCE.					DISTRIBUTION OF THE POLICE FORCE.	
IN DISTRICTS.		IN CITY.		TOTAL.		
Sadar Multamims of Divisions.	5	Sadar Multamim for Suburbs.	1	Officers.		
District Superintendents of Police.	10	Assistants.	2	Constables, &c.	12,769	On Prison Guards.
Inspectors.	127	Superintendents.	40	Mounted Constables.	458	On Treasury Guards.
Jamadars.	665	Inspectors.	199	Total.	13,421	On Towns and Villages.
Daffadars.	658	Jamadars.	204	Annual expenditure.	19,50,832 Rs.	In the Course of Drill.
Constables.	8,583	Daffadars.	2,456	Proportion as to area.	2.5 miles	Escorts.
Mounted Police.	409	Constables.	49	Proportion as to population.	453 persons.	
Kotwal.	1	Mounted Police.	194	Annual expenditure per head of strength.	Rs. 143-12-6	
Sadar Multamim for Suburbs.	1	Officers.	12,769	Expenditure per head of population.	Rs. 0-5-1	
Assistants.	2	Constables, &c.	458	Punishments.	412	
Superintendents.	40	Mounted Constables.	13,421	Rewards.	27	
Inspectors.	199	Total.	19,50,832 Rs.	On Prison Guards.	593	
Jamadars.	204	Annual expenditure.	2.5 miles	On Treasury Guards.	498	
Daffadars.	2,456	Proportion as to area.	453 persons.	On Towns and Villages.	7,914	
Constables.	49	Proportion as to population.	Rs. 143-12-6	In the Course of Drill.	1,654	
Mounted Police.	194	Annual expenditure per head of strength.	Rs. 0-5-1	Escorts.	2,113	
Officers.	12,769	Expenditure per head of population.	Rs. 0-5-1			
Constables, &c.	458	Punishments.	412			
Mounted Constables.	13,421	Rewards.	27			
Total.	19,50,832 Rs.	On Prison Guards.	593			
Annual expenditure.	2.5 miles	On Treasury Guards.	498			
Proportion as to area.	453 persons.	On Towns and Villages.	7,914			
Proportion as to population.	Rs. 143-12-6	In the Course of Drill.	1,654			
Annual expenditure per head of strength.	Rs. 0-5-1	Escorts.	2,113			
Expenditure per head of population.	412					
Punishments.	27					
Rewards.	593					
On Prison Guards.	498					
On Treasury Guards.	7,914					
On Towns and Villages.	1,654					
In the Course of Drill.	2,113					
Escorts.						

CRIMES DEALT WITH BY THE POLICE.					STOLEN PROPERTY.	VILLAGE POLICE.	
		RESULT OF TRIAL BY COURTS.					
		Cases.	Persons.				
			Convicted.	Under trial.			
Crimes reported.	8,681	Convicted.	Percentage of conviction on cases reported.	Percentage of conviction on cases detected.	Value of property stolen.	No. of Police Patels.	
Struck off as false.	...	Acquitted or discharged.	Percentage of conviction on cases reported.	Percentage of conviction on cases detected.	Value of property recovered.	Patels invested with criminal powers.	
Crimes detected.	7,486	Convicted.	Percentage of conviction on cases reported.	Percentage of conviction on cases detected.	Percentage.	Cost of Police Patels.	
Percentage of detection to crimes reported.	86.1	Acquitted or discharged.	Percentage of conviction on cases reported.	Percentage of conviction on cases detected.			
Criminals arrested.	14,805	Convicted.	Percentage of conviction on cases reported.	Percentage of conviction on cases detected.			
Discharged before trial.	...	Acquitted or discharged.	Percentage of conviction on cases reported.	Percentage of conviction on cases detected.			
Escaped and died.	45	Convicted.	Percentage of conviction on cases reported.	Percentage of conviction on cases detected.			
	3,500	Acquitted or discharged.	Percentage of conviction on cases reported.	Percentage of conviction on cases detected.			
	40.5	Convicted.	Percentage of conviction on cases reported.	Percentage of conviction on cases detected.			
	47.06	Acquitted or discharged.	Percentage of conviction on cases reported.	Percentage of conviction on cases detected.			
	5,863	Convicted.	Percentage of conviction on cases reported.	Percentage of conviction on cases detected.			
	0,668	Acquitted or discharged.	Percentage of conviction on cases reported.	Percentage of conviction on cases detected.			
	2,229	Convicted.	Percentage of conviction on cases reported.	Percentage of conviction on cases detected.			
	45.03	Acquitted or discharged.	Percentage of conviction on cases reported.	Percentage of conviction on cases detected.			
	4,06,328 Rs.	Convicted.	Percentage of conviction on cases reported.	Percentage of conviction on cases detected.			
	1,46,729 Rs.	Acquitted or discharged.	Percentage of conviction on cases reported.	Percentage of conviction on cases detected.			
	36.1	Convicted.	Percentage of conviction on cases reported.	Percentage of conviction on cases detected.			
	11,617	Acquitted or discharged.	Percentage of conviction on cases reported.	Percentage of conviction on cases detected.			
	5,423	Convicted.	Percentage of conviction on cases reported.	Percentage of conviction on cases detected.			
	4,00,429 Rs.	Acquitted or discharged.	Percentage of conviction on cases reported.	Percentage of conviction on cases detected.			





# LIST OF CRIMES

FOR

*1289 and 1290 Fasli.*



## CITY.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Arrested.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Murder .....	6	4	8	4	Out of 462 cases tracked :— 150 do. convicted.
Attempt at murder .....	6	6	8	8	216 do. discharged. 96 do. under trial.
Highway robbery .....	5	5	10	10	Out of 602 persons arrested :— 178 do. convicted.
House-breaking .....	10	4	18	8	292 do. discharged. 132 do. under trial.
Poisoning .....	5	5	6	6	
Theft .....	241	204	306	271	
Extortion .....	2	2	2	2	
Buying children .....	15	15	24	24	
Cheating .....	19	19	22	22	
Serious hurt .....	34	27	40	31	
Kidnapping .....	4	4	6	6	
Rioting .....	11	8	33	18	
Other offences .....	159	159	192	192	
Total.....	517	462	675	602	

## SUBURBS.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Theft .....	388	344	548	497	Out of 671 cases tracked :—
Murder .....	2	2	3	3	425 convicted.
Attempt at murder .....	7	7	10	10	29 acquitted.
Do suicide.....	28	28	29	29	187 discharged.
Theft of children .....	10	10	18	18	30 under trial.
Grievous hurt .....	77	74	127	122	Out of 990 persons arrested :—
House-breaking.....	17	5	24	9	617 convicted.
Highway robbery.....	4	4	9	8	815 discharged.
Hurt .....	32	31	53	49	47 under trial.
Counterfeit coins, &c. ....	13	13	17	14	11 died and escaped,
Kidnapping .....	7	7	16	16	
Suicide .....	2	2	3	3	
Extortion .....	21	18	41	35	
Trade in slaves .....	2	2	3	3	
Wrongful restraint.....	3	3	3	3	
Bioting .....	3	3	6	5	
Escape from custody .....	4	4	4	4	
Offences against weight and measures .....	1	1	2	2	
Poisoning .....	2	2	3	3	
Rape .....	3	3	4	4	
Cheating .....	1	1	1	1	
Gambling .....	2	2	3	3	
House-burning .....	3	3	3	3	
Other offences .....	107	102	157	146	
Total.....	738	671	1,087	990	

## AURUNGABAD.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Dacoity .....	3	1	56	15	Out of 648 cases tracked :— 449 do. convicted.
House-breaking .....	35	30	58	58	101 do. acquitted before trial.
Highway robbery .....	13	13	54	54	89 do. discharged. 9 do. under trial.
Theft .....	204	196	474	450	Out of 1,507 persons arrested :— 1,012 do. convicted.
Stealing cattle .....	47	47	111	111	4 do. died. 49 do. under trial.
Rape .....	1	1	1	1	442 do. discharged.
Murder .....	12	12	29	29	
Attempt at suicide .....	11	11	14	14	
Counterfeit coins, &c. ....	3	3	5	5	
Hurt by dangerous weapons .....	4	4	6	6	
Rioting .....	6	6	10	10	
Other offences .....	336	324	755	754	
Total.....	675	648	1,573	1,507	

## PARBHANI.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Murder .....	10	10	16	16	Out of 676 cases tracked :—
Causing miscarriage .....	1	1	1	1	339 do. convicted.
Rioting .....	10	10	15	15	134 do. acquitted before trial.
Dacoity .....	9	9	103	27	184 do. discharged.
Highway robbery .....	9	8	49	49	19 do. under trial.
Hurt by dangerous weapon.....	31	31	60	60	Out of 1,823 persons arrested :—
Stealing of children .....	1	1	1	1	768 do. convicted.
House-breaking.....	32	28	133	123	806 do. discharged.
Rape .....	5	5	19	19	3 do. died.
Theft .....	260	257	707	675	246 do. under trial.
Counterfeit coins, &c. ....	14	14	14	14	
Wrongful restraint .....	36	36	79	79	
Kidnapping .....	6	6	7	7	
Stealing cattle .....	123	78	284	281	
House-burning .....	6	6	6	6	
Attempt at suicide .....	2	2	2	2	
Escape from custody .....	9	7	16	16	
Cheating.....	6	6	7	7	
Offences against weights and measures .....	1	1	1	1	
Concealing hidden treasure.....	2	2	9	9	
Other offences .....	158	158	418	415	
Total.....	731	676	1,947	1,823	

## BIRH.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Dacoity .....	4	2	112	24	Out of 457 cases tracked :— 294 do. convicted. 2 do. acquitted before trial. 151 do. discharged. 10 do. under trial.
Murder .....	7	7	11	10	
Hurt by dangerous weapons .....	34	32	84	70	Out of 1,231 persons arrested :— 666 do. convicted. 536 do. discharged 4 do. died. 25 do. under trial.
Rape .....	4	4	7	7	
Counterfeit coins, &c. ....	1	1	1	1	
House-breaking .....	27	22	113	89	
Highway robbery .....	8	8	32	32	
Stealing cattle .....	133	120	324	298	
Theft .....	183	165	503	490	
Other offences .....	92	90	211	204	
Total.....	493	457	1,398	1,231	

## NANDER.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Murder .....	14	14	42	41	Out of 631 cases tracked :—
Stealing cattle .....	209	136	418	304	455 do. convicted.
House-breaking .....	58	13	150	74	3 do. acquitted.
Highway robbery .....	14	9	59	42	141 do. discharged.
Theft .....	292	205	740	548	32 do. under trial.
Receiving stolen property .....	5	5	7	7	Out of 1,519 persons arrested :—
Attempt at suicide .....	12	12	13	13	755 do. convicted.
House-burning .....	18	15	26	23	690 do. discharged.
Grievous hurt.....	20	20	48	48	3 do. died.
Extortion .....	16	14	32	26	71 do. under trial.
Escape from custody .....	10	7	14	11	
Buying children.....	7	7	10	10	
Forgery .....	3	3	4	4	
Cheating.....	4	3	10	10	
Dacoity .....	2	1	21	12	
Rioting .....	11	11	21	21	
Counterfeit coin, &c.....	4	4	10	10	
Rape .....	1	1	2	2	
Poisoning .....	2	2	4	4	
Other offences .....	153	149	319	309	
Total.....	855	631	1,930	1,519	



## BIDAR.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Murder .....	11	8	31	23	Out of 582 cases tracked :—
Dacoity .....	15	6	150	70	318 do. convicted.
Highway robbery .....	4	2	10	7	264 do. acquitted.
Theft .....	380	333	738	674	Out of 1,257 persons arrested :—
House-breaking .....	40	16	74	35	588 do. convicted.
Hurt .....	46	43	64	61	718 do. acquitted.
Rape .....	2	2	3	3	3 do. died.
Cheating.....	8	6	14	11	
Poisoning .....	4	4	4	4	
Causing miscarriage .....	1	...	1	1	
Escape from custody .....	2	2	3	3	
Extortion.....	4	3	5	4	
Attempt at murder .....	1	...	1	1	
Suicide .....	13	13	14	14	
Serious hurt .....	17	17	23	23	
Other offences .....	138	127	333	325	
Total.....	686	582	1,468	1,259	

## NALDRUG.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Theft .....	184	152	437	383	Out of 394 cases tracked :— 321 do. convicted.
Stealing cattle .....	160	72	285	186	6 do. acquitted. 67 do. under trial.
House-breaking .....	28	21	85	80	Out of 975 persons arrested :— 537 do. convicted.
Highway robbery .....	7	7	25	25	314 do. acquitted. 124 do. under trial.
Murder .....	10	9	27	26	
Dacoity .....	4	4	31	28	
Attempt at murder .....	2	2	5	5	
Gambling .....	1	1	1	1	
Rape .....	8	2	3	3	
Escape from custody .....	7	4	7	4	
Attempt at suicide .....	1	1	1	1	
Other offences .....	136	119	208	233	
Total.....	543	394	1,173	975	

## INDUR.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Murder .....	15	13	42	26	Out of 563 cases tracked :—
Dacoity .....	23	9	277	73	343 do. convicted.
House-breaking.....	64	20	132	41	1 do. acquitted.
Highway robbery .....	61	19	292	71	182 do. discharged.
Theft .....	228	169	487	383	37 do. under trial.
Stealing cattle .....	53	46	107	88	Out of 1,182 persons arrested :—
Concealing treasure .....	4	4	14	14	557 do. convicted.
Rape .....	6	6	10	10	494 do. discharged.
Attempt at rape .....	5	5	8	8	5 do. died and escaped.
Grievous hurt.....	42	42	66	66	126 do. under trial.
Hurt by dangerous weapon .....	24	24	32	32	
Suicide .....	12	12	14	14	
Attempt at suicide .....	14	14	21	21	
Counterfeit coins, &c. ....	5	5	6	6	
Receiving stolen property .....	3	3	10	9	
Causing miscarriage.....	4	4	10	10	
Escape from custody .....	3	3	4	4	
Wrongful restraint .....	17	17	27	27	
Stealing children .....	1	1	2	2	
Cheating .....	16	16	24	22	
Rioting .....	5	5	30	30	
Forgery .....	2	2	5	5	
Extortion .....	2	2	3	3	
House-burning .....	4	4	4	4	
Attempt at murder .....	2	2	2	2	
Kidnapping .....	2	2	3	2	
Other offences .....	112	111	208	206	
Total.....	732	563	1,843	1,182	

## ELGANDAL.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Murder .....	9	9	21	21	Out of 392 cases tracked :— 253 do. convicted. 127 do. discharged. 12 do. under trial.
Dacoity .....	10	7	140	51	Out of 878 persons arrested :— 457 do. convicted. 404 do. discharged. 17 do. under trial.
Highway robbery .....	63	28	212	65	
Attempt of murder .....	7	5	7	5	
House-breaking .....	77	11	197	104	
Rape .....	4	4	5	5	
Theft .....	142	88	241	152	
Stealing cattle .....	43	34	97	74	
Other offences .....	212	206	424	401	
Total.....	567	392	1,344	878	

## MEDAK.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Murder .....	5	4	14	13	Out of 278 cases tracked :—
Dacoity .....	17	10	140	25	202 do. convicted.
Highway robbery .....	18	15	47	35	14 do. under trial.
House-breaking .....	15	10	33	25	62 do. discharged.
Theft .....	73	64	126	101	Out of 549 persons arrested :—
Poisoning .....	4	4	8	8	474 do. convicted.
Snicide .....	15	15	24	24	25 do. under trial.
Escape from custody .....	10	10	20	20	50 do. discharged.
Grievous hurt.....	42	42	112	112	
Rape .....	12	12	19	19	
Receiving stolen property .....	3	3	7	7	
Rioting .....	6	6	9	9	
House-burning .....	3	3	3	3	
Extortion .....	10	10	15	15	
Cheating.....	4	4	6	6	
Buying children.....	7	7	16	16	
Kidnapping .....	1	1	2	2	
Counterfeit coins, &c. ....	1	1	1	1	
Stealing cattle .....	1	1	3	3	
Gambling .....	2	2	5	5	
Other offences .....	55	54	101	100	
Total.....	304	277	711	546	

## NALGUNDA.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Murder .....	3	3	5	5	Out of 487 cases tracked :—
Dacoity .....	3	3	46	46	265 do. convicted.
Highway robbery .....	16	16	41	41	35 do. acquitted.
House-breaking .....	11	11	40	40	55 do. discharged.
Theft .....	39	24	82	49	132 do. under trial.
Stealing cattle .....	10	6	14	6	Out of 282 persons arrested :—
Serious hurt .....	4	3	5	3	138 do. convicted.
Attempt at murder .....	4	3	4	4	54 do. discharged.
Suicide .....	11	10	20	2	90 do. under trial
Rioting .....	6	4	9	7	
Cheating .....	3	2	6	2	
Causing miscarriage.....	1	1	1	1	
Wrongful restraint .....	4	3	8	6	
Hurt.....	7	4	14	11	
Other offences .....	584	394	204	59	
Total.....	706	487	499	282	

## KHAMMAM.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Murder .....	14	12	37	28	Out of 515 cases tracked:—
Dacoity .....	1	1	6	6	388 do. convicted.
Highway robbery .....	15	7	55	20	26 do. discharged.
House-breaking .....	53	8	132	31	101 do. under trial.
Rape .....	2	2	2	2	Out of 397 persons arrested:—
Theft .....	165	85	316	147	289 do. convicted.
Stealing cattle .....	33	23	73	50	4 do. discharged.
Poisoning .....	2	2	5	5	104 do. under trial.
Escape from custody .....	5	5	6	6	
Cheating .....	2	2	3	3	
Snicide .....	1	1	2	2	
Concealing treasure .....	2	2	4	4	
Kidnapping .....	1	1	1	1	
Hurt .....	21	21	60	57	
Receiving stolen property .....	1	1	1	1	
Causing miscarriage .....	2	2	4	4	
Attempt at suicide .....	2	2	2	2	
House-burning .....	99	99	...	...	
Other offences .....	239	239	28	28	
Total.....	660	515	737	397	

## NAGAR KARNUL.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Theft .....	87	74	190	150	Out of 427 cases tracked :— 365 do. convicted. 10 do. acquitted. 40 do. discharged. 12 do. under trial.
Highway robbery .....	43	21	355	95	Out of 547 persons arrested :— 369 do. convicted. 128 do. acquitted. 50 do. under trial.
Dacoity .....	27	17	309	89	
House-breaking.....	58	19	172	85	
Murder .....	8	6	16	9	
Attempt at murder .....	3	3	10	7	
Causing miscarriage.....	8	7	12	11	
Serious hurt .....	6	5	10	8	
Hurt .....	10	10	18	18	
Rape .....	4	4	4	4	
Rioting .....	1	1	2	2	
Concealing treasure.....	2	2	13	13	
Extortion .....	1	1	1	1	
Poisoning .....	3	3	5	5	
Suicide .....	21	21	6	6	
Other offences .....	233	233	42	42	
Total.....	515	427	1,165	545	



## SHORAPUR.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Murder .....	14	13	28	27	Out of 380 cases tracked:— 251 do. convicted.
House-breaking .....	17	6	35	20	9 do. acquitted. 118 do. discharged.
Dacoity .....	11	9	79	52	2 do. under trial. Out of 903 persons arrested:—
Theft .....	105	159	470	461	490 do. convicted. 899 do. discharged.
Attempt at suicide .....	6	6	6	6	2 do. died and escaped.
Poisoning ... ..	2	2	2	2	12 do. under trial.
Rape .....	3	3	5	5	
Escape from custody .....	8	8	6	6	
Cheating .....	9	9	12	12	
Gambling .....	1	1	3	3	
Stealing cattle .....	26	26	43	43	
Other offences .....	138	138	206	206	
Total.....	400	380	955	903	

## RAICHUR.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Theft .....	123	118	371	358	Out of 390 cases tracked :— 380 do. convicted.
Stealing cattle .....	28	28	86	84	10 do. under trial.
					Out of 1,005 persons arrested :—
Murder .....	26	26	77	73	370 do. convicted.
					621 do. discharged.
Highway robbery .....	8	7	52	36	14 do. under trial.
House-breaking .....	35	19	100	83	
Attempt at suicide .....	1	1	1	1	
Do. at murder .....	1	1	2	2	
Rape .....	7	7	11	11	
Attempt at rape .....	1	1	2	2	
Counterfeit coins, &c. ....	1	1	1	1	
Escape from custody .....	1	1	1	1	
Other offences .....	182	180	305	353	
Total.....	414	390	1,069	1,005	

## GULBARGA.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Theft .....	73	63	203	163	Out of 312 cases tracked :—
Dacoity .....	28	20	237	97	222 do. convicted.
House-breaking.....	15	15	41	38	11 do. acquitted.
Stealing cattle .....	25	25	55	55	33 do. discharged.
Hurt .....	40	40	58	58	46 do. under trial.
Extortion .....	17	17	38	18	Out of 694 persons arrested :—
Cheating .....	3	3	5	5	456 do. convicted.
House-burning .....	2	2	2	2	162 do. acquitted.
Counterfeit coin, &c.....	3	3	4	4	42 do. discharged.
Rape .....	2	2	2	2	34 do. under trial.
Highway robbery .....	13	9	48	23	
Murder .....	13	9	32	21	
Suicide .....	3	3	6	6	
Attempt at suicide .....	2	2	2	2	
Poisoning .....	2	2	3	3	
Wrongful restraint .....	2	2	2	2	
Rioting .....	7	7	17	17	
Receiving stolen property .....	8	8	10	10	
Escape from custody .....	2	2	2	2	
Other offences .....	78	78	167	164	
Total .....	338	312	933	694	

## LINGSUGUR.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
House-breaking .....	19	18	74	71	Out of 329 cases tracked :—
Dacoity .....	12	12	32	32	176 do. convicted.
Theft .....	17	76	234	232	71 do. acquitted.
Murder .....	9	9	19	19	78 do. discharged.
Attempt at murder .....	1	1	1	1	4 do. under trial
Escape from custody .....	9	9	16	16	Out of 881 persons arrested :—
Stealing Cattle .....	19	19	47	30	384 do. convicted.
Grievous hurt .....	8	8	17	17	355 do. discharged.
Rape .....	3	3	2	2	17 do. died and
Poisoning .....	1	1	5	5	125 do. under trial.
Suicide .....	1	1	...	...	
Highway robbery .....	28	28	205	152	
Other offences .....	144	144	816	304	
Total.....	331	329	968	881	

## CITY.

CRIME.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Dacoity .....	9	6	15	15	Out of 438 cases tracked :—
Highway robbery .....	3	3	8	8	171 do. convicted.
House-breaking.....	9	4	18	12	116 do. discharged.
Theft .....	211	191	290	260	151 do. pending trial.
Attempt at murder .....	7	7	9	9	Out of 657 person arrested :—
Grievous hurt.....	37	27	51	39	256 do. convicted.
Kidnapping .....	3	3	6	6	112 do. acquitted.
Rape .....	7	7	12	12	289 do. under trial.
Causing escapes .....	8	8	9	9	
Extortion .....	5	5	6	6	
Cheating .....	11	11	20	20	
Hurt for extorting property.....	9	8	20	18	
Poisoning .....	2	2	4	4	
Murder.....	5	4	5	4	
Other offences not specified above.	160	152	245	235	
Total.....	483	438	718	657	

## SUBURBS.

CRIME.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Highway robbery .....	6	6	16	14	Out of 708 cases tracked :—
House-breaking .....	22	11	72	54	359 do. convicted.
Theft .....	338	288	501	437	247 do. discharged.
Murder.....	11	9	20	16	102 do. under trial.
Attempt at murder .....	8	8	15	12	Out of 1,132 persons arrested :—
Do. suicide .....	21	19	22	20	495 do. convicted.
Hurt by dangerous weapon .....	71	62	113	95	414 do. acquitted.
Grievous hurt ....	59	57	117	113	208 do. under trial.
Theft of children .....	6	6	8	8	1 do. died.
Trade in children .....	1	1	2	2	14 do. escaped.
Rape .....	6	6	14	14	
Attempt at rape.....	1	1	2	2	
Kidnapping .....	8	8	21	21	
Causing miscarriage.....	3	1	3	1	
Do. escapes .....	7	7	7	7	
Forgery .....	3	3	4	4	
Passing altered coin .....	6	6	6	6	
Rioting.....	2	2	12	12	
Wrongful confinement .....	1	1	1	1	
Extortion.....	8	8	15	15	
Hurt for extorting property .....	7	7	19	17	
Cheating and selling articles .....	12	12	34	29	
Cheating .....	2	2	2	2	
Poisoning .....	1	1	6	6	
Gambling .....	8	8	23	23	
House-burning .....	17	17	...	...	
Offences not specified above .....	158	151	220	201	
Total.....	793	708	1,275	1,132	

## AURUNGABAD.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Dacoity .....	3	1	50	15	Out of 912 cases tracked :— 469 do. convicted.
Highway robbery .....	13	13	54	54	434 do. discharged. 9 do. under trial.
House-breaking .....	35	30	58	58	Out of 2,093 persons arrested :— 812 do. convicted.
Theft .....	204	196	474	450	1,124 do. acquitted. 2 do. died.
Murder .....	12	12	29	29	2 do. escaped. 156 do. under trial.
Attempt at suicide .....	11	11	14	14	
Grievous hurt .....	4	4	0	0	
Theft of cattle .....	47	47	111	111	
Rape .....	1	1	1	1	
Passing counterfeit coin .....	3	3	5	5	
Exercising uninvested power ...	6	6	10	10	
Other offences not specified above .....	500	588	1,344	1,343	
Total.....	939	912	2,162	2,096	

## BIRH.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Dacoity .....	3	3	56	37	Out of 248 cases tracked :— 138 do. convicted.
Highway robbery .....	3	3	7	7	86 do. discharged. 24 do. under trial.
House-breaking.....	33	23	55	40	Out of 638 persons arrested :— 275 do. convicted.
Theft .....	97	68	224	206	289 do. acquitted. 1 do. died.
Murder .....	12	11	34	34	73 do. under trial.
Grievous hurt.....	28	28	59	59	
Theft of cattle .....	66	56	132	119	
Rape .....	6	6	7	7	
Passing counterfeit coin .....	1	1	2	2	
Other offences not specified above.	49	49	128	127	
Total.....	298	248	704	688	



## PARBHANI.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Highway robbery .....	40	37	104	92	Out of 407 cases tracked :—
House-breaking .....	20	16	83	81	195 do. convicted.
Theft .....	133	132	311	309	96 do. discharged.
Murder .....	13	13	29	28	116 do. under trial.
Attempt at Murder .....	2	2	2	2	Out of 894 persons arrested :—
Grievous hurt .....	61	61	14	14	331 do. convicted.
Riot .....	1	1	2	2	453 do. acquitted.
Theft of cattle .....	44	44	113	111	110 do. under trial.
Buying or selling children .....	3	3	6	6	
Concealing property .....	3	3	15	15	
Do. crimes .....	12	12	12	12	
Eape .....	6	6	10	10	
Kidnapping .....	14	14	28	28	
Causing miscarriage .....	3	3	6	6	
Do. escapes .....	3	3	2	2	
Rioting .....	6	6	48	48	
Exercising uninvested powers ..	10	10	19	19	
Wrongful restraint .....	4	4	34	34	
Extortion .....	19	19	32	32	
Poisoning .....	2	2	4	4	
Gambling .....	3	3	10	10	
Public nuisance .....	1	1	4	4	
House-burning .....	6	6	12	12	
Other offences not specified .....	6	6	13	13	
Total .....	415	407	913	894	

## BIDAR.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Dacoity .....	13	3	171	103	Out of 421 cases tracked :— 421 do. convicted.
Highway robbery .....	8	3	4	4	
House-breaking .....	32	19	45	23	Out of 854 persons arrested :— 854 do. convicted.
Theft .....	169	141	396	370	
Murder .....	10	8	26	19	
Attempt at murder .....	23	22	8	8	
Hurt by dangerous weapon.....	80	76	125	109	
Grievous hurt.....	15	11	26	23	
Concealing stolen property.....	3	3	11	11	
Do. crimes .....	3	3	17	17	
Rape .....	7	6	9	3	
Abetments .....	1	1	9	9	
Forgery .....	3	3	4	4	
Passing counterfeit coin .....	1	1	2	2	
Rioting .....	3	3	13	13	
Exercising uninvested powers.....	3	3	1	1	
Wrongful restraint .....	1	1	5	5	
Extortion .....	3	3	2	2	
Poisoning .....	2	2	14	7	
Disobedience of Government orders .....	2	2	2	2	
Other offences not specified above.	112	107	138	116	
Total.....	494	421	1,023	854	

## NANDER.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Dacoity .....	4	3	58	15	Out of 501 cases tracked :— 251 do. convicted. 170 do. discharged. 140 do. under trial.
Highway robbery .....	7	6	15	8	
House-breaking .....	80	24	162	77	
Theft .....	182	148	450	354	Out of 1,262 persons arrested :— 388 do. convicted. 575 do. acquitted. 1 do. died. 6 do. escaped. 292 do. under trial.
Murder .....	18	18	64	56	
Attempt at suicide .....	4	4	1	1	
Hurt by dangerous weapons .....	30	80	16	16	
Grievous hurt .....	46	46	112	111	
Rash act .....	6	8	11	11	
Stealing children .....	6	6	11	11	
Do. cattle .....	146	109	317	246	
Purchasing stolen property .....	1	1	1	1	
Concealing hidden do. ....	6	6	11	11	
Do. stolen do. ....	5	5	22	22	
Do. crimes .....	14	14	25	25	
Rape .....	5	5	6	6	
Harbouring offenders .....	1	1	3	3	
Causing escapes .....	17	17	25	25	
Forgery .....	2	2	3	3	
Passing counterfeit coin .....	5	5	11	11	
Rioting .....	4	4	14	14	
Exercising unvested powers .....	20	20	56	56	
Wrongful restraint .....	2	2	2	2	
Extortion .....	5	5	5	5	
Hurt for extorting property .....	15	15	29	29	
Offences against weights and measures .....	10	9	25	25	
Poisoning .....	5	5	10	10	
Disobedience of Government orders .....	1	1	2	2	
Causing house-burning .....	15	11	21	17	
Religious offences .....	1	...	3	...	
Other offences not specified above.	37	36	101	89	
Total .....	702	561	1,592	1,262	

## NALDRUG.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Dacoity .....	5	5	74	45	Out of 342 cases tracked :— 212 do. convicted.
Highway robbery .....	5	5	25	25	57 do. discharged. 73 do. under trial.
House-breaking.....	16	7	50	30	Out of 770 persons arrested :— 416 do. convicted.
Theft .....	136	93	296	212	228 do. acquitted. 3 do. died.
Murder .....	4	4	10	10	123 do. under trial.
Attempt at suicide .....	8	8	10	10	
Stealing cattle .....	81	53	148	105	
Rape .....	5	5	6	6	
Causing escapes .....	5	5	5	5	
Gambling .....	1	1	2	2	
Other offences not specified above.	167	156	370	314	
Total.....	433	342	996	770	

## GULBARGA.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Dacoity .....	7	6	87	88	Out of 229 cases tracked:—
Highway robbery .....	7	7	10	7	149 do. convicted.
House-breaking.....	26	7	28	11	47 do. discharged.
Theft .....	50	54	135	132	33 do. under trial.
Murder .....	7	7	11	10	Out of 541 persons arrested:—
Attempt at suicide .....	3	3	3	3	208 do. convicted.
Do. at murder .....	8	8	11	11	142 do. acquitted.
Hurt by dangerous weapon.....	1	1	2	2	10 do. escaped.
Grievous hurt .....	34	33	120	120	123 do. under trial.
Stealing cattle .....	16	15	37	36	
Buying and selling children .....	3	3	3	3	
Concealing crimes.....	4	4	8	8	
Rape .....	2	2	4	4	
Kidnapping .....	1	1	3	3	
Concealing with design of committing offence .....	3	2	3	2	
Causing escapes.....	3	3	5	5	
Forgery .....	1	1	1	1	
Passing counterfeit coin .....	2	2	2	2	
Rioting .....	6	6	10	19	
Extortion .....	5	5	6	6	
Offences against weights and measures.....	1	1	1	1	
Poisoning .....	1	1	1	1	
Offences against religion.....	1	1	1	1	
Other offences not specified above.	57	56	115	115	
Total.....	255	229	616	541	

## SHORAPUR.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Dacoity .....	1	1	43	43	Out of 121 cases tracked:— 65 do. convicted.
Highway robbery .....	3	3	13	13	44 do. discharged. 12 do. under trial.
House-breaking.....	12	4	31	30	Out of 366 persons arrested:— 165 do. convicted.
Theft .....	23	19	51	48	185 do. acquitted. 16 do. under trial.
Murder .....	4	4	18	18	
Attempt at suicide .....	2	2	2	2	
Hurt by dangerous weapon.....	8	8	26	26	
Stealing of cattle .....	16	16	48	46	
Buying stolen property .....	1	1	1	1	
Rape .....	1	1	1	1	
Causing escapes.....	1	1	1	1	
Cheating .....	1	1	3	3	
Other offences not specified above.	60	60	135	134	
Total.....	133	121	373	366	

## RAICHEUR.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Highway robbery .....	3	3	9	9	Out of 224 cases tracked :— 217 do. convicted. 2 do. discharged. 5 do. under trial.
House-breaking .....	19	6	40	24	Out of 583 persons arrested :— 146 do. convicted. 409 do. discharged. 1 do. died. 27 do. under trial.
Theft .....	78	72	236	230	
Murder .....	15	15	36	36	
Attempt at suicide .....	3	3	3	3	
Stealing cattle ..	11	11	18	18	
Kidnapping .....	3	3	10	10	
Causing house-burning .....	2	2	4	4	
Other offences not specified above.	112	109	257	249	
Total.....	246	224	613	583	

## LINGSUGUR.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported	Arrested.	
Dacoity .....	1	1	18	9	Out of 358 cases tracked :—
Highway robbery .....	10	10	101	101	120 do. convicted.
House-breaking .....	17	16	47	41	226 do. discharged.
Theft .....	62	62	168	166	12 do. under trial.
Murder .....	8	8	27	27	Out of 865 persons arrested :—
Attempt at suicide .....	4	4	2	2	359 do. convicted.
Do. at murder .....	3	3	4	4	474 do. acquitted.
Hurt by dangerous weapons .....	4	4	13	13	1 do. died.
Bash act .....	41	41	119	119	31 do. under trial.
Grievous hurt.....	12	12	27	26	
Stealing cattle .....	21	21	42	42	
Concealing crimes.....	3	3	8	8	
Rape .....	11	11	11	11	
Concealing with design of committing offence .....	1	1	3	3	
Causing escapes .....	6	6	12	12	
Rioting .....	4	4	10	10	
Hurt for extortion of property ...	4	4	4	4	
House-burning .....	3	3	8	8	
Other offences not specified above.	145	144	261	259	
Total.....	360	358	885	865	



## INDUR.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Dacoity .....	18	8	263	78	Out of 401 cases tracked :— 255 do. convicted. 161 do. discharged. 45 do. under trial.
Highway robbery .....	63	16	278	47	
House-breaking .....	61	20	114	57	
Theft .....	211	144	403	314	
Murder .....	20	20	45	45	Out of 1,027 persons arrested :— 477 do. convicted. 464 do. acquitted. 3 do. escaped. 83 do. under trial.
Attempt at suicide .....	30	30	45	45	
Assault .....	26	25	40	38	
Grievous hurt.....	38	38	62	62	
Stealing cattle .....	37	28	65	52	
Do. children .....	3	2	4	3	
Buying stolen property .....	4	4	25	25	
Concealing hidden do. ....	4	4	12	12	
Do. crimes.....	4	4	5	5	
Rape .....	3	3	5	5	
Attempt at rape .....	3	3	3	3	
Causing miscarriage.....	2	2	9	9	
Do. escapes .....	1	1	1	1	
Forgery .....	9	9	10	10	
Passing counterfeit coin .....	2	2	3	3	
Rioting .....	3	3	18	18	
Exercising uninvested powers.....	32	31	51	49	
Wrongful restraint ... ..	10	10	27	27	
Extortion .....	22	22	58	58	
Cheating .....	9	9	14	14	
Gambling .....	1	1	4	4	
Causing house-burning.....	4	4	8	8	
Offences against religion.....	1	1	1	1	
Trespassing .....	2	2	2	2	
Other offences not specified above.	10	15	33	32	
Total.....	639	461	1,698	1,027	

## ELGANDAL.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Dacoity .....	9	7	126	47	Out of 326 cases tracked :— 187 do. convicted. 113 do. discharged. 26 do. under trial.
Highway robbery .....	28	10	115	27	Out of 744 persons arrested :— 340 do. convicted. 360 do. acquitted. 44 do. under trial.
House-breaking .....	77	12	131	47	
Theft .....	109	68	268	132	
Murder .....	6	3	15	3	
Attempt at murder .....	5	5	5	5	
Stealing cattle .....	29	25	37	31	
Rape .....	4	4	6	6	
Causing miscarriage.....	1	1	2	2	
Other offences not specified above.	197	191	456	444	
Total.....	465	326	1161	744	

## MEDAK.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Dacoity .....	15	8	133	34	Out of 243 cases tracked :—
Highway robbery .....	25	20	57	39	152 do. convicted.
House-breaking.....	24	7	44	12	88 do. discharged
Theft .....	81	71	184	167	3 do. under trial
Murder .....	3	3	11	11	Out of 523 persons arrested :—
Attempt at suicide ..	11	11	17	17	332 do. convicted.
Do. at murder .....	1	1	9	9	183 do. acquitted.
Assault .....	17	17	27	27	8 do. under trial
Grievous hurt .....	14	14	39	39	
Stealing cattle... ..	3	2	5	3	
Do. children .....	1	1	1	1	
Buying stolen property .....	4	4	5	5	
Concealing do. ....	4	4	6	6	
Do. crimes.....	5	5	6	6	
Rape .....	7	7	15	15	
Causing escapes .....	8	8	12	12	
Passing counterfeit coin .....	1	1	1	1	
Rioting .....	6	6	12	12	
Wrongful restraint .....	21	21	38	38	
Cheating.....	4	4	4	4	
Other offences not specified above.	28	28	65	65	
Total.....	283	243	691	523	

## NAGAR KARNUL.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Dacoity .....	5	2	50	4	Out of 349 cases tracked:—
Highway robbery .....	13	4	35	12	107 do. convicted.
House-breaking .....	24	14	74	54	3 do. discharged.
Theft .....	81	61	169	140	239 do. under trial.
Murder .....	12	7	41	36	Out of 355 persons arrested:—
Attempt at murder .....	3	3	3	3	154 do. convicted.
Do. at suicide .....	19	19	19	19	199 do. acquitted.
Assault .....	13	13	14	14	2 do. under trial
Grievous hurt .....	11	11	18	18	
Stealing cattlo .....	2	2	2	2	
Buying stolen property.....	3	3	10	10	
Rape .....	5	5	6	6	
Causing miscarriage.....	5	5	13	13	
Exercising uninvested powers ...	2	2	6	6	
Extortion .....	4	4	12	12	
Causing house-burning .....	70	70	...	...	
Other offences not specified above.	124	124	6	6	
Total.....	396	349	484	355	

## NALGUNDA.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Dacoity .....	1	1	4	4	Out of 237 cases tracked :— 69 do. convicted. 89 do. discharged. 79 do. under trial.
Highway robbery .....	13	13	65	65	
House-breaking .....	8	8	48	48	
Theft ... ..	43	43	99	99	Out of 562 persons arrested :— 185 do. convicted. 192 do. acquitted. 185 do. under trial.
Murder .....	8	8	21	21	
Attempt at murder .....	5	5	14	14	
Do. at suicide .....	10	10	24	24	
Assault ... ..	11	11	15	15	
Grievous hurt.....	24	24	44	44	
Stealing cattle .....	12	12	31	31	
Do. children .....	1	1	1	1	
Buying stolen property .....	1	1	2	2	
Concealing hidden do. ....	2	2	11	11	
Do. stolen do. ....	4	4	10	10	
Do. crimes .....	3	3	8	8	
Rape .....	4	4	5	5	
Kidnapping ... ..	3	3	6	6	
Causing miscarriage .....	2	2	4	4	
Concealing with design of committing offence .....	24	24	44	44	
Causing escapes.....	1	1	1	1	
Forgery .....	6	6	11	11	
Exercising uninvested powers ...	14	14	21	21	
Wrongful restraint .....	8	8	17	17	
Cheating.....	2	2	2	2	
Gambling .....	1	1	3	3	
Disobedience of Govt. orders.....	1	1	2	2	
Other offences not specified above.	25	25	49	49	
Total.....	237	237	562	562	

## KHAMMAM.

CRIMES.	CASES.		PERSONS.		REMARKS.
	Reported.	Tracked.	Reported.	Arrested.	
Dacoity .....	3	1	81	9	Out of 788 cases tracked :—
Highway robbery .....	22	7	101	20	420 do. convicted.
House-breaking .....	67	12	156	29	191 do. discharged.
Murder .....	13	11	32	26	177 do. under trial.
Attempt at murder .....	4	4	6	6	Out of 538 persons arrested :—
Do. at suicide .....	13	13	14	14	283 do. convicted.
Grievous hurt.....	46	43	87	83	101 do. acquitted.
Stealing cattle .....	31	17	110	68	154 do. under trial.
Buying stolen property .....	1	1	2	2	
Rape .....	7	7	8	8	
Kidnapping .....	2	2	6	6	
Causing miscarriage.....	7	7	12	10	
Do. escapes.....	2	2	4	4	
Forgery .....	1	1	2	2	
Exercising uninvested powers ...	1	1	3	3	
Extortion .....	11	11	26	26	
Cheating.....	4	4	5	5	
Poisoning .....	2	2	2	2	
Disobedience of Government orders .....	5	5	12	12	
Causing house-burning.....	225	225	...	...	
Other offences not specified above.	494	412	377	203	
Total.....	961	788	1,046	538	

# CRIMINAL JUSTICE.

*Fasli Years 1287, 1288, 1289, 1290.*

## CRIMINAL

*Statement showing the General Result of Criminal Trials in*

CLASS OF COURTS.	No. of courts.	No. of magistrate.	Powers of courts.	Pending from last year.		Instituted during the year.	
				Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Police Patels .....	5,423	5,423	Four days' imprisonment, and 3 Rs. fine.	...	...	1,598	1,989
Tahsildars' Courts.....	102	102	6 months' imprisonment, 150 Rs. fine, and 12 stripes .....	68	174	9,159	20,413
Third Talukdars' Courts .....	19	19	1 year's imprisonment, 300 Rs. fine, and 15 stripes .....	25	50	1,463	3,948
Second do. do. ....	21	21	2 years' imprisonment, 500 Rs. fine, and 15 stripes .....	80	151	1,646	4,408
First do. or District Magistrates' Courts .....	17	33	4 years' imprisonment, 1,000 Rs. fine, and 39 stripes .....	238	826	3,396	11,010
City Magistrate's Court ... ..	1	5	Magistrate—3 years' imprisonment, 500 Rs. fine, 39 stripes. Assistant—1 year's imprisonment, 300 Rs. fine, and 15 stripes..	267	136	3,541	4,732
Total .....	160	180	.....	678	1,337	19,205	44,511
CUSTOMS DEPARTMENT.							
Amins' Courts .....	8	8	1 month's imprisonment, 5 Rs. fine .....	6	8	99	80
Muhtamims' Courts .....	8	8	3 months' imprisonment, 10 Rs. fine ..	40	38	176	112
First Talukdars' Courts .....	1	2	4 years' imprisonment, 1,000 Rs. fine .....	18	22	...	...
Total.....	17	18	.....	64	68	275	192
GRAND TOTAL.....	177	198	.....	742	1,405	19,480	44,703



A.

*the Tribunals of various classes during the Year 1287 Fash.*

Received from other courts.		Total for disposal.		Transferred, struck off, escaped or died.		Committed or referred to Sessions courts.		Convicted.	
Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
.....	.....	1,508	2,019	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,582	1,989
101	254	9,328	20,841	505	969	121	255	6,690	13,549
50	106	1,539	4,104	53	150	40	113	1,009	2,342
79	116	1,805	4,675	132	304	78	129	1,117	2,811
152	316	3,786	12,152	123	332	355	1,240	1,893	5,923
15	17	3,823	4,885	1,350	1,454	19	24	1,380	1,806
397	809	20,280	46,657	2,163	3,209	613	1,761	12,089	26,431
.....	.....	105	88	.....	1	61	60	4	8
87	97	308	247	2	7	186	107	53	69
240	167	258	189	.....	4	.....	.....	206	132
327	264	686	524	2	12	247	107	263	209
724	1,073	20,946	47,181	2,165	3,221	860	1,928	12,352	26,640

## CRIMINAL

*Statement showing the General Result of Criminal Trials in*

CLASS OF COURTS.	No. of courts.	No. of magistrates.	Power of Courts.	Discharged or acquitted.		Total disposed of	
				Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.
				19	20	21	22
Police Patels .....	5,423	5,423	Four days' imprisonment, and 3 Rs. fine.	16	20	1,598	2,019
Tahsildars' Courts .....	102	102	6 months' imprisonment, 150 Rs. fine, and 12 stripes ...	1,959	5,881	9,275	20,654
Third Talukdars' Courts .....	19	19	1 year's imprisonment, 300 Rs. fine, and 15 stripes .....	385	1,398	1,487	4,008
Second do. do. ....	21	21	2 years' imprisonment, 500 Rs. fine, and 15 stripes ...	430	1,314	1,757	4,558
First do. or District Magistrates' Courts .....	17	33	4 years' imprisonment, 1,000 Rs. fine, and 39 stripes .....	1,089	3,676	3,460	11,171
City Magistrate's Court .....	1	5	Magistrate—3 years' imprisonment, 500 Rs. fine, 39 stripes. Assistant—1 year's imprisonment, 300 Rs. fine, and 15 stripes..	961	1,438	3,710	4,722
Total.....	160	180	.....	4,824	13,707	19,689	45,108
CUSTOMS DEPARTMENT.							
Amins' Courts .....	8	8	1 month's imprisonment, 5 Rs. fine.....	...	...	65	69
Muhtamims' Courts .....	8	8	3 months' imprisonment, 10 Rs. fine ...	5	8	246	191
First Talukdars' Courts .....	1	2	4 years' imprisonment, 1,000 Rs. fine .....	51	50	257	186
Total.....	17	18	.....	53	58	568	446
GRAND TOTAL.....	177	198	.....	4,880	13,765	20,257	45,554

A—concluded.

*the Tribunals of various classes during the Year 1287 Fasli—concl'd.*

Percentage of conviction on the total number convicted and acquitted.		Percentage of cases disposed of		Average duration of each case.		Proportionate distribution of cases to each class of courts.		Pending.	
Cases.	Persons.	Present year.	Past year.	Present year.	Past year.	Present year.	Past year.	Cases	Persons.
		Cases.	Cases.						
23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32
				Days.	Days.				
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	...
77.3	69.7	99.4	.....	4.6	5.9	47.5	53.5	53	187
72.3	22.6	96.6	... ..	7.9	12.9	7.5	8.6	51	101
72.2	51.8	97.3	.....	8.2	12.7	8.8	8.4	48	117
63.4	61.7	91.4	.....	13.0	19.7	17.5	21.6	326	981
58.9	55.6	97.05	.....	8.5	13.8	18.7	7.4	113	163
71.4	65.8	97.09	.....	6.6	10.3	100	.....	591	1,549
100	100	61.9	.....	2.4	.....	11.4	.....	40	19
91.3	89.6	81.1	.....	14.7	21.1	43.3	.....	57	56
80.1	72.5	99.6	.....	73.7	29.1	45.3	.....	1	3
82.4	78.2	85.2	... ..	10.0	... ..	100	.....	98	78
71.8	65.9	96.7	.....	7.3	10.5	.....	... ..	689	1,627

CRIMINAL

*Statement showing the Result of Criminal Trials*

SESSIONS COURTS.	No. of courts.	No. of judges.	Power of courts.	Pending from last year.	
				Cases.	Persons.
1	2	3	4	5	6
<i>Sadar</i> or Divisional Courts .....	5	10	10 years' imprisonment, 4,000 Rs. fine, and 39 stripes .....	34	169
<i>Divani Buzurg</i> and <i>Majlis Alia Adalat</i> or High Court, Original Side .....	1	1	Imprisonment for 14 years, fine, and 39 stripes.....	57	157
<i>Majlis Alia Adalat</i> or High Court as Court of Reference .....	1	3	Imprisonment for life, capital punishment, fine, and 39 stripes .....	8	28
Total .....	7	14		99	354

## B.

*in Sessions Courts for the Year 1287 Fasli.*

Received by transfer.		Instituted during the year.		Total for disposal.		Transferred, struck off, escaped or died.		Referred to.		Convicted.	
Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
322	1,092	34	8	390	1,209	11	20	276	758	45	173
212	475	308	537	637	1,109	191	225	65	105	258	538
325	826	...	...	333	854	60	74	...	...	157	385
859	2,393	402	545	1,360	3,292	262	319	341	863	460	1,096

## CRIMINAL

*Statement showing the Result of Criminal Trials in*

SESSIONS COURTS.	No. of courts.	No. of judges.	Power of courts.	Discharged or acquitted.	
				Cases.	Persons.
				19	20
<i>Sadar or Divisional Courts .....</i>	5	10	10 years' imprisonment, 4,000 Rs. fine, and 39 stripes .....	7	142
<i>Dwani Buzurg and Majlis Alia Adalat or High Court, Original Side .....</i>	1	1	Imprisonment for 14 years, fine, and 39 stripes.....	69	230
<i>Majlis Alia Adalat or High Court as Court of Reference .....</i>	1	3	Imprisonment for life, capital punishment, fine, and 39 stripes .....	66	196
Total .....	7	14		142	574

B—concluded.

*Sessions Courts for the Year 1287 Fasli—concluded.*

Total disposed of		Percentage of cases disposed of.		Percentage of conviction on the total of convicted and discharged.		Average duration of each case.		Proportionate distribution of business of each court.		Pending.	
		Present year.	Past year.			Present year.	Past year.	Present year.	Past year.		
Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Cases.	Cases.	Persons.	Present year.	Past year.	Present year.	Past year.	Cases.	Persons.
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32
389	1,093	80.9	.....	80.5	54.9	Days. 10	Days. ...	28.2	...	51	176
583	1,104	91.5	.....	78.8	69.5	24	...	43.3	...	54	65
283	655	76.6	.....	70.8	66.2	23	...	23.5	...	50	199
1,205	2,852	88.6	.....	76.4	65.6	21	...	...	...	155	440

## CRIMINAL

*Statement showing the Result of Appeals and*

CLASS OF COURTS.	Number of Courts.	Number of Judges.	Cases pending from last year.	Instituted during the year.
District Courts .....	17	33	14	301
Divisional Courts .....	5	10	92	526
<div> <div>Customs .....</div> <div> <div>Muhtamims' Courts .....</div> <div>Talukdar's Court .....</div> </div> </div>	8	8	.....	1
	1	2	2	2
Maylis Alia Adalat or High Court, Appellate Side .....	1	3	85	672
Total.....	32	56	193	1,502



## C.

*Revision in Criminal Cases for 1287 F.*

Received by transfer.	Total for disposal.	Struck off.	Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Percentage of appeals reversed or modified.	Remanded.	Struck off in default or withdrawn.	Pending.	Average duration of appeals.
									Days.
3	318	1	113	161	58.7	12	12	19	41
3	621	13	189	222	54.0	30	23	144	71
.....	1	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1	.....	.....
.....	4	.....	3	1	25.0	.....	.....	.....	222
2	759	.....	234	202	40.3	84	16	223	61
8	1,703	14	539	580	51.8	120	52	386	62

## CRIMINAL

*Statement showing the Punishments inflicted by various*

CLASS OF COURTS.	ORDERED TO FIND OR GIVE		Fines imposed.	Forfeiture of recognizances.	Whipped.
	Recognizance.	Sureties.			
Police Patels .....	.....	.....	1,854	.....	.....
Tahsildars' Courts .....	1,647	280	3,794	74	5,392
Third Talukdars' Courts.....	334	126	306	7	820
Second do. do. ....	340	114	504	6	1,097
First do. do. ....	405	207	726	123	2,019
City Magistrate's Court.....	114	56	375	.....	238
Total .....	2,810	783	5,705	210	9,566
SESSIONS COURTS.					
Divisional or Sessions Courts .....	.....	.....	13	.....	.....
High Court, Original Side .....	31	57	40	.....	.....
High Court as Court of Reference .....	1	11	12	2	.....
Total.....	32	68	65	2	.. ..
CUSTOMS COURTS.					
Amins' Courts .....	2	.....	6	.....	.....
Muhtamims' Courts .....	2	.....	54	.....	.....
Talukdar's Court .....	.....	.....	64	.....	.....
Total.....	4	.....	124	.....	.....
GRAND TOTAL.....	2,876	851	5,894	212	9,566

\* In this and similar cases punishment inflicted beyond the ordinary

## D.

*Criminal Tribunals during the Year 1287 Fasli.*

Imprisonment during trial.	IMPRISONED.									Capital punishment.	Total number of persons sentenced.
	Under one month.	Up to three months.	Up to six months.	Up to one year.	Up to two years.	Up to four years.	Up to ten years.	Up to fourteen years.	For life.		
.....	135	.....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,989
611	1,087	486	177	*1	...	...	...	...	...	...	13,549
46	164	291	205	39	3	*1	...	...	...	...	2,342
56	120	226	225	100	23	...	...	...	...	...	2,811
236	162	294	519	484	333	403	*12	...	...	...	5,923
46	235	319	240	126	31	26	...	...	...	...	1,806
995	1,768	1,616	1,806	750	390	430	12	...	...	...	26,431
13	.....	.....	1	2	15	59	70	...	...	...	173
11	3	15	37	41	66	74	90	15	*49	*9	538
.....	.....	.. ...	41	15	17	22	67	23	133	21	385
24	3	15	79	58	98	155	247	38	182	30	1,006
.....	.....	.....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8
2	3	8	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	69
10	9	27	13	6	3	...	...	...	...	...	132
12	12	35	13	6	3	...	...	...	...	...	209
1,081	1,783	1,666	1,453	814	491	585	250	38	182	30	27,736

powers of the Court is for more than one offence but tried as one case.

## CRIMINAL

*Statement of Crimes reported during the Year and Result of*

CLASS OF COURTS.	Murder.			Manslaughter.			Other homicides.			Causing miscarriage.		
	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.
Police Patels .....	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	..	..	...	..
Tahsildars' Courts.....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	...
Third Talukdars' Courts .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	1	...	...	...
Second do. do. ....	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	1	...	6	...	6
First do. do. ....	122	2	2	102	4	5	46	5	10	25	5	15
City Magistrate's Court ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total.....	122	2	2	103	4	5	49	6	17	36	5	21
CUSTOMS.												
Amins' Courts .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Muhtamams' Courts .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Talukdars' Courts ..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total ....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
SESSIONS.												
Divisional or Sessions Courts .....	...	1	...	...	1	1	...	1	...	...	...	...
High Court, Original Side .....	11	31	6	20	40	13	5	10	0	...	...	...
High Court, as Court of Reference...	72	46	8	156	76	37	44	23	14	2	1	1
Total.....	83	78	14	176	117	51	49	34	20	2	1	1
GRAND TOTAL.....	205	80	16	279	121	56	98	40	37	38	6	22

E.

*Trial including Cases pending from last Year for 1287 F.*

Offences against the state.			Dacoity and robbery.			Causing grievous hurt.			Kidnapping and selling minors.			House-breaking.			Danga and illegal confinement.		
No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	14	3	2	325	207	118	17	13	3	40	5	17	50	49	5
...	1	...	39	33	3	49	28	10	7	3	5	145	128	25	25	7	13
2	...	1	118	91	24	49	30	15	20	17	3	158	122	30	15	10	5
7	6	1	505	382	137	54	27	24	154	72	53	149	112	35	10	4	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	1	1	109	15	64	...
9	7	2	736	509	166	477	232	167	198	105	64	494	368	108	275	85	87
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	23	1	...	1	...	...	7	2	...	...	1	...	...	...
1	...	...	26	20	2	16	12	4	129	100	20	...	...	...	...	...	1
...	...	...	8	8	...	2	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
1	...	...	34	53	3	18	13	5	129	107	22	...	...	1	...	...	1
10	7	2	770	562	169	495	305	172	327	212	86	494	368	109	275	85	88

## CRIMINAL

*Statement of Crimes reported during the Year and Result of*

CLASS OF COURTS.	Rape.			Theft.			Breach of trust.		
	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.
Police Patels .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Tahsildars' Courts .....	24	17	5	4,300	3,401	882	21	14	6
Third Talukdars' Courts .....	5	1	2	526	405	123	10	8	...
Second do. do. ....	12	4	7	455	321	111	20	23	5
First do. do. ...	74	29	52	500	341	135	116	100	12
City Magistrate's Court .....	...	...	...	1,123	807	163	3	..	2
Total ....	115	51	66	6,904	5,275	1,414	176	145	25
CUSTOMS.									
Amins' Courts .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	70	4	...
Muhtamims' Courts .....	...	...	...	3	2	...	157	36	4
Talukdar's Court ..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	104	13
Total ....	...	...	..	3	2	...	233	144	17
SESSIONS.									
Divisional or Sessions Courts .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
High Court, Original Side .....	9	2	2	8	9	1	12	5	3
High Court, as Court of Reference...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total.....	9	2	2	8	9	1	12	5	3
GRAND TOTAL.....	124	53	68	6,915	5,286	1,415	421	294	45

E—continued.

*Trial including Cases pending from last Year for 1287 F.—concl'd.*

Bribery.			Making counterfeited coin.			Forgery.			Perjury.			Other crimes not specified above.			Total.		
No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,598	1,582	16	1,598	1,582	16
18	1	3	21	14	7	2	...	...	30	23	3	4,286	2,944	908	9,159	6,690	1,959
31	12	13	18	9	10	5	3	3	2	2	...	599	309	177	1,403	1,009	385
30	17	23	19	9	9	13	9	2	6	0	...	715	457	189	1,046	1,117	430
211	76	121	54	25	30	34	17	9	7	0	1	1,160	079	441	3,396	1,893	1,089
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,244	557	731	3,541	1,380	961
290	106	160	112	57	56	54	20	14	45	37	4	9,010	5,006	2,446	19,205	12,089	4,824
8	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	14	...	...	99	4	...
7	6	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	9	...	176	53	5
...	54	31	...	...	...	...	7	1	...	...	...	...	41	6	...	206	51
15	60	32	...	...	...	1	7	1	...	...	...	23	50	6	275	203	56
23	1	...	...	...	...	1	2	1	1	...	...	9	0	1	34	45	7
09	1	...	8	0	2	21	3	1	2	2	...	31	17	8	308	258	69
...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	2	...	...	...	30	3	3	325	157	66
92	2	...	8	6	2	24	5	4	3	2	...	79	26	12	727	460	143
397	168	192	120	63	58	79	41	19	48	29	4	9,112	5,082	2,461	20,207	12,812	5,022

CRIMINAL

*Statement showing the General Result of Criminal Trials in*

CLASS OF COURTS.	No. of courts.	No. of magistrates.	Power of courts.	Pending from last year.		Instituted during the year.	
				Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Police Patels .....	5,469	5,469	Four days' imprisonment, and 3 Rs. fine.	...	...	1,160	1,80.
Tahsildars' Courts .....	102	102	6 months' imprisonment, 150 Rs. fine, and 12 stripes ....	53	187	8,405	17,286
Third Talukdars' Courts .....	19	19	1 year's imprisonment, 300 Rs. fine, and 15 stripes .. . . . .	51	101	1,296	2,388
Second do. do. ....	21	21	2 year's imprisonment, 500 Rs. fine, and 15 stripes .....	48	117	1,608	3,679
First do. or District Magistrates' Courts .....	17	33	4 years' imprisonment, 1,000 Rs. fine, and 39 stripes .....	326	981	2,993	7,461
City Magistrate's Court .....	1	5	Magistrate—3 years' imprisonment, 500 Rs. fine, 39 stripes. Assistants, 1 year's imprisonment, 300 Rs. fine, and 15 stripes..	113	163	2,970	4 220
Total.....	160	180	.....	591	1,549	17,332	35,534
CUSTOMS DEPARTMENT.							
Amins' Courts .....	8	8	1 month's imprisonment, 5 Rs. fine.....	40	19	77	38
1st Tamins' Courts .....	8	8	3 months' imprisonment, 10 Rs. fine ...	57	56	49	67
Talukdars' do. ....	1	2	4 years' imprisonment, 1,000 Rs. fine .....	1	3	13	23
Total .....	17	18	.....	98	78	139	128
GRAND TOTAL.....	177	198	.....	689	1,627	17,471	35,662



## A.

*the Tribunals of various classes during the Year 1288 Fasli.*

Transferred from other courts.		Total for disposal.		Transferred, struck off, escaped or died.		Committed or referred to sessions courts.		Convicted.	
Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
.....	.....	1,160	1,804	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,153	1,797
308	241	8,826	17,714	667	1,158	321	359	5,758	10,478
54	113	1,401	3,102	77	141	31	52	882	1,726
72	178	1,728	3,974	142	256	58	100	899	1,813
133	276	3,452	8,718	181	422	382	980	1,655	3,752
12	15	3,095	4,398	1,164	1,374	.....	.....	1,190	1,578
579	823	18,502	37,906	2,231	3,551	792	1,551	10,384	19,347
.....	.....	117	57	.....	.....	83	45	4	4
88	48	194	171	.....	1	140	116	30	28
179	153	193	179	.....	4	1	2	165	110
267	201	594	407	.....	5	224	108	199	143
846	1,024	19,006	38,313	2,231	3,556	1,016	1,714	10,583	19,489

## CRIMINAL

*Statement showing the Result of Criminal Trials in Sessions*

CLASS OF COURTS.	No. of courts.	No. of magistrates.	Power of courts.	Discharged or acquitted.		Total disposed of.	
				Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.
				19	20	21	22
Police Patels .....	5,409	5,409	Four days' imprisonment, and 3 Rs. fine.	7	7	1,160	1,804
Tahsildars' Courts .....	102	102	6 months' imprisonment, 150 Rs. fine, and 12 stripes ... ..	1,824	5,285	8,570	17,280
Third Talukdars' Courts .....	19	19	1 year's imprisonment, 300 Rs. fine, and 15 stripes ... ..	362	1,128	1,352	3,047
Second do. do. ....	21	21	2 years' imprisonment, 500 Rs. fine, and 15 stripes .....	527	1,449	1,626	3,078
First do. or District Magistrates' Courts .....	17	33	4 years' imprisonment, 1,000 Rs. fine, and 39 stripes .....	882	2,900	3,100	8,054
City Magistrate's Court .....	1	5	Magistrate—3 years' imprisonment, 500 Rs. fine, 39 stripes. Assistants 1 year's imprisonment, 300 Rs. fine, and 15 stripes..	493	940	2,847	4,092
Total.....	160	180	....	4,088	11,702	17,495	36,151
CUSTOMS DEPARTMENT.							
Amens' Courts .....	8	8	1 month's imprisonment, 5 Rs. fine.....	...	1	87	50
1st Tamins' Courts .....	8	8	3 months' imprisonment, 10 Rs. fine ...	3	6	173	151
Talukdars' do. ....	1	2	4 years' imprisonment, 1,000 Rs. fine .....	17	44	183	100
Total.....	17	18	.....	20	51	443	361
GRAND TOTAL.....	177	198	.....	4,108	11,753	17,938	36,512

A—concluded.

*the Tribunals of various classes during the Year 1288 Fasli—concluded.*

Percentage of conviction on the total number convicted and acquitted.		Percentage of cases disposed of		Average duration of each case.		Proportionate distribution of cases to each class of Courts.		Pending.	
Cases.	Persons	Present year.	Past year.	Present year.	Past year.	Present year.	Past year.	Cases.	Persons.
		Cases.	Cases.						
23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32
99·3	99·6	100	100	Days. .....	Days. .....	.....	.....	...	.....
75·9	66·4	97·0	99·4	3·5	4·0	48·9	47·5	256	434
70·9	60·4	96·5	96·6	10·8	7·9	7·7	7·5	49	55
63·0	55·5	94·0	97·3	8·1	8·3	9·3	8·8	102	296
65·2	56·4	89·8	91·4	20·8	13·6	17·8	17·5	352	604
70·7	62·6	91·9	97·05	7·3	8·5	16·3	18·7	218	306
71·7	62·3	94·5	97·09	8·2	6·6	100	100	1,007	1,755
100	80·0	74·3	61·9	3·3	2·4	19·7	11·4	30	7
90·9	82·3	89·1	81·1	13·5	14·7	39·1	43·3	21	20
90·6	71·4	94·8	99·0	83·7	73·7	41·2	45·8	10	19
90·8	73·5	87·8	85·2	40·5	40·0	100	100	61	64
72·0	62·4	94·3	96·7	9·3	7·5	.....	.....	1,068	1,801

## CRIMINAL

*Statement showing the Result of Criminal Trials*

SESSIONS COURTS.	No. of courts.	No. of judges.	Power of courts.	Pending from last year.	
				Cases.	Persons.
1	2	3	4	5	6
<i>Sadar</i> or Divisional Courts .....	5	10	10 years' imprisonment, 4,000 Rs fine, and 39 stripes .....	51	176
<i>Divani Buzurg</i> and <i>Majlis Alia Adalat</i> or High Court, Original Side.....	1	1	Imprisonment for 14 years, fine, and 39 stripes.....	54	65
<i>Majlis Alia Adalat</i> or High Court as Court of Reference.....	1	3	Imprisonment for life, capital punishment, fine, and 39 stripes .....	50	199
Total.....	7	14	.....	155	440
Last year.....	7	14	.....	99	354

B.

*in Sessions Courts for the Year 1288 Fasli.*

Received by Transfer.		Instituted during the year.		Total for disposal.		Transferred, struck off, escaped or died.		Referred to.		Convicted.	
Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
294	1,032	50	4	395	1,212	23	70	174	604	22	110
15	32	159	242	228	339	39	22	...	...	79	151
219	714	...	...	269	913	12	25	...	...	174	410
528	1,778	209	246	892	2,464	74	117	174	604	275	671
859	2,393	402	545	1,360	3,292	262	319	341	863	460	1,096

CRIMINAL

*Statement showing the Result of Criminal Trials in*

SESSIONS COURTS.	No. of courts.	No of judges.	Power of courts.	Discharged or acquitted.	
				Cases.	Persons.
				19	20
<i>Sadar</i> or Divisional Courts .....	5	10	10 years' imprisonment, 4,000 Rs fine, and 39 stripes .....	112	324
<i>Divan</i> <i>Buzurg</i> and <i>Majlis Alia Adalat</i> or High Court, Original Side .....	1	1	Imprisonment for 14 years, fine, and 39 stripes .....	26	84
<i>Majlis Alia Adalat</i> or High Court as Court of Reference .....	1	3	Imprisonment for life, capital punishment, fine, and 39 stripes ... ..	61	361
Total.....	7	14	.....	199	769
Last year.....	7	14	.....	142	574

B—concluded.

*Courts for the Year 1288 Fasli—concluded.*

Total disposed of.		Percentage of cases disposed of to cases for disposal.		Percentage of conviction on the total of convicted and discharged.		Average duration of each case.		Proportionate distribution of business of each court.		Pending.	
		Present year.	Past year.								
Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Cases.	Cases.	Persons.	Present year	Past year.	Present year.	Past year.	Cases.	Persons.
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32
331	1,108	83·7	80·9	16·4	25·3	13·9	10	45·8	28·2	64	104
141	257	63·1	91·5	75·2	64·2	07·7	24	19·9	43·3	84	82
247	796	98·8	76·6	74·0	53·1	2·4	23	34·3	23·5	22	117
722	2,161	80·9	88·6	58·0	46·5	20·7	21	100	100	170	303
1,205	2,852	88·6	.....	76·4	65·6	21	...	...	...	155	440

## CRIMINAL

*Statement showing the Result of Appeal and*

CLASS OF COURTS.		Number of courts.	Number of judges.	Cases pending from last year.	Instituted during the year.
District Courts .....		17	33	19	186
Divisional Courts .....		5	10	114	423
Customs.	Muhtamims' Courts .....	8	8	...	...
	Talukdars' Court .....	1	2	...	...
Majlis Alia Adalat or High Court, Appellate Side .....		1	3	223	459
Total ...		32	56	336	1,068



C.

*Revision in Criminal Cases for 1288 F.*

Received by transfer.	Total for disposal.	Struck off.	Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Percentage of appeals reversed or modified.	Remanded.	Struck off in default or withdrawn.	Pending.	Average duration of appeals.
									Days.
9	214	3	69	105	60·3	18	8	11	53·3
3	570	11	194	234	54·6	22	21	88	73·9
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
4	684	...	236	226	48·9	72	27	94	125·9
16	1,468	14	499	565	53·1	112	56	193	95·5

## CRIMINAL

*Statement showing the Punishment inflicted by various*

CLASS OF COURTS.	ORDERED TO. FIND OR GIVE		Fines imposed.	Forfeiture of recognizances.	Whipped.
	Recognizance.	Sureties.			
Police Patels .....	...	..	1,666	...	...
Tahsildars' Courts .....	1,335	191	3,862	49	2,728
Third Talukdars' Courts .....	334	78	310	2	239
Second do. do. ....	302	75	534	21	164
First do. do. ....	459	207	865	45	183
City Magistrate's Court .....	281	28	387	2	183
Total.....	2,711	579	5,458	119	3,497
SESSIONS COURTS.					
Divisional or Sessions Courts .....	1	2	3	...	...
High Court, Original Side.....	15	13	34	...	...
High Court as Court of Reference .....	15	7	5	1	...
Total.....	31	22	42	1	...
CUSTOMS COURTS.					
Amins' Courts .....	...	...	2	...	...
Muhtamims' Courts .....	...	2	18	...	...
Talukdar's Court .....	...	...	53	...	...
Total.....	...	2	73	...	...
GRAND TOTAL.....	2,742	603	5,573	120	3,497

\* In this and similar other cases punishment inflicted beyond the ordinary powers of

D.

*Criminal Tribunals during the Year 1288 Fasli.*

Imprisonment during trial.	IMPRISONED.									Capital punishment.	Total number of persons sentenced.
	Under one month.	Up to three months.	Up to six months.	Up to one year.	Up to two years.	Up to four years.	Up to ten years.	Up to fourteen years.	For life.		
...	181	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,797
611	1,274	071	255	*2	...	...	...	...	...	...	10,478
64	208	196	237	61	*2	...	...	...	...	...	1,728
91	109	250	155	94	18	...	...	...	...	...	1,813
125	57	188	482	480	292	331	*32	...	...	...	3,752
28	169	234	157	78	17	14	...	...	...	...	1,578
919	1,812	1,549	1,286	721	329	345	32	...	...	...	19,347
8	...	...	3	2	5	17	69	...	...	...	110
3	...	4	7	30	11	10	18	3	6	*2	151
...	..	...	12	35	53	49	99	40	100	18	410
11	...	4	22	67	69	76	151	49	108	20	671
...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	4
1	6	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	28
...	10	29	12	2	3	1	...	...	...	...	110
1	18	30	12	2	3	1	...	...	...	...	142
931	1,830	1,573	1,320	790	401	422	183	49	103	20	20,180

the Court is for more than one offence but tried as one case.

## CRIMINAL

*Statement of Crimes reported during the Year and Result of*

CLASS OF COURTS.	Murder.			Manslaughter.			Other homicides.			Causing miscarriage.		
	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.
Police Patels .....	...	...	..	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...
Tahsildars' Courts .....	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...
Third Talukdars' Courts ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1
Second do. do. ....	...	...	...	3	...	...	3	1	...	6	...	3
First do. do. ....	63	...	1	111	5	9	47	2	9	20	7	5
City Magistrate's Court .....	...	...	..	...	...	...	..	..	...	3	2	1
Total....	63	...	1	114	5	9	50	3	9	32	9	10
CUSTOMS.												
Amins' Courts ..	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Muhtamins' Courts .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Talukdar's Court .....	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total.....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
SESSIONS.												
Divisional or Sessions Courts .....	3	...	5	23	...	40	8	1	39	1	2	4
High Court, Original Side .....	14	9	1	17	7	4	4	1	2	...	...	...
High Court, as Court of Reference..	53	44	9	123	98	38	37	29	13	...	...	...
Total.....	70	53	15	168	105	82	49	31	54	1	2	4
GRAND TOTAL.....	133	53	16	282	110	91	99	34	63	33	11	14
Last Year ...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...

E.

*Trial including Cases pending from last Year, for 1288 F.*

Offences against the State.			Dacoity and robbery.			Causing grievous hurt.			Kidnapping and selling minors.			House-breaking.			Danga and illegal confinement.		
No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	1	...	12	2	...	292	157	93	97	70	23	23	9	2	24	19	3
...	...	...	12	8	4	43	25	10	11	8	1	93	56	30	13	9	1
1	...	1	51	28	17	59	27	19	28	23	11	113	75	30	2	1	1
1	2	1	375	205	78	98	55	32	71	45	21	144	83	60	16	9	6
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	25	15	2	2	1	...	88	17	13
2	3	2	150	333	99	492	264	151	232	161	58	375	224	122	143	55	24
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	2	10	5	...	2	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...
...	...	...	13	10	2	25	13	3	28	20	4	2	...	...	1	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	5	2	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	15	20	7	30	17	7	28	20	4	2	...	...	2	...	...
2	3	2	465	353	106	522	281	161	260	181	62	377	224	122	145	55	24
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	275	85	88

## CRIMINAL

*Statement of Crimes reported during the Year and Result of*

CLASS OF COURTS.	Rape.			Theft.			Breach of trust.		
	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.
Police Patels .....	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...
Tahsildars' Courts .....	21	11	8	3,834	2,825	731	6	4	2
Third Talukdars' Courts .....	11	4	5	454	343	116	6	3	3
Second do. do. ....	14	4	8	396	260	105	43	29	3
First do. do. ....	51	19	32	438	285	128	64	55	11
City Magistrate's Court .....	...	...	...	814	581	100	6	1	2
Total.....	97	38	53	5,936	4,294	1,180	125	92	21
CUSTOMS.									
Amins' Courts .....	...	...	...	2	...	...	61	3	...
Muhtamims' Courts.....	...	...	..	...	...	...	35	27	3
Talukdar's Court.....	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	84	7
Total .....	...	..	...	2	...	...	101	114	10
SESSIONS.									
Divisional or Sessions Courts .....	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
High Court, Original Side.....	10	5	1	2	...	...	6	3	1
High Court, as Court of Reference...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total.....	10	5	1	2	1	...	6	3	1
GRAND TOTAL.....	107	43	54	5,940	4,295	1,180	232	209	32
Last Year.....	124	53	68	6,915	5,286	1,415	421	294	45

E—concluded.

Trial including Cases pending from last Year, for 1288 F.—concl'd.

Bribery.			Making counterfeit coin.			Forgery.			Perjury.			Other crimes not specified above.			Total.		
No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,160	1,153	7	1,160	1,153	7
11	1	5	5	2	4	23	8	7	20	16	2	4,094	2,633	944	8,465	5,758	1,824
41	24	25	13	7	6	5	6	...	8	6	1	586	383	159	1,296	882	362
46	26	39	26	16	9	10	6	2	9	5	3	798	398	276	1,608	899	527
182	27	67	24	18	6	23	17	8	13	13	...	1,252	718	408	2,993	1,655	882
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2,032	573	375	2,970	1,190	493
280	78	186	68	43	25	61	37	17	50	40	6	8,762	4,705	2,162	17,332	10,384	4,088
4	1	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	9	...	...	77	4	...
4	2	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	...	...	...	6	1	...	49	30	3
4	30	9	...	...	...	...	4	...	...	...	...	4	47	1	13	105	17
12	33	9	...	...	...	5	4	...	...	...	...	19	48	1	139	199	20
...	...	...	2	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	2	16	50	22	112
6	...	...	1	...	1	6	1	3	2	2	...	22	8	4	159	79	26
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	219	174	61
6	...	...	3	4	1	6	1	3	2	2	...	28	11	20	428	275	199
298	111	145	71	47	26	72	42	20	52	42	6	8,809	4,764	2,183	17,899	10,858	4,307
397	168	192	120	63	58	79	41	19	48	39	4	9,112	5,082	2,464	20,207	12,812	5,022

## CRIMINAL

*Statement showing the General Results of Criminal Trials in*

CLASS OF COURTS.	No. of Courts.	No. of Magistrates.	Power of Courts.	Pending from last year.		Instituted during the year.	
				Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Police Patels .....	...	...	Four days' imprisonment and 3 Rs. fine.	...	...	1,582	1,734
Tahsildars' Courts .....	102	102	6 months' imprisonment, 150 Rs. fine, and 12 stripes .....	256	434	7,173	14,087
Third Talukdars' Courts .....	19	19	1 year's imprisonment, 300 Rs. fine, and 15 stripes.....	49	55	966	2,027
Second do. do. ....	21	21	2 years' imprisonment, 500 Rs. fine, and 15 stripes.....	102	296	1,320	2,498
First do. or District Magistrates' Courts .....	17	33	4 years' imprisonment, 1,000 Rs. fine, and 39 stripes .....	352	664	2,778	6,847
City Magistrate's Court .....	1	5	Magistrate—3 years' imprisonment, 500 Rs. fine, 39 stripes. Assistants—1 year's imprisonment, 300 Rs. fine, and 15 stripes.	248	306	2,582	3,600
Total.....	160	180	... ..	1,007	1,755	14,819	29,059
CUSTOMS DEPARTMENT.							
Amins' Courts .....	8	8	1 month's imprisonment, 5 Rs. fine .....	30	7	37	27
Muhtamims' Courts .....	8	8	3 months' imprisonment, 10 Rs. fine ...	21	20	98	64
Talukdars' do. ....	1	2	4 years' imprisonment, 1,000 Rs. fine.....	10	19	34	33
Total.....	17	18	.....	61	46	169	124
GRAND TOTAL .....	177	198	.....	1,068	1,801	14,988	29,183



A.

*the Tribunals of various classes during the Year 1289 Fasli.*

Transferred from other Courts.		Total for disposal.		Transferred, struck off, escaped or died.		Continued or referred to Sessions Courts.		Convicted.	
Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
.....	.....	1,582	1,734	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,577	1,729
61	118	7,490	14,639	974	1,714	95	190	4,463	7,772
31	84	1,046	2,106	66	111	27	46	636	1,088
38	89	1,460	2,883	250	385	20	94	632	1,071
100	210	3,230	7,721	239	427	300	887	1,472	2,909
2	19	2,832	3,925	1,130	1,482	3	4	913	1,189
232	520	16,058	31,334	2,659	4,119	451	1,221	8,116	14,029
.....	.....	67	34	.....	.....	54	23	3	2
54	23	173	107	.....	.....	109	66	26	18
120	70	164	122	.....	1	.....	.....	104	80
174	93	404	263	.....	1	163	89	133	100
406	613	16,402	31,597	2,659	4,120	614	1,310	8,249	14,129

## CRIMINAL

*Statement showing the General Results of Criminal Trials in the*

CLASS OF COURTS.	No. of Courts.	No. of Magistrates.	Power of Courts.	Discharged or acquitted.		Total disposed of	
				Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.
				19	20	21	22
Police Patels .....	...	...	Four days' imprisonment, and 3 Rs. fine	5	5	1,582	1,734
Tahsildars' Courts .....	102	102	6 months' imprisonment, 150 Rs. fine, and 12 stripes .....	1,838	4,693	7,370	14,369
Third Talukdars' Courts .....	19	19	1 year's imprisonment, 300 Rs. fine, and 15 stripes.....	304	893	1,033	2,135
Second do. do. ....	21	21	2 years' imprisonment, 500 Rs. fine, and 15 stripes .....	402	1,143	1,310	2,693
First do. or District Magistrates' Courts .....	17	33	4 years' imprisonment, 1,000 Rs. fine, and 39 stripes ... ..	976	2,929	2,987	7,152
City Magistrate's Court .....	1	5	Magistrate—3 years' imprisonment, 500 Rs. fine, 39 stripes. Assistants—1 year's imprisonment, 300 Rs. fine, and 15 stripes...	608	991	2,654	3,666
Total.....	160	180	.....	4,128	10,649	15,354	30,018
CUSTOMS DEPARTMENT.							
Amens' Courts .....	8	8	1 month's imprisonment, 5 Rs. fine.....	...	...	57	25
Muhtamims' Courts .....	8	8	3 months' imprisonment, 10 Rs. fine ...	3	3	138	87
Talukdars' do. ....	1	2	4 years' imprisonment, 1,000 Rs. fine.....	40	19	144	100
Total.....	17	18	.....	43	22	339	212
GRAND TOTAL .....	177	198	.....	4,171	10,671	15,693	30,230

A—concluded.

*Tribunals of various classes during the Year 1289 Fasli—concl'd.*

Percentage of conviction on the total number convicted and acquitted.		Percentage of cases disposed of		Average duration of each case.		Proportionate distribution of cases to each class of Courts		Pending.	
Cases.	Persons.	Present year.	Past year.	Present year.	Past year.	Present year.	Past year.	Cases.	Persons.
		Cases.	Cases.						
23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...	.....
70·8	62·3	98·3	97·0	6·4	3·5	48·0	48·9	120	270
67·4	54·9	98·7	96·5	8·0	10·8	6·7	7·7	13	28
61·1	48·3	89·7	94·0	10·1	8·1	8·5	9·3	150	190
60·1	49·8	92·4	89·8	17·8	20·8	19·4	17·8	243	569
60·0	54·5	93·7	91·9	14·9	7·3	17·2	16·3	178	259
66·2	56·8	95·6	94·5	10·6	8·2	100	100	704	1,316
100	100	85·0	74·3	·75	3·3	16·8	19·7	10	9
89·6	85·7	79·1	89·1	16·4	13·5	40·7	39·1	35	20
72·2	80·8	87·8	94·8	55·5	83·7	42·4	41·2	20	22
75·5	81·9	83·9	87·8	30·3	40·5	100	100	65	51
66·4	56·9	95·3	94·3	10·9	9·3	.....	.....	769	1,367

## CRIMINAL

*Statement showing the Result of Criminal Trials in Sessions*

SESSIONS COURTS.	No. of courts.	No. of judges.	Power of courts.	Pending from last year.	
				Cases.	Persons.
1	2	3	4	5	6
<i>Sadar or Divisional Courts .....</i>	5	10	10 years' imprisonment, 4,000 Rs. fine, and 39 stripes .....	64	104
<i>Divani Buzurg and Majlis Alia Adalat or High Court, Original Side... ..</i>	1	1	Imprisonment for 14 years, fine, and 39 stripes.....	84	82
<i>Majlis Alia Adalat or High Court as Court of Reference.....</i>	1	3	Imprisonment for life, capital punishment, fine, and 39 stripes .....	22	117
Total.....	7	14		170	303
Last year.....	7	14		155	440

## B.

*Courts for the year 1289 Fasli.*

Received by transfer.		Instituted during the year.		Total for disposal.		Transferred, struck off, escaped or died.		Referred to.		Convicted.	
Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
248	935	7	11	319	1,050	8	17	109	675	19	40
60	184	127	224	271	490	44	31	9	18	117	308
222	680	.....	.....	244	803	7	16	.....	.....	116	326
530	1,805	134	235	834	2,343	59	64	178	693	252	674
528	1,778	209	240	892	2,464	74	117	174	604	275	671

## CRIMINAL

*Statement showing the Result of Criminal Trials in Sessions*

SESSIONS COURTS.	No. of courts.	No. of judges.	Power of courts.	Discharged or acquitted.	
				Cases.	Persons
				19	20
<i>Sadar or Divisional Courts</i> .....	5	10	10 years' imprisonment, 4,000 Rs. fine, and 39 stripes .....	114	269
<i>Divan-e-Buzurg and Majlis Alia Adalat or High Court, Original Side</i> .....	1	1	Imprisonment for 14 years, fine, and 39 stripes .....	74	89
<i>Majlis Alia Adalat or High Court as Court of Reference</i> .....	1	3	Imprisonment for life, capital punishment, fine, and 39 stripes .....	94	386
Total.....	7	14		282	744
Last year.....	7	14		199	769

B—concluded.

*Courts for the year 1289 Fasli—concluded.*

Total disposed of.		Percentage of cases disposed of to cases for disposal.		Percentage of conviction on the total of convicted and discharged.		Average duration of each case.		Proportionate distribution of business of each court.		Pending.	
Cases.	Persons.	Present year.	Past year.	Cases.	Persons.	Present year.	Past year.	Present year.	Past year.	Cases.	Persons.
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32
310	1,001	97.1	83.7	14.2	12.9	12.4	13.9	40.2	45.8	9	49
244	446	90.0	63.1	61.2	77.5	279.0	67.7	31.0	19.9	27	44
217	728	88.9	98.8	55.2	45.7	95.5	2.4	28.2	34.8	27	75
771	2,175	.....	80.9	.....	.....	.....	20.7	100	100	63	168
722	2,161	80.9	.....	58.0	46.5	20.7	.....	100	.....	170	303

## CRIMINAL

*Statement showing the Result of Appeal and*

CLASS OF COURTS.	Number of courts.	Number of judges.	Cases pending from last year.	Instituted during the year.
District Courts .....	17	33	11	235
Divisional Courts .....	5	10	88	418
<div> <div>Customs .....</div> <div> <div>Muhaminis' Courts.....</div> <div>Talukdars' Courts .....</div> </div> </div>	8	8	.....	.....
Majlis Alia Adalat or High Court, Appellate Side .....	1	3	125	507
Total .....	32	56	224	1,166
Last Year.....	32	56	386	1,088



C.

*Revision in Criminal Cases for 1289 F.*

Received by transfer.	Total for disposal.	Struck off.	Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Percentage of appeals reversed or modified.	Remanded.	Struck off in default or withdrawn.	Pending.	Average duration of appeals.
.....	246	2	69	122	68·3	34	3	16	38
1	507	11	159	218	57·8	23	25	66	63
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
.....	3	.....	1	2	66·6	.....	.....	.....	31
1	633	.....	170	336	66·4	23	25	79	412
2	1,422	13	399	678	62·9	85	53	161	23·4
16	1,468	14	499	565	53·1	112	56	193	95·5

CRIMINAL

*Statement showing the Punishments inflicted by various*

CLASS OF COURTS.	ORDERED TO FIND OR GIVE		Fines imposed.	Forfeiture of recognizances.	Whipped.
	Recognizance.	Sureties.			
Police Patels.....	.....	.....	1,694	.....	.....
Tahsildars' Courts .....	1,214	264	2,989	48	1,359
Third Talukdars' Courts.....	202	90	290	7	107
Second do. do. ....	212	46	336	16	19
First do. do. ....	325	217	875	25	70
City Magistrate's Court.....	209	26	278	....	166
Total.....	2,162	643	4,768	96	1,721
SESSIONS COURTS.					
Divisional or Sessions Courts .....	.....	.....	5	.....	2
High Court, Original Side.....	17	14	29	.....	55
High Court as Court of Reference .....	40	20	11	3	.....
Total.....	57	34	45	3	57
CUSTOMS COURTS.					
Amins' Courts .....	.....	.....	2	.....	.....
Muhtamims' Courts .....	.....	.....	9	.....	.....
Talukdar's Court .....	.....	.....	33	.....	.....
Total.....	.....	.....	44	.....	.....
GRAND TOTAL.....	2,219	677	4,857	99	1,778
Last year.....	2,742	603	5,573	120	3,497

\* In this and similar other cases punishment inflicted beyond the ordinary

## D.

*Criminal Tribunals during the Year 1289 Fasli.*

Imprisonment during trial.	IMPRISONED.									Capital punishment.	Total number of persons sentenced.
	Under one month.	Up to three months.	Up to six months.	Up to one year.	Up to two years.	Up to four years.	Up to ten years.	Up to fourteen years.	For life.		
.....	35	.....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,729
567	743	457	128	*3	...	...	...	...	...	...	7,772
32	86	133	120	21	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,088
36	60	122	136	65	23	...	...	...	...	..	1,071
102	37	127	302	302	263	195	*65	*2	*1	*1	2,909
19	138	103	96	72	16	*6	...	...	...	...	1,189
756	1,004	1,002	782	463	302	201	65	2	1	1	14,029
.....	3	.....	...	...	2	3	25	...	...	...	40
10	49	24	19	16	33	15	15	8	*3	*1	308
.....	.....	1	4	8	24	34	75	24	75	7	326
10	52	25	23	24	59	52	115	32	78	8	674
.....	.....	.....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2
2	6	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	18
.....	4	20	17	2	4	...	...	...	...	...	80
2	10	21	17	2	4	...	...	...	...	...	100
768	1,126	1,048	822	489	365	352	180	34	79	9	14,803
931	1,830	1,573	1,320	790	401	422	183	49	106	20	20,160

powers of the Court is for more than one offence but tried as one case.

## CRIMINAL

*Statement of Crimes reported during the Year and Result of*

CLASS OF COURTS.	Murder.			Manslaughter.			Other homicides.			Causing miscarriage.		
	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.
Police Patels .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Tahsildars' Courts .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	5	...
Third Talukdars' Courts.....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Second do. do. ....	1	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	1	...	...	1
First do. do. ....	60	7	11	92	14	16	36	2	9	20	14	24
City Magistrate's Court .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total.....	61	7	11	92	14	16	39	2	10	26	19	25
CUSTOMS.												
Amins' Courts .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Muhtamims' Courts .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Talukdar's Court .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total.....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
SESSIONS.												
Divisional or Sessions Courts .....	3	...	12	3	...	14	...	...	1	...	...	1
High Court, Original Side .....	9	9	5	3	5	4	12	14	8	...	...	...
High Court, as Court of Reference...	57	39	19	126	58	61	37	17	14	...	...	...
Total .....	69	48	36	132	63	79	49	31	23	...	...	1
GRAND TOTAL .....	130	55	47	224	77	95	88	33	33	26	19	26
Last Year.....	133	53	16	282	110	91	99	34	63	33	11	14

E.

*Trial, including Cases pending from last Year, for 1289 F.*

Offences against the State.			Dacoity and robbery.			Causing grievous hurt.			Kidnapping and selling minors.			House-breaking.			Danga and illegal confinement.		
No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	61	37	22	518	262	101	5	...	2	73	42	15	118	84	12
...	...	...	...	1	...	40	21	17	2	...	2	28	23	5	11	8	3
...	...	...	12	8	2	46	18	11	3	...	1	79	42	27	28	13	6
4	3	1	331	211	80	123	53	40	38	14	21	109	91	37	27	13	11
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	18	6	6	4	2	2	84	14	48
4	3	1	404	257	104	737	354	235	66	20	32	293	200	86	268	132	80
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	17	...	19	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	24	31	2	14	13	5	15	14	6	3	2	2	6	2	3
...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	17	24	50	3	15	14	5	15	14	6	3	2	2	6	2	3
4	3	18	428	307	107	742	368	240	81	34	38	296	202	88	274	134	83
2	3	2	465	353	106	522	281	161	260	181	62	377	224	122	145	55	24

## CRIMINAL.

*Statement of Crimes reported during the Year and Result of*

CLASS OF COURTS.	Rape.			Theft.			Breach of trust.		
	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.
Police Patels .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Tahsildars' Courts .....	4	2	...	2,254	1,619	582	41	28	9
Third Talukdars' Courts .....	...	...	...	280	213	87	10	8	2
Second do. do. ....	25	10	11	207	115	86	41	15	10
First do. do. ....	68	31	34	325	168	97	90	52	21
City Magistrate's Court .....	...	...	...	715	461	201	1	1	...
Total.....	97	43	45	3,781	2,576	1,053	183	104	42
CUSTOMS.									
Amins' Courts .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	14	1	...
Muhtamims' Courts] .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	58	18	3
Talukdar's Court .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	22	67	38
Total.....	...	...	...	...	...	...	94	86	41
SESSIONS.									
Divisional or Sessions Courts .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
High Court, Original Side .....	7	3	4	5	3	4	5	...	6
High Court, as Court of Reference...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total.....	7	3	4	5	3	4	5	...	6
GRAND TOTAL.....	104	46	49	3,786	2,579	1,057	282	190	89
Last Year.....	107	43	54	5,940	4,295	1,180	232	209	32

E—concluded.

*Trial, including Cases pending from last Year, for 1289 F.—concl'd.*

Bribery.			Making counterfeited coin.			Forgery.			Perjury.			Other crimes not specified above			Total.		
No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,582	1,577	5	1,582	1,577	5
21	6	10	14	12	2	9	7	1	13	10	2	4,086	2,349	1,020	7,173	4,463	1,838
28	5	12	15	13	2	6	4	1	2	1	1	549	339	172	966	636	304
75	16	20	15	9	6	7	4	1	5	4	1	773	378	218	1,320	632	402
190	64	120	32	22	10	23	16	14	24	22	5	1,181	675	419	2,778	1,472	976
19	1	10	2	...	2	...	...	...	2	2	...	1,737	420	339	2,582	913	608
328	92	172	78	56	22	50	31	17	46	39	9	8,270	4,167	2,168	14,819	8,116	4,128
18	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	...	37	3	...
26	6	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	14	2	...	98	26	3
4	16	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	8	21	2	34	104	40
48	24	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	27	23	2	169	133	43
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	68	7	19	114
6	...	6	9	6	4	5	1	5	...	...	...	4	14	10	127	117	74
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	222	116	94
6	...	6	9	6	4	5	1	5	...	...	...	6	15	78	356	252	282
382	116	178	87	62	26	55	32	22	46	39	9	8,309	4,205	2,248	15,344	8,501	4,453
298	111	145	71	47	26	72	42	20	52	42	6	8,809	4,764	2,183	17,899	10,858	4,307

## CRIMINAL

*Statement showing the General Result of Criminal Trials in*

CLASS OF COURTS.	No. of Courts.	No. of Magistrates.	Power of Courts.	Pending from last year.		Instituted during the year.	
				Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Police Patels .....	4,421	4,421	Four days' imprisonment and 3 Rs. fine.	...	...	1,232	1,922
Tahsildars' Courts.....	102	102	6 months' imprisonment, 150 Rs. fine, and 12 stripes .....	120	270	6,245	11,867
Third Talukdars' Courts.....	19	19	1 year's imprisonment, 300 Rs. fine, and 15 stripes.....	13	28	788	1,715
Second Do. do. ....	21	21	2 years' imprisonment, 500 Rs. fine, and 15 stripes.....	150	190	1,040	2,163
First Do. or District Magistrates' Courts .....	17	33	4 years' imprisonment, 1,000 Rs. fine, and 39 stripes .....	243	569	2,489	6,261
City Magistrate's Court.....	1	5	Magistrate—3 years' imprisonment, 500 Rs. fine, 39 stripes. Assistant, 1 year's imprisonment, 300 Rs. fine, and 15 stripes.	178	259	2,548	3,474
Total.....	160	180	.....	704	1,316	13,110	25,480
CUSTOMS DEPARTMENT.							
Anvins' Courts .....	8	8	1 month's imprisonment, 5 Rs. fine.....	10	9	56	26
Muhtamims' Courts .....	8	8	3 months' imprisonment, 10 Rs. fine ...	35	20	62	41
Talukdars' do. ....	1	2	4 years' imprisonment, 1,000 Rs. fine.....	20	22	15	21
Total.....	17	18	.....	65	51	133	88
GRAND TOTAL .....	177	198	.....	769	1,367	13,243	25,568



## A.

*the Tribunal of various classes during the Year 1290 Fasli.*

Transferred from other Courts.		Total for disposal.		Transferred, struck off, escaped or died		Committed or referred to Sessions Courts.		Convicted.	
Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
.....	.....	1,232	1,922	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,207	1,876
56	85	6,421	12,222	1,099	1,937	129	283	3,356	5,526
9	22	810	1,765	105	176	11	34	398	713
36	83	1,226	2,436	177	281	70	86	505	817
118	315	2,850	7,115	270	550	263	859	1,115	2,333
68	113	2,794	3,846	1,350	1,844	14	19	672	889
287	618	14,101	27,414	3,001	4,788	487	1,281	6,046	10,278
.....	.....	66	35	.....	.....	41	32	.....	.....
50	40	147	101	.....	.....	100	72	19	16
106	82	141	125	.....	.....	.....	.....	100	91
156	122	354	261	.....	.....	141	104	119	107
443	740	14,455	27,675	3,001	4,788	628	1,385	6,165	10,385

## CRIMINAL

*Statement showing the General Result of Criminal Trials in the*

CLASS OF COURTS.	No. of Courts.	No. of Magistrates.	Power of Courts.	Discharged or acquitted.		Total disposed of.	
				Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.
				19	20	21	22
Police Patels .....	4,421	4,421	Four days' imprisonment and 3 Rs. fine.	25	46	1,232	1,922
Tahsildars' Courts.....	102	102	6 months' imprisonment, 150 Rs. fine, and 12 stripes .....	1,096	4,206	6,280	11,952
Third Talukdars' Courts.....	19	19	1 year's imprisonment, 300 Rs. fine, and 15 stripes .....	274	806	788	1,729
Second do. do. ....	21	21	2 years' imprisonment, 500 Rs. fine, and 15 stripes .....	418	1,126	1,170	2,310
First do. or District Magistrates' Courts.....	17	33	4 years' imprisonment, 1,000 Rs. fine, and 39 stripes .....	947	2,768	2,595	6,510
City Magistrate's Court .....	1	5	Magistrate—3 years' imprisonment, 500 Rs. fine, 39 stripes. Assistants, 1 year's imprisonment, 300 Rs. fine, and 15 stripes.	513	766	2,549	3,518
Total.....	160	180	.....	3,848	9,672	13,382	26,019
CUSTOMS DEPARTMENT.							
Amins' Courts .....	8	8	1 month's imprisonment, 5 Rs. fine ...	...	...	41	32
Muhtamims' Courts .....	8	8	3 months' imprisonment, 10 Rs. fine...	2	2	121	90
Talukdars' do. ....	1	2	4 years' imprisonment, 1,000 Rs. fine .....	29	24	129	115
Total.....	17	18	.....	31	26	291	237
GRAND TOTAL.....	177	198	.....	3,879	9,698	13,673	26,256

A—concluded.

*Tribunal of various classes during the Year 1290 Fasli—concl'd.*

Percentage of conviction on the total number convicted and acquitted.		Percentage of cases disposed of		Average duration of each case.		Proportionate distribution of cases to each class of Courts.		Pending.	
Cases.	Persons.	Present year.	Past year.	Present year	Past year.	Present year.	Past year.	Cases.	Persons.
		Cases.	Cases.						
23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32
				Days.	Days.				
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	....	.....	.....	...	.....
66.4	56.7	97.8	98.3	9.4	6.4	46.9	48.9	141	270
59.2	46.9	97.2	98.7	28.2	8.0	5.8	6.7	22	36
54.7	42.0	95.4	89.7	36.0	10.1	8.8	8.5	56	126
54.0	45.7	91.0	92.4	73.3	17.8	19.4	19.4	255	635
56.7	53.7	91.2	93.7	13.4	14.9	19.1	17.2	245	328
61.1	51.5	94.8	95.6	26.2	10.6	100	100	719	1,395
.....	.....	62.1	85.0	.....	.75	14.2	16.8	25	3
90.4	88.8	82.3	79.1	10.4	16.4	41.5	40.7	26	11
77.5	79.1	91.5	87.8	95.5	55.5	44.3	42.4	12	10
79.3	80.4	82.2	83.9	46.6	36.3	100	100	63	24
61.3	51.2	94.5	95.3	26.7	10.9	.....	.....	782	1,419

## CRIMINAL

*Statement showing the Result of Criminal Trials*

SESSIONS COURTS.	No. of Courts.	No. of Judges.	Power of Courts.	Pending from last year.	
				Cases.	Persons.
1	2	3	4	5	6
<i>Sadar</i> or Divisional Courts .....	5	10	10 years' imprisonment, 4,000 Rs. fine and 39 stripes .....	9	49
<i>Majlis Alia Adalat</i> or High Court, Original Side .....	1	1	Imprisonment for 14 years, fine, and 39 stripes .....	27	44
<i>Majlis Alia Adalat</i> or High Court, as Courts of Reference .....	1	3	Imprisonment for life, capital punishment, fine, and 39 stripes .....	27	75
Total.....	7	14		63	168
Last year.....	7	14		170	303

## B.

*in Sessions Courts for the year 1290 Fasli.*

Received by Transfer.		Instituted during the year.		Total for disposal.		Transferred, struck off, escaped or died.		Referred to.		Convicted.	
Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.	Cases.	Persons.
7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
293	957	1	15	303	1,021	9	48	101	354	43	123
40	140	81	312	148	496	5	18	6	109	81	133
134	380	...	...	161	455	12	28	...	...	94	181
467	1,477	82	327	612	1,972	20	89	107	463	218	442
530	1,805	134	235	834	2,343	59	64	178	693	252	674

## CRIMINAL

*Statement showing the Result of Criminal Trials in*

SESSIONS COURTS.	No. of Courts.	No. of Judges.	Power of Courts.	Discharged or acquitted.	
				Cases.	Persons.
				19	20
<i>Sadar</i> or Divisional Courts .....	5	10	10 years' imprisonment, 4,000 Rs. fine, and 39 stripes .....	186	384
<i>Majlis Alia Adalat</i> or High Court, Original Side .....	1	1	Imprisonment for 14 years' fine, and 39 stripes .....	40	151
<i>Majlis Alia Adalat</i> or High Court, as Court of Reference .....	1	3	Imprisonment for life, capital punishment, fine, and 39 stripes .....	49	230
Total.....	7	14		225	765
Last year.....	7	14		282	744

## B.—concluded.

*Sessions Courts for the year 1290 Fasli—concluded.*

Total disposed of.		Percentage of cases disposed of to cases for disposal.		Percentage of conviction on the total of convicted and discharged.		Average duration of each case.		Proportionate distribution of business of each court.		Pending.	
Cases.	Persons.	Present year.	Past year.	Cases.	Persons.	Present year.	Past year.	Present year.	Past year.	Cases.	Persons.
21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32
						Days					
289	914	95·3	97·1	24·0	25·0	15·3	12·4	50·2	40·2	14	107
132	406	89·1	90·0	66·9	46·9	86·3	279·0	22·9	31·6	16	90
155	439	96·2	88·9	65·7	41·0	67·2	95·5	26·9	28·2	6	10
576	1,759	94·1	92·4	49·2	36·6	45·5	94·04	100	100	36	213
771	2,175	...	...	47·1	47·5	...	...	.....	.....	63	168

## CRIMINAL

*Statement showing the Result of Appeal and*

CLASS OF COURTS.		Number of Courts.	Number of Judges.	Cases pending from last year.	Instituted during the year.
District Courts .....		17	33	16	240
Divisional Courts .....		5	10	66	385
Customs.	Muhtamims' Courts .....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Talukdar's Court .....	1	2	.....	1
Majlis Alia Adalat or High Court, Appellate Side .....		1	3	50	291
Total ...		24	48	132	917
Last year ' ...		32	56	224	1,166



C.

*Revision in Criminal Cases for 1290 F.*

Received by transfer.	Total for disposal.	Struck off.	Confirmed.	Reversed or modified.	Percentage of appeals reversed or modified.	Remanded.	Struck off in default or withdrawn.	Pending.	Average duration of appeals.
1	257	4	75	142	65.4	8	5	23	17
1	452	5	138	202	59.4	29	30	48	40
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
.....	1	.....	1	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
.....	341	.....	81	216	72.7	7	6	31	75
2	1,051	9	295	560	69.1	44	41	102	52
2	1,422	13	399	5,678	62.9	85	53	161	23.4

## CRIMINAL

*Statement showing the Punishments Inflicted by*

CLASS OF COURTS	ORDER TO FIND OR GIVE		Fines imposed.	Forfeiture of recognizances.	Whimpey.
	Recognizance.	Sureties.			
Police Patels .....	.....	... ..	1,805	.....	.....
Tahsildars' Courts .....	910	153	2,886	31	173
Third Talukdars' Courts ... ..	175	60	230	1	17
Second Talukdars' Courts .....	177	33	338	5	1
First Talukdars' Courts .....	318	237	655	34	97
City Magistrate's Court .....	97	18	289	.....	147
Total.....	1,797	510	4,398	71	738
SESSIONS COURTS.					
Divisional or Sessions Courts .....	9	5	5	5	5
High Court, Original Side .....	20	.....	19	.....	.....
High Court as Court of Reference .....	2	10	5	2	1
Total.....	31	15	29	7	6
CUSTOMS COURTS.					
Amins' Courts .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Mohatims' Courts .....	.....	.....	13	.....	.....
Talukdar's Court .....	.....	.....	25	.....	.....
Total.....	.....	.....	38	.....	.....
GRAND TOTAL .....	1,738	525	4,465	81	744
Last Year.....	2,219	677	4,857	99	1,778

\* In this and similar other cases punishment inflicted beyond the ordinary powers of the

D.

*various Criminal Tribunals during the year 1290 Fash.*

Imprisonment during trial.	IMPRISONED.									Capital punishment.	Total number of persons sentenced.
	Under one month.	Up to three months.	Up to six months.	Up to one year.	Up to two years.	Up to four years.	Up to ten years.	Up to fourteen years.	For life.		
..	71	...	..	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1,870
378	409	211	71	41	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	5,520
15	28	82	72	24	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	713
34	20	68	83	24	24	1	...	.....	.....	.....	817
91	28	104	211	212	138	103	111	4	.....	.....	2,333
10	72	100	83	52	5	2	.....	.....	.....	.....	850
528	503	574	525	313	107	100	11	4	.....	.....	10,278
9	.....	1	3	5	4	13	04	.....	.....	...	123
5	1	4	2	11	17	12	17	18	3	4	132
.....	.....	.....	5	10	15	19	32	20	51	9	181
14	1	5	10	20	36	44	113	38	54	13	442
..	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
.....	2	1	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	16
3	20	29	10	3	1	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	91
3	22	30	10	3	1	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	107
545	580	609	545	342	204	210	124	42	54	13	10,827
708	1,120	1,048	822	480	305	253	180	34	79	9	14,803

Court is for more than one offence but tried as one case.

CRIMINAL

*Statement of Crimes reported during the year and Result of*

CLASS OF COURTS.	Murdor.			Manslaughter.			Other homicides.			Causing miscarriage.		
	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.
Police Patels .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Tahsildars' Courts ..	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	12	2	...
Third Talukdars' Courts .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Second Talukdars' Courts.....	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	11	...	5
First Talukdars' Courts.....	50	7	9	107	17	12	23	3	2	55	10	2
City Magistrate's Court .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total.....	50	7	9	108	17	12	24	3	2	78	12	29
CUSTOMS.												
Amins' Courts .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Muhtamims' Courts .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Talukdar's Court .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total.....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
SESSIONS.												
Divisional or Sessions Courts .	...	...	6	...	...	20	...	...	5	...	4	7
High Court, Original Side .....	11	11	2	2	2	...	19	9	10	...	...	...
High Court as Court of Reference...	49	32	7	67	43	28	26	18	12	...	...	...
Total.....	60	43	15	69	45	54	45	27	27	...	4	7
GRAND TOTAL.....	110	50	24	177	62	66	69	30	29	78	16	36
Last Year .....	130	55	47	224	77	95	88	33	33	26	19	26

E.

*Trial including Cases pending from last year, for 1290 F.*

Offences against the State.			Dacoity and robbery			Causing grievous hurt.			Kidnapping and selling minors.			House-breaking.			Danga and illegal confinement.		
No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	194	76	61	...	...	...	11	3	6	19	9	4
...	...	...	6	2	3	22	4	5	1	...	...	43	21	25	2	1	...
...	...	...	8	3	2	20	9	5	1	...	1	60	31	26	4	4	...
...	...	...	190	111	65	79	37	19	16	10	6	105	59	43	10	5	7
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	204	116	70	315	126	90	18	10	7	219	114	100	35	19	11
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	7	6	2	11	14	2	6	5	1	...	...	...	1	...	1
...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	7	10	2	12	15	2	6	5	1	...	...	...	1	...	1
...	...	...	211	126	72	327	141	92	24	15	8	219	114	100	30	19	12
4	3	18	428	307	107	742	368	240	81	34	38	296	202	88	274	134	83

## CRIMINAL

*Statement of Crimes reported during the year and Result of Trial*

CLASS OF COURTS.	Rape.			Theft.			Breach of Trust.		
	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.
Police Patels .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Tahsildars' Courts .....	1	...	...	1,433	942	528	33	20	11
Third Talukdars' Courts .....	3	1	1	190	109	64	8	5	1
Second Talukdars' Courts .....	6	...	5	122	48	53	15	11	3
First Talukdars' Courts .....	72	28	40	277	141	101	20	21	10
City Magistrate's Court .....	...	...	...	606	314	194	...	...	...
Total.....	82	29	46	2,628	1,554	940	76	60	25
CUSTOMS.									
Amins' Courts .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Mahdams' Courts .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Talukdar's Court .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total.....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
SESSIONS.									
Divisional or Sessions Courts .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...
High Court, Original Side .....	4	7	1	2	3	2	1	3	1
High Court as Court of Reference...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total.....	4	7	1	2	3	2	2	4	1
GRAND TOTAL.....	86	36	47	2,630	1,557	942	78	64	26
Last Year.....	101	46	49	3,786	2,579	1,057	282	190	89

E.—concluded.

including Cases pending from last year, for 1290 F.—concl.

Bribery.			Making counterfeited coin.			Forgery.			Perjury.			Other crimes not specified above.			Total.		
No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.	No. of crimes reported during the year.	No. of cases convicted.	No. of cases discharged.
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,232	1,207	25	1,232	1,207	25
3	1	1	12	9	2	1	1	...	19	17	12	4,506	2,270	1,081	0,245	3,356	1,096
28	15	11	8	5	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	477	235	162	788	398	276
67	24	40	8	3	5	8	5	2	3	1	2	706	366	269	1,040	505	418
183	33	84	23	5	9	32	9	13	11	9	1	1,236	607	502	2,489	1,115	947
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,942	358	319	2,548	672	513
281	73	136	51	22	18	41	15	15	33	27	15	8,807	3,842	2,333	13,110	6,046	3,850
5	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	9	...	...
16	6	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	3	13	2	20	19	2
1	28	13	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	21	70	16	22	100	29
22	34	13	...	...	...	4	2	...	...	...	...	25	83	18	51	119	31
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	33	92	1	43	136
1	3	...	2	3	2	7	6	6	...	...	...	7	9	10	81	81	40
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	2	134	94	49
1	3	...	2	3	2	7	7	6	...	...	...	8	42	104	216	218	225
304	110	149	53	25	20	52	24	21	33	27	15	8,900	3,967	2,455	13,377	6,383	4,116
382	116	178	87	62	26	55	32	22	46	39	9	8,309	4,295	2,248	15,244	8,501	4,453





# CIVIL JUSTICE.

*Fasli Years 1287, 1288 1289, 1290.*

## CIVIL

## (DIVANI

*Statement showing the General Result of the Trial of Civil Suits*

CLASS OF COURTS.	Number of courts.	Number of judges.	Powers of courts.	Suits pending from last year.	Received by transfer.	Instituted within the year.	Total for disposal.	Transferred to other courts.	Plaints rejected or returned.
Tahsildars' Courts .....	103	103	Suits not exceeding Rs. 300...	1,168	151	5,359	6,698	20	55
Third Talukdars' Courts.	19	19	Do. Rs. 1,000...	139	20	262	421	8	1
Second do. do. ...	23	22	Do. Rs. 2,000...	74	27	87	188	13	7
First do. do. ...	17	33	Above Rs. 2,000 .....	72	13	103	188	2	1
City Civil Court.....	1	5	Not exceeding Rs. 2,000.....	103	153	1,008	1,264	69	128
Kazi's Court .....	1	1	Inheritance and matrimonial...	149	9	357	515	...	1
Arab Court.....	1	1	Arab disputes .....	27	...	94	121	...	3
Insolvency Court .....	1	5	Insolvency cases .....	561	...	146	707	1	20
Divani Buzurg and Majlis Ala Adalat or High Court, Original Side ...	1	3	Above Rs. 2,000 .....	49	55	92	196	33	47
Total...	163	192		2,802	428	7,508	10,298	140	263
Suburban Court of Judi- cature .....	1	1	Ordinary Civil Court powers, Intestate, Testamentary, and Matrimonial Jurisdiction. ...	...	...	...	187	...	...

A.

DISTRICTS.)

*in the Courts of Original Jurisdiction for the Year 1287 Fasli.*

UNCONTESTED.										CONTESTED.				PENDING.				Percentage of cases disposed of. Distribution of business of each class of courts.			AVERAGE DURATION OF EACH CASE.	
In favour of plaintiff.			In favour of defendant.			Total uncontested.	Judgment for plaintiff.	Judgment for defendant.	Total.	Total disposed of.	Under six months.	More than six months.	More than twelve months.	Total.	Uncontested cases.	Contested cases.						
Ex parte.	On confession, compromise or Panchayat.	Total.	Compromised and withdrawn.	Dismissed for default.	Total.																	
367	1,375	1,742	583	562	1,145	2,887	2,204	392	2,596	5,558	915	160	65	1,140	82.8	70.3	Days.	Days.				
49	56	105	19	49	68	173	68	28	96	278	99	13	31	143	66.0	3.5	92	99				
12	16	28	9	26	35	63	51	14	65	148	29	3	8	40	78.7	1.6	140	153				
1	9	10	8	23	31	41	56	16	72	116	37	16	19	72	61.7	1.4	182	194				
95	174	269	94	149	243	512	324	158	482	1,191	72	...	1	73	94.2	12.7	266	255				
...	10	10	2	95	97	107	190	70	260	368	65	23	59	147	71.4	4.7	28	58				
..	...	...	...	32	32	32	26	9	35	70	32	9	10	51	57.8	.8	60	79				
...	95	95	..	35	35	180	43	131	174	325	110	2	270	382	45.9	3.9	142	199				
9	13	22	5	8	13	35	28	8	36	151	31	7	7	45	77.2	.9	203	270				
533	1,748	2,281	720	979	1,699	3,980	2,990	826	3,816	8,205	1,390	233	470	2,093	79.9	...	68	140				
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	177	...	...	...	10	94.6	...	104	107				
Bombay...1877-78 A. D.															80.5	...	15.7	23.5				
Madras do. ...															83.3	...	...	...				
Berar do. ...															94.9	...	21.2	38.2				
Nagpur do. ...															94.05	...	19.1	28.2				
Mysore do. ...															91.9	...	31.0	55.0				

## CIVIL

*Statement showing the Execution of Decrees in the Courts*

CLASS OF COURTS.	APPLICATIONS TO EXECUTE DECREEES.				DECREEES.		
	Pending at the end of last year.	Filed during the year.	Received by transfer.	Total for disposal.	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Total.
Tahsildars' Courts .....	717	1,897	...	2,614	1,066	316	1,382
Third Talukdars' Courts .....	74	149	...	223	70	13	'88
Second do. do. ....	39	48	...	87	16	23	39
First do. do. ....	136	111	...	247	81	11	92
City Civil Court .....	453	1,716	...	2,169	273	207	480
Kazi's Court .....	57	223	...	280	116	27	143
Arab Court.....	65	100	...	165	20	11	31
Insolvency Court .....	166	110	...	276	3	17	20
Divani Buzurg and Majlis Alia Adalat or High Court, Original Side .....	159	163	...	322	18	9	27
Total.....	1,866	4,517	...	6,383	1,663	634	2,297
Suburban Court of Judicature .....	...	...	...	65	46	...	46

B.

*of Civil Jurisdiction during the Year 1287 Fasli.*

Struck off in default.	By fixing instalments.	Compromised.	Total disposed of.	Pending at the end of the year.	Percentage of execution of decrees.	NATURE AND NUMBER OF COERCIVE PROCESSES ISSUED.			
						Imprisonment of persons.	Attachment of movable and im-movable property.	Sale of movable property.	Sale of immovable property.
319	49	227	1,977	637	52·8	1	181	86	11
28	11	8	130	93	37·2	.....	22	7	6
14	1	4	58	29	44·8	.....	9	1	2
55	2	5	154	93	37·2	.....	8	2	1
825	322	146	1,773	396	22·1	32	462	293	28
58	1	31	233	47	51·0	19	18	10	...
44	1	45	121	44	18·7	.....	15	13	1
30	26	10	92	184	7·2	5	25	23	...
75	13	56	171	151	8·3	6	23	14	1
1,448	420	538	4,709	1,074	35·9	63	763	449	50
.....	.....	9	55	10	84·6	.....	.....	.....	50
Bombay 1877-78 A. D.....					29·9				
Madras	do.	.....	.....	.....	86·8				
Berar	do.	.....	.....	.....	43·9				
Nagpur	do.	.....	.....	.....	49·7				
Mysore	do.	.....	.....	.....	87·3				

## CIVIL

*Statement showing the Business of the Civil*

CLASS OF APPELLATE COURTS.	Number of courts.	Number of judges.	Remaining at the end of last years.	Received by transfer.	Received from Appellate Courts (remander).	Instituted during the year.	Total for disposal.	Transferred to other courts.	Rejected or returned.
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
First Talukdars' or District Courts .....	17	33	234	...	17	586	837	...	4
Sadar Talukdars' or Divisional Courts .....	5	10	81	...	18	180	279	...	10
Majlis Alia Adalat or High Court, Appellate Side .....	1	5	106	...	16	277	399	...	...
Total.....	23	48	421	...	51	1,043	1,515	...	14

C.

*Appellate Courts in the Year 1287 Fasli.*

UNCONTESTED.			CONTESTED.				Total disposed of.	Percentage of cases disposed of.	PENDING.					AVERAGE DURATION OF EACH CASE.	
Dismissed for default.	Withdrawn or compromised.	Total.	Confirmed.	Reserved or modified.	Remanded.	Total.			Within three months.	Within six months.	Within one year.	Over one year.	Total pending.	In present year.	In last year.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
15	31	46	300	245	90	641	691	82.5	1	38	1	8	146	165	159
14	10	24	92	53	18	163	197	70.5	52	20	5	5	82	159	106
5	9	14	89	42	62	193	207	51.5	78	61	27	26	192	129	115
34	50	84	487	340	170	997	1,095	71.3	131	119	33	39	420	129	134

## CIVIL

*Statement showing the Number and Description of Civil  
in the Year*

CLASS OF COURTS.	On written obligation.	On un-written obligation.	On account stated.	Claims for profit or loss in partnership.	Claims for recovery of money or movables entrusted to an agent.	Claims for value of articles sold or mortgage money of immovable property.	Claims for value of movables.	Claims for salary due or pleaders' fees.	Claims for rent of a house or the produce of contract.
Tahsildars' Courts .....	2,856	1,165	399	179	15	74	164	43	67
Third Talukdars' Courts .....	112	86	9	6	3	2	7	3	1
Second do. do. ....	25	36	1	2	...	...	2	...	...
First do. do. ....	13	30	2	3	1	...	2	...	1
City Civil Court.....	181	69	151	10	6	69	216	62	51
Kazi's Court.....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Arab Court .....	89	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	...
Insolvency Court .....	77	66	..	1	...	...	...	...	...
Divani Buzurg and Majlis Alia Adalat or High Court, Original Side .....	23	13	3	...	...	3	4	2	2
Total.....	3,376	1,465	566	202	25	148	395	110	122
Suburban Court of Judicature.	177			1	...	...	...	...	4



## D.

*Suits instituted in the Courts of Original Civil Jurisdiction*  
*1287 Fasli.*

Claims for breach of contract.	Claims for damages.	Claims for dower, maintenance and guardianship of minors.	Claims for enforcement of matrimonial rights	Claims for Watan rights and Basm and Yeomiah.	Claims relating to religious endowments.	Claims relating to religion and caste.	Claims for inheritance and adoption.	Claims relating to administration of trust	Claims relating to gifts.	Claims relating to wills.	Claims for sale including foreclosure.	Claims for mortgage and redemption.	Claims for right of pre-emption.	Claims for recovery of possession.	Other claims relating to cash or movable property.	Other claims relating to immovable property.	Claims on decrees of British Courts.	Total cases instituted.
59	42	15	1	92	4	1	3	3	125	...	19	14	5	13	2	...	...	5,360
...	2	...	...	27	...	...	...	..	1	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	261
...	...	...	...	6	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	13	...	...	87
...	2	13	13	7	1	1	2	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	11	...	...	103
22	4	3	4	14	3	1	4	2	35	...	6	23	...	42	30	...	...	1,008
...	...	135	89	...	...	...	13	...	...	2	...	...	7	...	71	40	...	357
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	3	...	...	...	...	...	94
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	146
...	...	4	5	1	1	...	19	2	1	1	...	4	...	4	...	...	..	92
81	50	170	112	147	9	3	42	7	163	3	27	46	13	59	127	40	...	7,508
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	1	...	...	2	187

## CIVIL

*Statement showing the Value of Suits disposed of in the*

[illegible]

E.

*Courts of Civil Jurisdiction during the Year 1287 Fashi.*

Not exceeding Rs. 1,00,000.	Upwards of Rs. 1,00,000.	Not of cases without value.	Total number of suits.	Total value of suits.	Average value of each suit excluding cases without value.
... ..	.....	17	5,368	4,01,975 0 10	75 1 11
.....	.....	5	262	1,55,737 11 4	605 15 8
.....	.....	.....	82	1,04,831 4 1	1,278 5 11
.....	.....	22	99	3,13,977 8 1	4,077 10 1
.....	.....	51	1,008	2,40,743 8 1	251 0 0
1	5	234	357	39,25,964 2 11	31,918 6 6
1	.....	1	94	2,45,600 15 6	2,640 13 11
.....	1	2	146	3,48,016 6 9	1,722 5 4
4	3	19	92	61,40,199 9 6	84,112 5 2
6	9	351	7,508	1,18,77,046 3 1	1,660 14 4
.....	.....	.....	187	1,27,986 0 0	*251 0 0

\* Exclusive of the pauper suit valued at Rs. 81,000.

## CIVIL

*Statement showing the Costs of Litigation in*

CLASS OF COURTS.	Number of cases decided.	Amount claimed.	Value of stamped paper for plaints.	Value of stamped paper for other purposes.	Pleadings' fees.
Tahsildars' Courts .....	5,646	3,39,280 11 7	25,345 8 0	3,225 14 0	3,272 6 4
Third Talukdars' Courts .....	269	1,56,858 14 3	7,386 0 0	452 0 0	1,266 7 10
Second do. do. ....	126	1,35,677 3 4	4,236 0 0	679 0 0	1,636 10 5
First do. do. ....	705	4,86,910 5 3	12,992 8 0	771 12 0	4,700 6 10
Sadar or Divisional Civil Courts .....	121	1,24,846 2 11	3,992 0 0	354 12 0	189 1 4
City Civil Court .....	994	2,57,890 14 10	7,426 0 0	630 14 0	2,655 14 2
Kazi's Court .....	367	12,99,800 14 0	1,312 0 0	365 8 0	.....
Arab Court.....	70	.....	.....	.....	.....
Insolvency Court .....	325	.....	.....	.....	.....
Divani Buzurg and Majlis Alia Adalat or High Court, Original Side .....	71	5,17,398 10 6	6,175 8 0	162 0 0	2,330 15 4
High Court, Appellate Side...	207	6,96,673 3 9	13,692 0 0	3,083 0 0	3,377 0 4
Total.....	*8,506	39,88,337 0 5	82,557 8 0	9,730 12 0	19,428 14 7
Suburban Court of Judicature.	177	.....	.....	.....	Particulars

\* Excluding Arab and

F.

*the Courts of Civil Justice for 1287 Fushli.*

Diet for witnesses.	Talbana.	Miscellaneous.	Total costs.	Average costs of each case.	Percentage on value claimed.
68 3 0	2,177 7 4	97 1 1	34,186 7 9	6 0 11	10·7
1 4 0	224 0 0	3 13 0	9,333 8 10	34 11 1	5·2
2 9 6	126 6 10	7 8 0	6,688 2 9	53 1 3	4·9
24 2 0	308 15 0	376 15 3	19,174 11 1	27 3 2	3·9
.....	0 14 0	3 0 0	4,539 11 4	37 8 3	3·6
2 12 0	4 0 0	10 12 0	10,736 4 2	10 12 9	4·1
.....	.....	139 0 0	1,816 8 0	4 15 2	·14
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
.....	.....	0 12 0	8,669 3 4	122 1 7	1·6
.....	77 14 0	232 4 0	20,512 2 4	108 12 0	3·3
98 14 0	2,919 9 2	921 1 4	1,15,656 11 7	13 6 8	2·9
not given.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

Insolvency Court suits.

## CIVIL

## (DIVANI)

*Statement showing the General Result of the Trial of Civil Suits*

CLASS OF COURTS.	Number of courts.	Number of judges.	Powers of courts.	Suits pending from last year.	Received by transfer.	Instituted within the year.	Total for disposal.	Transferred to other Courts.	Plaints rejected or returned.
Tahsildars' Courts .....	103	103	Suits not exceeding Rs. 300...	1,144	187	5,549	6,880	8	31
Third Talukdars' Courts.	19	19	Do. Rs. 1,000..	143	26	315	484	5	12
Second do. do.	22	22	Do. Rs. 2,000 ..	39	26	102	167	8	11
First do. do.	17	33	Above Rs. 2,000 ...	72	12	67	151	5	4
City Civil Court.....	1	5	Not exceeding Rs. 2,000 ...	73	163	1,139	1,375	91	98
Kazi's Court .....	1	1	Inheritance and matrimonial..	147	15	373	535	...	...
Arab Court.....	1	1	Arab disputes ...	51	...	45	96	...	...
Insolvency Court .....	1	5	Insolvency cases ...	382	...	701	1,083	...	61
Divani Buzurg and Majlis Alta Adalat or High Court, Original Sido ...	1	3	Above Rs. 2,000 ...	45	4	64	113	...	18
Total...	166	192		2,096	433	8,355	10,884	117	235
Suburban Court of Judi- cature .....	1	1	Ordinary Civil Court powers Intestate, Testamentary, and Matrimonial Jurisdiction.			No information received			

A.

DISTRICTS.)

*in the Courts of Original Jurisdiction for the Year 1288 Fasli.*

UNCONTESTED.							CONTESTED.			Total disposed of.	PENDING.			Percentage of cases disposed of. Distribution of business of each class of Court.	AVERAGE DURATION OF EACH CASE.			
Exparte.	In favor of Plaintiff.		In favor of defendant.		Total uncontested	Judgment for plaintiff.	Judgment for defendant.	Total	Under six months.		More than six months.	More than twelve months.	Total.		Uncontested cases.	Contested cases.		
	On Confession, Compromise or Panchayat.	Total.	Compromised and withdrawn.	Dismissed for default.													Total.	
515	1,484	2,029	640	705	1,411	3,440	1,993	349	2,345	5,824	735	250	65	1,050	84.6	67.4	84.5	90.5
65	54	119	35	55	90	209	89	20	109	835	104	37	8	149	69.2	3.8	168.0	193.0
11	15	26	15	10	25	51	26	10	36	106	41	18	2	61	63.4	1.2	122.1	98.0
3	2	5	7	26	33	38	38	15	53	100	33	8	10	51	66.2	1.1	208.7	286.0
121	219	340	144	148	292	632	324	173	497	1,318	55	2	...	57	95.8	15.2	22.0	38.1
7	8	15	5	113	118	133	169	68	237	370	85	28	52	165	69.1	4.4	163.8	99.6
...	...	...	1	13	14	14	29	4	33	47	18	4	27	49	48.9	0.6	252.9	203.8
14	37	51	...	158	158	209	178	26	199	469	285	190	139	614	43.3	5.4	330.4	462.9
6	8	16	2	20	22	38	14	8	22	78	26	3	6	35	69.1	0.9	172.6	228.9
774	1,827	2,601	855	1,308	2,103	4,764	2,858	673	3,531	8,647	1,382	546	309	2,237	79.4	100	96.4	113.4

## CIVIL

*Statement showing the Execution of Decrees in the Courts*

CLASS OF COURTS.	APPLICATIONS TO EXECUTE DECREES.				DECREES.		
	Pending at the end of last year.	Filed during the year.	Received by transfer.	Total for disposal.	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Total.
Tahsildars' Courts.....	637	2,187	...	2,824	1,080	333	1,419
Third Talukdars' Courts .....	93	137	...	23	55	12	67
Second do. do. ....	29	38	...	67	15	2	17
First do. do. ....	93	44	...	137	26	8	34
City Civil Court.....	396	1,767	...	2,163	258	392	650
Kazi's Court .....	47	185	...	232	73	15	88
Arab Court.....	44	133	...	177	18	46	64
Insolvency Court .....	184	156	...	34	6	7	13
Majlis Alia Adalat or High Court, Original Side .....	151	124	...	275	64	24	88
Total.....	1,074	4,771	...	6,445	1,601	839	2,440
Suburban Court of Judicature .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...



B.

*of Civil Jurisdiction during the Year 1288 Fasli.*

Struck off in default.	By fixing instalments.	Compromised.	Total disposed of.	Pending at the end of the year.	Percentage of execution of decrees.	NATURE AND NUMBER OF COERCIVE PROCESSES ISSUED.			
						Imprisonment of persons.	Attachment of movable and immovable property.	Sale of movable property.	Sale of immovable property.
334	85	208	2,046	778	72.4	40	91	76	9
37	15	12	131	99	56.9	.....	8	4	1
13	1	2	33	34	49.2	.....	3	.....	.....
27	4	11	76	61	55.4	.....	3	2	.....
753	85	326	1,814	349	83.8	44	29	306	23
53	.....	11	152	80	65.5	11	1	3	.....
15	12	40	131	46	74.0	1	17	8	1
48	16	14	91	249	26.7	.....	.....	29	.....
81	9	.....	178	97	64.7	1	9	51	4
1,361	227	624	4,652	1,793	72.1	103	161	479	38
No information		received.	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

## CIVIL

*Statement showing the Business of the Civil*

CLASS OF APPELLATE COURTS.	Number of courts.	Number of judges.	Remaining at the end of last year.	Received by transfer.	Received from Appellate Courts (remanded).	Instituted during the year.	Total for disposal.	Transferred to other courts.	Rejected or returned.
First Talukdars' or District Courts .....	17	33	146	...	8	436	500	...	8
Sadar Talukdars' or Divisional Courts .....	5	10	82	...	13	161	256	...	15
Majlis Alia Adalat or High Court, Appellate Side.....	1	5	192	...	6	132	330	...	...
Total.....	23	48	420	...	27	729	1,176	...	23

## C.

*Appellate Courts in the Year 1288 Fasli.*

UNCONTESTED.			CONTESTED.				Total disposed of.	Percentage of cases disposed of.	PENDING.					AVERAGE DURATION OF EACH CASE.	
Dismissed for default.	Withdrawn or compromised.	Total.	Confirmed.	Reserved or modified.	Remanded.	Total.			Within three months.	Within six months.	Within one year.	Over one year.	Total pending.	In present year.	In last year.
21	19	40	173	141	79	393	441	74.7	67	34	41	7	149	77	165
21	3	24	57	64	5	126	165	64.4	30	19	29	13	91	87	159
15	10	25	92	47	62	201	220	68.4	34	33	...	36	104	199	129
57	32	89	322	252	146	720	832	70.7	131	86	70	56	344	122	129

## CIVIL

*Statement showing the Number and Description of Civil  
in the Year*

[illegible]

D.

*Suits instituted in the Courts of Original Civil Jurisdiction*  
1288 *Fasli.*

[illegible]

## CIVIL

*Statement showing the Value of Suits instituted in the*

CLASS OF COURTS.	Below Rs. 16.	Not exceeding Rs. 100.	Not exceeding Rs. 300.	Not exceeding Rs. 1,000.	Not exceeding Rs. 2,000.	Not exceeding Rs. 5,000.	Not exceeding Rs. 20,000.	Not exceeding Rs. 50,000.
Tahsildars' Courts.....	1,712	2,438	1,393	...	...	...	...	...
Third Talukdars' Courts .....	...	...	...	312	...	...	...	...
Second do. do. ....	...	...	...	10	90	...	...	...
First do. do. ....	...	...	1	5	...	33	13	3
City Civil Court.....	221	336	313	131	59	...	...	..
Kazi's Court .....	...	27	42	30	15	12	17	2
Arab Court.....	...	4	12	6	5	9	5	1
Insolvency Court .....	21	196	132	159	67	54	48	9
Majlis Alia Adalat or High Court, Original Side .....	...	...	...	...	...	39	18	3
Total.....	1,954	3,001	1,893	653	236	147	101	18
Suburban Court of Judicature....						No	information	

E.

*Courts of Civil Jurisdiction during the Year 1288 Faslî.*

Not exceeding Rs. 1,00,000.	Upwards of Rs. 1,00,000.	No. of cases without value.	Total number of suits.	Total value of suits.	Average value of each suit excluding cases without value.
				Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
.....	.....	6	5,549	4,38,383 12 5	79 1 5
.....	.....	3	315	2,02,003 4 2	647 7 1
.....	.....	2	102	1,40,734 3 0	1,406 5 5
1	.....	11	67	4,03,968 15 11	7,213 11 8
.....	.....	79	1,139	2,53,486 0 1	239 2 2
.....	3	225	373	9,67,081 13 9	6,534 5 6
2	.....	1	45	2,74,650 14 4	6,242 9 9
4	1	10	701	15,36,971 2 9	2,224 4 4
.....	4	.....	64	1,11,10,669 9 0	1,73,604 3 4
7	8	337	8,355	1,53,27,955 11 5	1,911 11 1
received.					

## CIVIL

*Statement showing the Costs of Litigation in*

CLASS OF COURTS.	Number of cases decided.	Amount claimed.	Value of stamp paper for plaints.	Value of stamp paper for other purposes.	Pleaders' fees.
Tahsildars' Courts .....	5,785	2,44,452 5 3	17,639 8 0	2,678 8 9	3,283 15 9
Third Talukdars' Courts .....	318	1,88,806 10 6	9,319 0 0	999 12 0	2,305 6 7
Second do. do. ....	87	1,13,350 9 4	4,028 4 0	298 7 0	761 10 4
First do. do. ....	324	5,81,297 9 9	19,526 12 0	1,290 1 0	6,961 12 11
Sadar or Divisional Civil Courts.	150	1,17,260 12 5	3,559 0 0	338 4 0	292 7 10
City Civil Court .....	1,129	2,48,510 10 3	10,160 12 0	760 1 0	4,120 1 0
Kazi's Court .....	370	12,72,075 0 6	1,751 0 0	206 0 0	1,780 5 0
Arab Court.....	...	.....	.....	.....	.....
Insolvency Court .....	...	.....	.....	.....	.....
Majlis Alia Adalat or High Court, Original Side .....	60	61,55,855 7 1	6,312 0 0	150 0 0	2,321 3 11
High Court, Appellate Side.....	226	7,92,338 0 0	6,915 8 0	2,504 0 0	8,215 4 0
Total.....	8,645	97,14,547 1 1	79,211 12 0	9,225 1 9	30,042 3 4
Suburban Court of Judicature..					Particulars not



F.

*the Courts of Civil Justice for 1288 Fasli.*

Diet for witnesses.	Talbana.	Miscellaneous.	Total costs.	Average costs of each case.	Percentage on value claimed.
166 2 0	2,274 15 7	180 15 9	26,224 1 10	4 8 6	10.72
85 5 0	291 8 5	6 6 0	13,007 6 0	40 14 5	6.88
.....	100 13 3	10 11 0	5,199 13 7	59 12 3	4.58
44 6 0	338 6 0	202 12 9	28,364 2 8	54 2 0	4.87
.....	6 8 0	.....	4,190 3 10	27 15 6	3.57
.....	.....	15 5 6	15,056 3 6	13 5 6	6.05
.....	.....	.....	3,737 5 0	10 1 7	.21
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
.....	.....	.....	8,783 3 11	146 6 2	.14
.....	10 0 0	56 0 0	17,700 12 0	78 5 1	2.23
295 13 0	3,022 3 3	472 3 0	1,22,269 4 4	14 2 2	1.25
given.					

## CIVIL

(DIVANI

*Statement showing the General Result of the Trial of Civil Suits*

CLASS OF COURTS.	Number of courts.	Number of judges.	Powers of courts.	Suits pending from last year.	Received by transfer.	Instituted within the year.	Total for disposal.	Transferred to other courts.	Plaints rejected or returned.
Tahsildars' Courts .....	109	103	Suits not exceeding Rs. 300...	1,056	137	5,622	6,815	19	49
Third Talukdars' Courts..	19	19	Do. „ 1,000...	149	71	317	537	9	7
Second do. do. ...	22	22	Do. „ 2,000...	61	16	114	191	4	9
First do. do. ...	17	33	Above Rs. 2,000 .....	51	9	94	154	1	...
City Civil Court .....	1	5	Not exceeding 2,000 .....	57	172	1,106	1,335	82	73
Kazi's Court.....	1	1	Inheritance and matrimonial...	165	3	353	521	...	...
Arab Court .....	1	1	Arab disputes .....	49	...	59	108	12	21
Insolvency Court.....	1	5	Insolvency cases .....	614	33	434	1,081	...	104
Majlis Alia Adalat or High Court, Original Side ...	1	3	Above Rs. 2,000 .....	35	8	58	101	...	11
Total.....	...	...	.....	2,237	449	8,157	10,843	127	274
Suburban Court of Judicature.	1	1	Ordinary Civil Court powers, Intestate, Testamentary, and Matrimonial Jurisdiction.	...	...	...	159	...	...

A.

DISTRICTS.)

*in the Courts of Original Jurisdiction for the Year 1289 Fashi.*

Exparte.	UNCONTESTED.							CONTESTED.			Total disposed of.	PENDING.				Percentage of cases disposed of.	Distribution of business of each class of courts.	AVERAGE DURA- TION OF EACH CASE			
	In favor of plaintiff.			In favor of defendant.				Total uncontested.	Judgment for plaintiff.	Judgment for defendant.		Total.	Under six months.	More than six months.	More than twelve months.			Total.	Uncontested cases.	Contested cases.	
	On confession, compromised or Panchayat.	Total.	Compromised and withdrawn.	Dismissed for default.	Total.	Total.	Total.														
Days.	Days																				
436	1,474	1,910	689	738	1,427	3,337	2,180	305	2 485	5,890	728	151	46	925	86.3	86.4	72.4	90.0			
40	62	102	34	68	102	204	133	33	166	386	101	33	17	151	71.8	4.3	132.5	171.3			
8	11	19	9	20	29	48	45	14	59	120	43	23	5	71	62.8	1.2	149.1	219.5			
7	8	15	11	22	33	48	58	11	69	118	27	3	6	36	70.6	1.3	194.3	260.8			
149	185	334	164	166	330	664	318	142	460	1,279	56	...	...	56	94.6	14.4	23.5	39.9			
10	9	19	...	114	114	133	172	41	213	346	163	12	...	175	66.4	4.0	70.4	118.8			
...	...	...	...	28	28	28	26	5	31	92	4	...	12	16	85.1	1.3	338.1	388.7			
16	32	48	...	137	137	185	118	109	287	576	76	178	251	505	53.2	6.3	393.4	358.1			
5	3	8	3	13	16	24	37	13	50	85	11	4	1	16	84.1	0.8	99.5	156.0			
671	1,784	2,455	910	1,306	2,216	4,671	3,087	733	3,820	8,892	1,209	404	338	1,951	82.0	100	84.4	117.5			
14	44	58	6	6	12	70	44	32	76	146	...	...	...	13	...	...	...	...			

CIVIL

*Statement showing the Execution of Decrees in the Courts*

CLASS OF COURTS.	APPLICATIONS TO EXECUTE DECREEES.				DE-
	Pending at the end of last year.	Filed during the year.	Received by transfer.	Total for disposal.	Completely executed.
Tahsildars' Courts.....	778	2,563	.....	3,341	1,431
Third Talukdars' Courts .....	99	141	.....	240	65
Second Talukdars' Courts .....	34	58	.....	92	14
First Talukdars' Courts .....	61	49	.....	110	14
City Civil Court .....	349	1,557	.....	1,906	233
Kazi's Court .....	80	204	.....	284	91
Arab Court .....	46	143	.....	189	20
Insolvency Court.....	249	167	.....	416	36
Majlis Alia Adalat or High Court, Original Side.	97	79	.....	176	12
Total.....	1,793	4,961	.....	6,754	1,916
Suburban Court of Judicature .....	.....	90	2	92	3

## B.

*of Civil Jurisdiction during the Year 1289 Fasli.*

CREES.		NATURE AND NUMBER OF COERCIVE PROCESSES ISSUED.									
Partially executed.	Total.	Struck off in default.	By fixing instalments.	Compromised.	Total disposed of.	Pending at the end of the year.	Percentage of execution of decrees.	Imprisonment of persons.	Attachment of movable and immovable property.	Sale of movable property.	Sale of immovable property.
345	1,776	290	80	277	2,423	918	72.5	..	640	91	27
23	88	29	32	10	159	81	66.2	...	4	1	3
8	22	3	3	8	36	56	39.1	...	8	6	1
11	25	20	1	9	55	55	50.0	...	10	1	.....
364	597	651	105	279	1,632	274	85.6	19	32	301	28
17	108	21	12	19	160	124	56.3	9	3	9	.....
42	62	15	10	46	133	56	70.3	1	14	27	.....
22	58	20	16	33	127	289	30.5	...	...	11	.....
14	20	45	15	...	86	69	48.8	...	8	14	4
846	2,762	1,094	274	681	4,811	1,943	71.3	20	719	461	63
5	8	6	...	22	36	56	39.3	..	24	2	5

## CIVIL

*Statement showing the Business of the Civil*

CLASS OF APPELLATE COURTS.	Number of courts.	Number of judges.	Remaining at the end of last year.	Received by transfer.	Received from appellate courts (remanded).	Instituted during the year.	Total for disposal.	Transferred to other courts.	Rejected or returned.
First Talukdars' or District Courts .....	17	34	149	...	27	441	617	...	10
Sadar Talukdars' or Divisional Courts .....	5	10	91	...	12	146	249	...	...
Majlis Alia Adalat or High Court, Appellate Side.....	1	3	104	...	30	198	332	...	...
Total .....	23	47	344	...	69	785	1,198	...	10

C.

*Appellate Courts in the Year 1289 Fasli.*

UNCONTESTED.			CONTESTED.						PENDING.					AVERAGE DURATION OF EACH CASE.	
Dismissed for default.	Withdrawn or compromised.	Total.	Confirmed.	Reserved or modified.	Remanded.	Total.	Total disposed of.	Percentage of cases disposed of.	Within three months.	Within six months.	Within one year.	Over one year.	Total pending.	In present year.	In last year.
27	29	47	222	170	80	472	529	85.8	50	15	10	12	87	262	77
31	8	39	67	50	9	126	165	66.2	38	16	14	24	84	39	87
20	7	27	111	69	36	216	243	73.19	34	29	7	19	89	200	199
78	35	113	400	289	125	814	937	78.27	122	60	31	55	260	231	121

## CIVIL

*Statement showing the Number and Description of Civil  
in the Year*

CLASS OF COURTS.	On written obligation.	On un-written obligation.	On account stated.	Claims for profits or loss in partnership.	Claims for recovery of money or movables entrusted to an agent.	Claims for value of articles sold or mortgage money of immovable property.	Claims for value of movables.	Claims for salary due or pleaders' fees.	Claims for rent of a house or the produce of contract.
Tahsildars' Courts .....	3,208	386	1,325	83	18	65	79	32	30
Third Talukdars' Courts .....	122	5	135	7	...	3	3	2	...
Second do. ....	36	7	46	6	...	1	...	2	...
First do. ....	26	4	24	5	...	...	3	1	1
City Civil Court.....	201	153	48	6	...	10	279	55	37
Kazi's Court .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Arab Court .....	57	2	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Insolvency Court .....	224	...	207	...	...	...	3	...	...
Maylis Alia Adalat or High Court, Original Side.....	15	5	5	1	1	2	2	...	2
Total.....	3,889	562	1,790	108	19	81	369	92	70
Suburban Court of Judicature.	42	19	...	...	...	38	...	...	15



## D.

*Suits instituted in the Courts of Original Civil Jurisdiction*  
*1289 Fasli.*

Claims for breach of contract.	Claims for damages	Claims for dower, maintenance and guardianship of minors.	Claims for enforcement of matrimonial rights.	Claims for Watan rights and Rusum and Yeomiah.	Claims relating to religious endowments.	Claims relating to religion and caste.	Claims for inheritance and adoption.	Claims relating to administration of trust.	Claims relating to gifts.	Claims relating to wills.	Claims for sale including foreclosure.	Claims for mortgage and redemption.	Claims for right of pre-emption.	Claims for recovery of possession.	Other claims relating to cash or movable property.	Other claims relating to immovable property.	Claims on decrees of British courts.	Total causes instituted.
70	19	14	3	62	39	2	32	2	30	5	4	20	4	2	66	22	...	5,622
...	...	1	...	22	1	...	10	..	1	...	1	..	...	...	2	2	...	317
...	2	2	2	8	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	114
...	1	7	1	16	2	...	2	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	94
8	3	10	3	12	5	...	...	...	57	...	3	4	...	21	176	12	...	1,106
...	...	122	7	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	6	...	197	21	..	353
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	59
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	434
...	1	...	...	1	...	...	5	4	4	...	1	4	..	3	2	...	...	58
78	26	150	16	121	47	2	50	6	92	5	9	29	10	29	444	57	...	8,157
...	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	24	6	...	159

## CIVIL

*Statement showing the Value of Suits instituted in the Courts*

CLASS OF COURTS.	Below Rs. 10.	Not exceeding Rs. 100.	Not exceeding Rs. 300.	Not exceeding Rs. 1,000.	Not exceeding Rs. 2,000.	Not exceeding Rs. 5,000.	Not exceeding Rs. 20,000.	Not exceeding Rs. 50,000.
Tahsildars' Courts .....	1,659	2,514	1,433	...	...	...	...	...
Third Talukdars' Courts .....	...	2	...	299	...	...	...	...
Second do. ....	...	...	1	13	104	...	...	...
First do. ....	...	...	3	12	10	57	14	2
City Civil Court .....	251	339	283	139	43	..	...	...
Kazi's Court .....	3	6	20	25	25	14	10	3
Arab Court.....	...	3	3	14	19	13	4	1
Insolvency Court .....	9	101	88	95	47	42	37	9
Majlis Alia Adalat or High Court, Original Side .....	...	...	...	1	...	37	14	3
Total.....	1,922	2,965	1,837	598	248	163	79	18
Suburban Court of Judicature .....	72	57	34	26	7	7	1	...

E.

*of Civil Jurisdiction during the Year 1289 Fasli.*

Not exceeding Rs. 1,00,000.	Upwards of Rs. 1,00,000.	No. of cases without value.	Total number of suits	Total value of suits.	Average value of each suit excluding cases without value.
.....	.....	10	5,616	4,05,051 15 0	72 4 0
.....	.....	.....	301	1,87,741 6 11	623 11 7
.....	.....	4	122	1,54,215 14 11	1,306 14 7
.....	.....	10	108	3,57,120 1 10	3,644 1 3
.....	.....	51	1,106	2,13,282 7 10	202 2 7
2	2	237	353	54,07,419 14 8	4,719 2 2
1	.....	1	59	2,27,691 8 6	3,925 11 5
4	1	1	434	13,92,421 7 6	3,215 13 1
1	1	1	58	7,38,739 2 6	12,960 5 4
8	4	315	8,157	90,83,683 15 8	1,158 6 0
.....	.....	.....	159	75,332 13 5	473 12 8

## CIVIL

*Statement showing the Costs of Litigation in*

CLASS OF COURTS.	Number of cases decided.	Amount claimed.	Value of stamp paper for plaints.	Value of stamp paper for other purposes.	Pleaders' fees.
Tahsildars' Courts.....	5,837	2,13,929 12 10	20,103 4 0	3,977 10 0	4,233 5 0
Third Talukdars' Courts .....	360	1,94,426 5 9	9,508 0 0	1,000 10 0	1,891 15 4
Second do. ....	134	1,27,255 14 3	4,808 0 0	643 15 0	1,349 9 1
First do. ....	631	7,02,003 4 4	14,655 12 0	957 6 0	4,745 5 2
Sadar or Divisional Civil Courts.	138	1,47,641 10 4	5,051 0 0	521 14 0	365 8 9
City Civil Court .....	1,124	2,31,274 10 7	12,210 2 0	977 9 3	5,150 5 9
Kazi's Court .....	346	20,71,021 15 2	735 0 0	184 0 0	665 0 0
Arab Court.....	...	.....	.....	.....	.....
Insolvency Court .....	...	.....	.....	.....	.....
Majlis Alia Adalat or High Court, Original Side ... ..	74	12,44,624 14 6	9,838 0 0	222 12 0	5,639 14 1
High Court, Appellate Side.....	243	59,62,169 5 2	7,242 0 0	2,816 0 0	7,137 15 10
Total.....	8,887	1,08,94,847 12 11	90,211 2 0	11,361 12 9	31,190 15 0
Suburban Court of Judicature..	140	54,322 3 1	2,416 8 0	1,182 0 0	960 9 6

F.

*the Courts of Civil Justice for 1289 Fasli.*

Diet for witnesses.	Talbana.	Miscellaneous.	Total costs.	Average costs of each case.	Percentage on value claimed.
141 9 6	2,310 9 3	267 0 1	37,083 6 4	6 5 6	17.3
32 2 0	345 10 0	11 2 0	12,852 8 1	35 11 3	6.6
14 3 0	106 2 0	34 2 0	7,015 15 7	52 6 4	5.5
11 6 0	257 15 8	345 5 7	20,973 2 5	33 3 9	2.9
.....	.....	.....	5,938 6 9	43 0 6	4.02
.....	.....	86 7 0	18,433 8 0	16 6 0	7.9
.....	.....	.....	1,584 0 0	4 9 2	.07
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
.....	.....	44 0 0	15,744 10 1	212 12 2	1.2
.....	23 14 0	455 4 0	17,675 1 10	78 3 4½	.29
199 4 6	3,044 4 2	1,243 4 8	1,37,250 11 1	15 7 0	42.72
47 12 2	248 8 11	.....	4,855 6 7	33 4 1	8.93

## CIVIL

(DIVANI)

*Statement showing the General Result of the Trial of Civil Suits*

CLASS OF COURTS.	Number of courts.	Number of judges.	Powers of courts.	Suits pending from last year.	Received by transfer.	Instituted within the year.	Total for disposal.	Transferred to other courts.	Plaints rejected or returned.
Thasildars' Courts .....	103	103	Suits not exceeding Rs. 300...	925	208	5,389	6,522	4	30
Third Talukdars' Courts..	19	19	Do. „ 1,000...	151	46	212	409	8	7
Second do. do. ...	22	22	Do. „ 2,000...	71	17	86	174	11	3
First do. do. ...	17	33	Above Rs. 2,000 .....	36	13	83	132	4	2
City Civil Court .....	1	5	Not exceeding Rs. 2,000.....	56	123	1,444	1,623	21	149
Kazi's Court.....	1	1	Inheritance and matrimonial...	175	5	372	552	..	..
Arab Court .....	1	1	Arab disputes .....	10	1	27	44	..	1
Insolvency Court .....	1	5	Insolvency cases .....	505	...	235	740	...	23
Majlis Ahn Adalat or High Court, Original Side.	1	3	Above Rs. 2,000 .....	10	8	59	83	1	4
Total.....	166	192	.....	1,951	421	7,907	10,279	49	219
Suburban Court of Judicature.	1	1	Ordinary civil court powers, intestate, testamentary, and matrimonial jurisdiction.	...	...	...	154	...	...

A.

DISTRICTS.)

*in the Courts of Original Jurisdiction for the Year 1290 Fasli.*

Ex parte.	UNCONTESTED.						CONTESTED.			Total disposed of	PENDING				Percentage of cases disposed of Distribution of business of each class of courts.	AVERAGE DURATION OF EACH CASE.		
	In favor of plaintiff.		In favor of defendant.		Total uncontested.	Judgment for plaintiff.	Judgment for defendant.	Total.	Under six months.		More than six months.	More than twelve months.	Total.	Uncontested cases.		Contested cases.		
	On confession, compromise or Panchayat.	Total.	Compromised and withdrawn.	Dismissed for default.													Total.	
398	1,116	1,514	655	754	1,409	2,923	2,113	323	2,436	5,393	875	167	67	1,129	82.6	66.7	65	88
44	22	66	35	19	84	150	101	32	133	298	78	30	3	111	72.8	3.5	164	205
7	14	21	8	25	33	54	49	7	56	124	30	13	7	50	71.2	1.5	121	280
...	5	5	10	20	30	35	28	16	44	85	28	11	8	47	61.4	1.0	145	230
173	234	407	178	226	404	811	428	193	621	1,602	19	...	2	21	98.7	19.4	27	30
1	14	15	...	131	131	146	98	62	160	306	127	64	55	246	55.4	3.7	171	142
...	...	...	...	12	12	12	4	2	6	19	10	5	10	25	40.9	0.2	213	238
24	21	45	...	45	45	90	65	12	77	190	121	32	397	550	25.9	2.3	382	463
6	7	13	2	8	10	23	22	11	33	61	18	3	1	22	73.4	0.7	111	112
653	1,433	2,086	888	1,270	2,158	4,244	2,908	658	3,566	8,078	1,306	345	550	2,201	78.5	100	74	141
19	35	54	7	9	16	70	49	25	74	144	...	...	...	10	...	...	...	...

CIVIL

*Statement showing the Execution of Decrees in the Courts*

CLASS OF COURTS.	APPLICATIONS TO EXECUTE DECREE				DECREES.		
	Pending at the end of the last year.	Filed during the year.	Received by transfer.	Total for disposal.	Completely executed.	Partially executed.	Total.
Tahsildars' Courts .....	918	2,317	...	3,235	1,210	344	1,551
Third Talukdars' Courts .....	81	275	...	356	73	53	126
Second Talukdars' Courts .....	56	64	..	120	27	14	41
First Talukdars' Courts .....	55	52	...	107	12	11	23
City Civil Court .....	274	2,040	...	2,314	305	425	730
Kazi's Court ... ..	124	135	...	259	81	5	86
Arab Court .....	56	145	..	201	18	58	76
Insolvency Court .....	289	97	...	386	13	28	41
Majlis Alia Adalat or High Court, Original side .....	90	92	...	182	18	20	38
Total.....	1,943	5,217	...	7,160	1,737	961	2,718
Suburban Court of Judicature .....	...	82	...	82	3	3	6



B.

*of Civil Jurisdiction during the Year 1290 Fashi.*

Struck off in default	By fixing instalments.	Compromised.	Total disposed of.	Pending at the end of the year.	Percentage of execution of decrees.	NATURE AND NUMBER OF COERCIVE PROCESSES ISSUED.			
						Imprisonment of per-sons.	Attachment of movable and im-movable property.	Sale of movable property.	Sale of immovable property.
333	100	203	2,190	1,045	67.6	.....	28	74	10
44	21	19	210	146	58.9	1	3	2	14
17	5	1	67	33	55.8	.....	8	.....	.....
8	13	1	48	39	44.8	.....	5	2	.....
856	160	395	2,141	173	92.5	15	20	429	29
53	13	11	163	96	62.9	.....	10	12	3
7	10	38	131	70	65.1	4	18	32	.....
2	7	20	70	316	18.1	.....	.....	.....	.....
30	22	.....	90	92	49.4	.....	13	23	4
1,350	351	691	5,110	2,050	71.3	20	325	574	69
56	.....	20	82	.....	100	.....	19	...	4

## CIVIL

*Statement showing the business of the Civil*

CLASS OF APPELLATE COURTS.	Number of Courts.	Number of Judges.	Remaining at the end of the last year.	Received by transfer.	Received from Appellate Courts (remanded).	Instituted during the year.	Total for disposal.	Transferred to other Courts.	Rejected or returned.
First Talukdars' or District Courts .....	17	33	87	...	17	492	596	...	16
Sadar Talakdars' or Divisional Courts .....	5	10	84	...	7	131	222	...	3
Majlis Alia Adalat or High Court, Appellate Side .....	1	3	39	...	28	253	370	...	...
Total.....	23	46	260	...	52	876	1,188	...	19

## C.

*Appellate Courts in the Year 1290 Fasli.*

UNTESTED.			TESTED.				Total disposed of.	Percentage of cases disposed of.	PENDING.					AVERAGE DURATION OF EACH CASE.	
Dismissed for default.	Withdrawn or compromised.	Total.	Confirmed.	Reserved or modified.	Remanded.	Total.			Within three months.	Within six months.	Within one year.	Over one year.	Total pending.	In the present year.	In the last year.
32	27	59	177	142	68	387	462	77.5	76	24	28	6	134	105	202
18	3	21	70	67	7	144	168	75.6	25	7	8	14	54	92	39
16	8	24	156	71	44	271	295	79.7	30	23	9	7	75	165	200
06	38	104	403	280	119	802	925	77.8	137	54	45	27	263	122	231

## CIVIL.

*Statement showing the number and description of Civil  
in the Year*

CLASS OF COURTS.	On written obligation.	On unwritten obligation.	On account stated.	Claims for profits or loss in partnership.	Claims for recovery of money or movables entrusted to an agent.	Claims for value of articles sold or mortgage money of immovable property.	Claims for value of movables.	Claims for salary due or pleaders' fees.	Claims for rent of a house or the produce of contract.
Tahsildars' Courts .....	3,078	335	1,197	92	88	60	111	27	05
Third Talukdars' Courts .....	77	12	75	7	2	2	2	...	4
Second do. ....	30	3	30	2	1	3	...	1	2
First do. ....	15	2	31	6	1	...	1	1	...
City Civil Court .....	271	246	80	4	...	19	313	111	81
Kazi's Court .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Arab Court .....	15	3	9	...	...	...	...	...	...
Insolvency Court .....	158	...	66	...	...	4	2	1	...
Majlis Alia Adalat or High Court, Original Side .....	16	8	4	...	...	...	1	...	2
Total.....	3,660	609	1,492	111	92	88	430	141	154
Suburban Court of Judicature.	53	9	...	...	...	42	9	...	11

## D.

*Suits instituted in the Courts of Original Civil Jurisdiction*  
*1290 Fasli.*

Claims for breach of contract.	Claims for damages.	Claims for dower, maintenance and guardianship of minors.	Claims for enforcement of matrimonial rights.	Claims for Watan rights and <i>Rusun</i> and <i>Yeomah</i> .	Claims relating to religious endowments.	Claims relating to religion and caste.	Claims for inheritance and adoption.	Claims relating to administration of trust.	Claims relating to gifts.	Claims relating to wills.	Claims for sale including foreclosure.	Claims for mortgage and redemption.	Claims for right of pre-emption.	Claims for recovery of possession.	Other claims relating to cash or movable property.	Other claims relating to immovable property.	Claims or decrees of British Courts.	Total cases instituted.
02	23	9	12	6	7	2	43	3	3	...	13	5	1	3	05	20	...	5,369
2	1	3	1	18	1	...	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	212
...	...	3	2	6	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	86
1	2	4	3	7	3	...	4	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	83
16	1	6	7	7	5	1	2	...	43	1	...	17	...	27	165	19	...	1,444
...	...	70	109	...	1	...	40	...	...	...	...	...	5	...	68	79	...	372
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	27
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	2	...	...	235
...	...	...	...	...	1	...	5	2	5	...	...	2	...	3	8	2	...	59
81	27	95	134	103	19	3	99	5	53	1	13	26	6	33	310	122	...	7,907
...	4	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	24	2	...	154

## CIVIL

*Statement showing the value of Suits instituted in the Courts*

CLASS OF COURTS.	Below Rs. 10.	Not exceeding Rs. 100.	Not exceeding Rs. 300.	Not exceeding Rs. 1,000.	Not exceeding Rs. 2,000.	Not exceeding Rs. 5,000.	Not exceeding Rs. 20,000.	Not exceeding Rs. 50,000.
Tahsildars' Courts .....	1,800	2,289	1,297	...	...	...	...	...
Third Talukdars' Courts .....	...	...	...	211	...	...	...	...
Second do. ....	...	...	...	5	81	...	...	...
First do. ....	...	...	...	4	1	59	13	2
City Civil Court.....	457	440	290	133	51	...	...	...
Kazi's Court .....	4	16	20	31	13	7	12	9
Arab Court.....	9	1	4	6	1	2	1	...
Insolvency Court .....	...	42	53	71	26	22	7	3
Majlis Alia Adalat or High Court, Original Side .....	...	...	..	...	...	31	18	3
Total.....	2,270	2,788	1,664	461	173	121	51	17
Suburban Court of Judicature.....	28	51	45	14	6	7	...	2

E.

*of Civil Jurisdiction during the Year 1290 Fasli.*

Not exceeding Rs. 1,00,000.	Upwards of Rs. 1,00,000.	No. of cases without value.	Total number of suits.	Total value of suits.	Average value of each suit excluding cases without value.
				Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
.....	.....	3	5,389	3,72,327 8 7	69 2 1
.....	.....	1	212	1,38,185 11 0	651 6 5
.....	.....	.....	86	1,25,916 14 11	1,464 2 4
.....	.....	4	83	4,01,652 11 0	5,084 3 3
.....	.....	73	1,414	2,44,422 7 1	178 4 5
3	5	252	372	21,35,529 2 1	17,796 1 2
1	.....	2	27	1,12,195 12 9	4,487 13 4
2	1	8	235	8,48,131 1 9	3,736 4 1
1	1	5	59	54,44,080 13 7	1,00,816 4 11
7	7	348	7,907	98,22,442 2 9	1,299 6 11
.....	.....	1	154	1,20,885 3 11	790 1 7

*Statement showing the Costs of Litigation in*

CLASS OF COURTS.	Number of cases decided.	Amount claimed.	Value of stamped paper for plaints.	Value of stamped paper for other purposes.	Pleaders' fees.
		Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Tahsildars' Courts.....	5,359	3,61,808 11 4	22,008 3 0	2,843 1 0	4,124 1 8
Third Talukdars' Courts .....	283	1,77,529 6 4	6,993 12 0	562 6 0	1,827 1 10
Second do. ....	110	1,43,343 13 11	4,779 15 0	426 12 0	2,065 2 11
First do. ....	525	3,46,565 0 0	12,209 12 0	737 9 9	4,331 4 8
Sadar or Divisional Civil Courts.	165	1,68,422 14 6	6,188 4 0	528 0 0	1,905 5 7
City Civil Court .....	1,432	2,38,098 9 10	9,912 4 0	910 9 3	2,538 0 0
Kazi's Court .....	306	11,49,729 5 6	1,790 8 0	2,111 8 0	185 8 0
Arab Court.....	...	.....	.....	.....	.....
Insolvency Court .....	...	.....	.....	.....	.....
Majlis Alia Adalat or High Court, Original Side.....	56	4,07,486 2 5	6,462 0 0	192 0 0	5,847 14 4
High Court, Appellate Side.....	273	10,79,077 11 1	7,242 0 0	2,816 0 0	15,471 0 0
Total.....	8,509	40,72,661 10 11	77,581 10 0	11,127 14 0	38,295 7 0
Suburban Court of Judicature..	157	1,36,208 3 8	4,371 0 0	1,657 8 0	1,599 13 0



F.

*the Courts of Civil Justice for 1290 Fasli.*

Diet for witnesses.	Talbana.	Miscellaneous.	Total costs.	Average costs of each case.	Percentage on value claimed.
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
46 15 8	2,285 12 4	602 13 1	31,855 14 9	5 15 1	8.80
120 7 0	305 9 7	34 15 6	9,850 3 11	34 12 10	5.54
23 10 0	88 7 8	125 7 0	7,509 6 7	68 4 3	5.23
54 7 0	233 0 1	245 13 6	17,811 15 0	133 14 0	5.14
.....	27 8 0	2 8 0	8,651 9 7	152 6 11	5.13
.....	.....	85 8 4	13,446 5 7	9 6 2	5.64
.....	.....	.....	4,087 8 0	13 5 8	.35
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
.....	.....	145 13 0	12,647 11 4	225 10 9	3.10
.....	5 10 0	12 3 6	25,546 13 6	93 9 3	2.36
251 7 8	2,895 15 8	1,255 1 11	1,31,407 8 3	15 7 1	3.22
108 6 3	301 4 0	.....	8,037 15 3	51 3 2	5.9